

Evaluation of the European Judge

Nebojša VUČINIĆ

Judge at the European Court of Human Rights



Nebojša VUČINIĆ

Role assumed in the affair LÉGERET

This «Judge» has declared the detailed application of 30 pages formulated by the Lawyer Jean LOB, mandated by François LÉGERET, with the number 37931/12 of June 14, 2012 by decision of March 28, 2013 for inadmissible. As they have the bad habit in Strasbourg, this decision of half a page text module is proving that the «Judges» of Strasbourg do not even read 90 to 98 % of the incoming complaints.

VUČINIĆ is just one out of 52 «Judges» of the ECHR negatively earmarked in our database.

VUČINIĆ did as well support the Freemasonry conspiracy at the costs of **Jakob GUTKNECHT**.

Profile

Born in 1953 in Montenegro.

He was Lawyer and Army Judge (Politruk) under the communist regime in 1980/81.

Chief of the department of international law at the University of Montenegro.

Member of the Council of Judges of Montenegro for the selection of Judges.

Member of the steering committee of the association of Lawyers of Montenegro.

«Judge» at the European Court of Human Rights since April 15, 2008.

The author has made wide experience with the cheatings of VUČINIĆ. That Magistrate has issued 6 decisions concerning me, always by using the identical text module, stipulating «The conditions according to articles 34 and 35 of the Convention are not fulfilled. » See documents next pages:

All Links in Red have been
illegally censored by the
Prosecutor Yves NICOLET by
secrete procedure.

Gerhard ULRICH
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges

April 17. 2013

Mr. **Thorbjørn JAGLAND**
Secretary General of the
Council of Europe
F-67075 Strasbourg

***Protest against the decline of moral and law at the
European Court of Human Rights***

Mister Secretary General,

***The undersigned of this letter is a critic of the judiciary regime of the alleged
Constitutional State of Switzerland. See: www.swiss-despots.org***

*He is himself a judiciary victim, as there exist many more, as demonstrated by the
following examples:*

www.swiss1.net/info/skander.vogt

www.swiss1.net/info/mansour/fdp-kieser

www.staatsgewalt.ch/dokumente/artikel/artikel/779/woz4512se03.pdf

*My criticism concerning the dysfunctions of the Swiss judiciary apparatus is
published on my Web Sites:*

www.appel-au-peuple.org

www.swissjustice.net

www.swiss-justice.net

www.euro-justiz.org

www.swiss-justice.net/references www.swiss-banana.net

*The catastrophic conditions of the Swiss judiciary system is directly related to the
decline of moral and law at the European Court of Human Rights. The famous
Lawyer **Rudolf SCHALLER** is sharing this point of view with me (enclosure 1);
he wrote me on June 3rd, 2009 among others:*

*«You are pointing the attention to an absolutely untenable situation. The present
situation of incredible arbitrariness is turning the right for an individual complaint,
granted by the European Convention of Human Rights into a joke.»*

*The failure of the system becomes visible at great daylight by the exploding
numbers of complaints submitted to the ECHR. If the quality control exercised by
Strasbourg would be efficient, then the national judiciary systems should be led
to improve their jobs. Logically, the proportions of claims should decrease. Since
the exact opposite is to be observed, something is fundamentally wrong with your
quality control. It is notorious that Strasbourg destroys the files of the rejected
applicants after one year. In this manner, a system closed in itself has established,
beyond reach of any control from the outside in the form of historic research. This
is falsification of History.*

The European Judges are not even making the effort to read 90 to 98 % of the submitted complaints. The cheated applicants are deceived with a prefabricated text module of half a page, violating article 45 of the European Convention of Human Rights which obliges the Court to motivate its decisions. On my Web Sites indicated above, I have documented a certain number of such illegal decisions. I have experienced personally this scandalous practice of the European Judges. My complaints of August 20, 2008 respectively December 5, 2008 with the application numbers 40795/08 and 60780/08 have been rejected by such modules, dated December 13, 2012 (enclosure 2) and February 8, 2013 (enclosure 3), without motivation, consequently arbitrarily.

On December 21, 2012 I have requested to obtain a motivation concerning the first decision (enclosure 4). All what I got was a return mail with another module of text, dated January 28, 2013 (enclosure 5), containing the wrong statement that I had appealed against a definitive decision and that it was inadmissible. That is completely untrue: I have claimed to obtain a motivation, granted for by the Convention. In addition, one hid in this letter behind the pretext of alleged work overload of the European Judges. This is perfectly incredible, because two trained secretaries can easily handle a flood of 50'000 applications a year, with an output of 100 mass mailings of text modules per working day, needing no previous examination.

An attempt to start a dialogue with the European Judges has failed:
www.swiss-justice.net/doc/trojanisches-pferd

In 2006 I have addressed a circular letter to all Members of the Council of Europe, pointing at the failure in a judiciary crime committed in Switzerland:
www.swiss-justice.net/id/conseil-de-l'europe.

No contacted politician has reacted. During years I have tried in vain to discuss the decline of the European Court of Human Rights in a correspondance with the Swiss Member of the Council of Europe, Andy GROSS. My last letter is dated February 17, 2013 (enclosure 6) and was honoured with silence radio. Such Members of the Council of Europe are directly guilty as well for the failure of the European Judges, gratified with unmerited fat salaries without delivering in turn the expected benefits.

By the present letter, I protest in the name of the European judiciary victims against the decline of moral and law at the European Court of Human Rights. I shall publish this complete correspondance under the URL:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/jagland

It goes without saying that you are invited to comment this note of protest, and your reply will be of course published as well. Sincerely yours

Gerhard Ulrich

PS: I join a copy of my complaint submitted that same day to the European Court of Human Rights, without the therein mentioned enclosures (enclosure 7).

*cc: Mr. Dean SPIELMANN, President of the European Court of Human Rights, Council of Europe, F-67075 Strasbourg cedex
Mrs. Simonetta SOMMARUGA, Head of the du DFJP, 3003 Berne
Mr Jean ZIEGLER, rapporteur UN HRC, ch. de la Croix-de-Plomb 13A, 1281 Russin GE
Mr. Andy GROSS, Member of the Council of Europe, CP 65, 2882 St-Ursanne*

Rudolf SCHALLER, Lawyer 23, boulevard Georges-Favon, 1204 Genève

Enclosures:

- 1. Letter of the Lawyer Rudolf SCHALLER of June 3, 2009*
- 2. Text module/decision of the ECHR of December 13, 2012*
- 3. Module of texte/décision of the ECHR of February 8, 2013*
- 4. My claim to the ECHR of December 21, 2012*
- 5. Reply in form of a text module of the ECHR of January 28, 2013*
- 6. My letter to the Member of the Council of Europe, Andy Gross of February 17, 20*
- 7. My complaint at the ECHR of April 17, 2013*

Jean ZIEGLER confirmed reception of the letter. The Federal Councillor Simonetta SOMMARUGA reacted with a standard letter of mass mailing, hiding behind the comfortable separation of powers («very important for our Constitutional State» according to her). The Secretary General of the Council of Europe, Thorbjørn JAGLAND and the President of the European Court of Human Rights at that time, Dean SPIELMANN, did not react at all, supporting thus jointly with our Minister of «Justice» the judiciary criminality.

Nebojša VUČINIĆ is a tyrant from the Balkans.