

Evaluation of the extraordinary Investigating Judge FR Stéphane RAEMY

Lawyer in Fribourg. During the years 2003 to 2007 RAEMY did act as an extraordinary investigating Judge for implementing the repression of freedom of expression at the costs of the citizens' initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE.

Office: Boulevard de Pérolles 6, 1700 Fribourg

Phone Office: 026 323 32 36

Private address:

Chemin du champ 6, 1723 Marly

Marital status: married with Danièle.



Unfortunately, no photograph shot in the street of this Lawyer of Fribourg is yet available. Please contact us, if you can furnish a picture of this individual.



Mailbox of Danièle and Stéphane RAEMY

Shot of his house:



Villa of the spouses RAEMY

Profile

RAEMY made his stage at the Lawyers' Office of late Anton Cottier, before opening his own Lawyers's Office.

President of the radical party of Marly.

Captain in the Swiss army, military Judge (= politruk)

Reference List (observations collected since the year 2000):

Number of negative references: 16

Number of positive References: 0

RAEMY has been selected for implementing the repression of the freedom of expression at the costs of the citizens' initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE. As a matter of fact, RAEMY did not hesitate to succeed, be it with illegal methods. See next pages.

The double morality society of Fribourg

The cantonal court of Fribourg had appointed on June 27, 2003 an extraordinary investigating Judge, taking care of the affairs concerning APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE in the person of Stéphane RAEMY who was desperately looking for means, how to repress our right for freedom of expression.

He needed several trial runs, before realizing his plans. Whenever we appeared on public ground, he sent the police after us for intimidation, pretending to have to check our identities. Our action of October 23, 2004 in front of the City town hall of Fribourg, he countered as well with a police control. The former policeman Karl-Heinz REYMOND stood at my side, when I was approached by two agents. I stuck to the recommendations of REYMOND and asked for the reason of this checking, since policemen are not empowered to check persons without any purpose. They pretended summarily to be entitled to do so at any time. Obviously, their patron had forgotten to brief them better, and had not provided a motivation. Guided by REYMOND I showed them finally my identity card, since the policemen had threatened me to carry me otherwise to the police station for identification. Nonetheless they denounced me afterwards to have refused to get identified. On January 20, 2005 I had to compare for this reason before the Judge Pierre-Emmanuel ESSEIVA at the court of the Sarine FR. Violating human rights, he had refused to call to the court my three witnesses of defence (including REYMOND). But when I asked the two police agents in the presence of ESSEIVA, how it had been possible for them to denounce me by my name, they replied naively that I had pulled out finally my identity card. To the evident regret of ESSEIVA, he could subsequently only acquit me. It is to be presumed that the two agents had been instigated to denounce me wrongly. Unfortunately, one had chosen two honest policemen to take care of me.

But RAEMY did not give up at all. In spring, I had organized three open air actions in a row on Saturdays in Fribourg with changing composed groups, i.e. on May 28, June 25 and July 9th, 2005.

Each time, RAEMY sent the police to have our identities checked, as we were already used to. So far, this had not had any consequences. Obviously, RAEMY had not invented his remedy until the end of June 2005. Only on July 1st, 2005, with 5, respectively 1 week delay, the intervention policemen wrote their internal

denouncements against the participants of our actions of May 28 and June 25, 2005, based on an ordinance issued by the government of Fribourg from September 4, 1920 for unauthorized manifestation. For sure, it had been RAEMY to have them instructed to act according to that pattern.

Peaceful action of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE on May 28, 2005 in Fribourg



It cannot be presumed that policemen would have such an idea. Thereupon, RAEMY convicted the denounced persons to fines. The first penalties were notified only on July 11, 2005, i.e. after our action of July 9th, 2005. At that date already 16 of our members had been ambushed. I renounced immediately to repeat new mobilizations in the canton of Fribourg. It goes without saying that I did not want our activists to be hit by such fines. I waited that the legal situation would be clarified. On our last action of July 9th, 2005, the policemen reacted the same day with further denouncements.

We intervened in vain in a first attempt at the cantonal court of Fribourg against these convictions without legal basis. The invoked ordinance from the year 1920 was obviously in contradiction with the Constitution. We had filmed as well as the Prefect of the Sarine, Nicolas DEISS (the brother of former Federal Councillor Joseph DEISS) had observed our action of November 14, 2002 on public ground

without having triggered off an intervention. If DEISS had tolerated at that time an illegal action to happen, he would have become guilty of having granted an illegal favour. The cantonal Judges of Fribourg decided indifferently by their ordinances of September 1st, 12 and 22nd, 2005 that the denounced persons had to be sentenced by the court of the Sarine on the basis of the ordinance of the government of the canton of Fribourg of September 4, 1920!

Instead of one single trial, the authorities organized three show trials, for having a long lasting pleasure in small portions. On May 24, 2006 the court of the Sarine, presided by the Judge Jean-Marc SALLIN confirmed the fines of 13 of our activists (*La Liberté* of May 26, 2006).

Group of activists in front of the court of the Sarine FR on May 24th, 2006



On August 30, 2006, he dispatched three Ladies, aged 63, 74 and 79 years old in the same way (*La Liberté* of August 31st, 2005). Strangely, the 10 participants of the first denounced action had their turn at the end. Myself, I was among them. Entering into the court building, we started to sing VERDI's choir of the Hebrews. We were sentenced on October 12, 2006 as well by the «Judge» Jean-Benoît MEUWLY for unauthorized manifestation according to the ordinance of the government of the canton of Fribourg from September 4, 1920 (*La Liberté* of October 13, 2006). Our witness of defence, Nicolas DEISS, who had tolerated our action of November 14, 2002, by respecting obviously our right for freedom of

expression without having stopped us by the police, was of course not called to the court by those Judges, violating thus human rights for a fair trial , although we had requested it repeatedly.

Only on December 23, 2006 *La Liberté* and *La Gruyère*, had to report that the cantonal court of Fribourg had been forced to invalidate the convictions pronounced by the court of the Sarine of May 24, 2005. The second instance of Fribourg had been obliged indeed to quash that evil sentence by ordinance CAP 2006-45 of December 14, 2006, since the invoked ordinance of the government of the canton of Fribourg from September 4, 1920, established at that time in the context of the post-war General Strike situation of the year 1918, had been abolished already one year later. As a pretext, the cantonal Judges spoke hypocritically of an existing law gap, responsible for this misinterpretation! Therewith it was already sure that the convictions of August 30 and October 12, 2006 had to be dropped as well.

Those who believe that the illegal machinations would have come to an end, are making a monumental error. On June 23rd, 2007, we were three persons to distribute peacefully leaflets in the residential residence area of «Judge» RAEMY, by which we were denouncing his repression of the freedom of free expression, violating thus human rights. This «Judge» called in at 1.20 p.m. two police cars and a third car disguised as a private automobile and he surveyed in his own affair our arrest by policemen which he had ordered. The distribution of leaflets belongs to the basic human rights, granting freedom of expression! We were handcuffed and carried to the central Police station of Granges-Paccot FR to be jailed. The «Judge» had ordered to have me to undergo the harassment of a complete body check, for which I had to undress totally. After six hours in a concrete detention room, at 8 p.m., a policeman showed me a penal ordinance issued by RAEMY that day at 3.48 p.m., but refused to hand it over to me, before releasing me finally. For being in a position to fight that penal ordinance, I requested immediately a copy of it by registered mail. RAEMY had the dispatch protracted long enough, that he could invoke later on that I had missed the deadline to send in my opposition. According to him, the countdown had begun already on June 23rd, 2006, when the policeman had allegedly handed over the penal ordinance to me. With this dirty trick he prevented that my complaint had to be examined at all by the superior instances. According to him, I had missed the deadline, and of course, the cantonal Court FR and the High Federal Court recognized RAEMY's version as being the only blessing procedural truth. Details see:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/raemy-d (Link illegally censored by the prosecutor VD **Yves NICOLET**).

The desperate condition of the judiciary and police apparatus of Fribourg is not only denounced by the APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE. At the beginning of the millennium, the government of Fribourg had mandated the two extra-cantonal magistrates Gérard PIQUEREZ (at that time cantonal Judge of the Jura) and Pierre CORNU (at that time Prosecutor General of the canton of Neuchâtel) to investigate about the state of the penal Justice of Fribourg. The partly published «Expertise sur l’instruction pénale dans le canton de Fribourg» of May 3rd, 2000 was a real disaster for the magistrates of Fribourg. Subsequently, a few small reforms were implemented. However the tyranny as such had a tough life, since only a few protagonists were exchanged. There existed as well the university professor for penal law, today retired, Franz RIKLIN, who alerted the public:

www.swiss1.net/archive/riklin-book (Link illegally censored by the prosecutor VD **Yves NICOLET**).

And eventually, the insider Paul GROSSRIEDER (former investigator in drug affairs of the cantonal criminal police of Fribourg) rendered his requital with the regime in his book «Contre-enquête» (Edition FAVRE, 2004), by calling many protagonists by their names. Following his revelations, a few magistrates who had become intolerable were promoted away to the federal Berne.

For understanding the mechanisms of the cronyism of Fribourg, one has to mention the moral affair at the College St. Michael (where the pupils of the leading families of Fribourg are getting their baccalaureates) in the eighties of the past century. It is well known that the «Judge» Pierre-Emmanuel ESSEIVA had whitewashed in 1983 a teacher and child abuser in a public trial. This prevented the locally dominating political party CVP, respectively to the leading class to face a humiliating scandal: www.swiss-despots.org/qed/100

The professor for German and Latin languages Willy HELG, who had unveiled the story was fired as a reaction to it by the competent local CVP Minister, responsible of the Department of Education of Fribourg, Marius COTTIER (†) and had to quit the state services ignominiously. Since he could not find a new employment in Switzerland, he was forced to emigrate.

In the early nineties, the pathological drive of the child abuser triggered off finally an international arrest mandate directed against him. Strangely enough, he was never traced and could settle down in the diocese of Mainz D as a priest (*Der Spiegel* number 17 of April 21, 2008, page 25). Willy HELG, with whom I kept in contact, managed after more than two decades after his illegal firing and reiterated attempts to obtain finally a late and well deserved satisfaction with the above mentioned press publication. Thereafter, he requested in writing his rehabilitation by addressing a letter to the CVP President of the government of the canton of Fribourg, Isabelle CHASSOT (presently Head of the Federal Office of culture). He did not even receive an acknowledgment. Even decades later, the political pillar, i.e. the CVP is not able to come to terms with its cronies past.

This explains, how the magistrates of Fribourg can tolerate among themselves such strange figures as Stéphane RAEMY and Pierre-Emmanuel ESSEIVA and all their other doubtful companions. The CVP society with its double moral is granted a long lasting future.

Evaluation of Lawyers

15.10.16/GU