

Evaluation of the President of the high Federal Court Ulrich MEYER

Supreme Swiss Bailiff. He calls himself to be the President of the Federal Court for 2017.

Is (still) «working» at the Palace of the Federal Court, Schweizerhofquai 6, 6004 Luzern.

Phone work place in the future: 021 318 91 11

Fax workplace in the future: 021 323 37 00

Web Site: www.bger.ch

Marital status: married



Ulrich MEYER

Profile

Born on October 28, 1953 at Interlaken. Citizen of Pratteln BL. Law studies in Bern. Lawyers' patent in 1979, doctorate in 1984. Habilitation as a professor at the University of Zurich in 1993.

Federal civil clerk at the Departement of Justice and Police, then clerk at the Federal Court of insurances in Lucerne.

Elected on October 1st, 1986 for the Socialist Party as a Federal Judge at the Federal Court of insurances., who's President he was from 1998 til 1999.

President of the Federal Court for 2017. Election on December 13, 2016. He obtained 194 out of 196 collected ballots of the blind Members at the Federal chambers.

In 2005, MEYER has had an ugly disagreement query with his colleague Ursula WIDMER (Press release of the Federal Court of insurances of February 4, 2005).

He is an unsocial Magistrate, as demonstrated with the case of late Ivo SCHNYDER (see below), backing among others abuse of psychiatry. See affair DOEBELI below.

The case of the Army Patient Ivo SCHNYDER.

Subsequently to a military service accident in 1954, this Carpenter from the canton of Glaris became disabled by 80 % (Tinnitus). But his disability rent was reduced to 10-20 %, because he became a victim of dirty maneuvers of physicians on the one side and the Federal Court of insurances on the other side, including among others the « Judge » Ulrich MEYER, although he enjoyed a considerable support, e.g. from the part of the two heavy weight national Councillors Lutzi STAMM and Jakob BÜCHLER. See :.

www.arbeitsgruppe-mensch-hochschule.ch

Ivo SCHNYDER did administer the evidence that « elitarian » citizens being equally affected by Tinnitus as he was, were much better served by the asocial « Magis-rats ».

Ivo SCHNYDER was a philosopher, and an example as a perseverant fighter against judiciary arbitrariness. He designated the Lawyers to be « hochschulkrank » (sick of University studies).

The abusive forced psychiatric internment of late Ferdinand DOEBELI

The retired professor of mathematics **Ferdinand DOEBELI** had been abusively interned by force in a psychiatric hospital, after having discovered a heavy medical error to the disadvantage of his wife – abuse of the psychiatry covered by the then acting Attorney General of Geneva, Daniel ZAPPELLI, by the « Judge » Pierre-Yves DEMEULE, and almost the whole lot of « Judges » of Geneva, including **Laura JACQUEMOUD-ROSSARI**. DOEBELI was not hesitating to spend money for denouncing his case. We distributed 25'000 copies of the leaflet dated October 4, 2004, without triggering off the slightest reaction by the printed press media of Geneva!

DOEBELI wrote letters to a great number of politicians, and in 2005, the Human Right Commission of the local Parliament of Geneva called him for a hearing – without success (report P 1522-A of the secretary's office of the Parliament of the canton of Geneva, dated November 7, 2005).

For this reason, we doubled on May 5, 2005 with another leaflet, which we had distributed by a group of our activists in Geneva in the residential areas, where the responsible psychiatrists were living, followed by home visits, during which we denounced orally their misdeeds. This time, they lodged complaints for alleged offense of their honor. **While preparing for the first court hearing, I compiled in summary of the affair with the request to have a row of ex-culpatory witnesses called to court, including of course DOEBELI. This request was simply ignored.**

Accompanied by a massively mobilized group of activists I compared on April 11, 2006 in court. The plaintiffs had become witnesses due to the strange judiciary habits of Geneva. I had not even the right to ask them questions. After this first audience, the «Judge» Yves AESCHLIMANN refused straight away my fundamental right to call exculpatory witnesses to court, violating very seriously the human rights.

Incidental recourse submitted directly to the Federal Court, bypassing the 2. Instance, for claiming my right to interrogate exculpatory witnesses. I argued that the key witness was already aged 86 years. This opposition was rejected by Mon Repos with the stupid argument that there was no risk of an irreparable damage.

For the second hearing on June 13, I showed up only with two observers. Right at the beginning of the «trial» I declared to the «judge» AESCHLIMANN, that he was free to continue his judiciary masturbation on his own and left. Of course, he convicted me unconditionally to 15 days of prison.

The lawyer of Geneva Christian GROBET eventually accepted the mandate to defend me as assigned counsel. The second instance of Geneva rejected my complaint. Subsequently, GROBET submitted to the High Federal Court a complaint, without asking any advance payment from me. A small but short-lived miracle happened. By the decision of the High Federal Court 6B_138/2008 of January 22nd, 2009 my complaint for having refused to me to call my witnesses of defence to the bar was accepted partially (*24 Heures* of February 14/15, 2009, *20 minutes* of February 16, 2009). Therefore, the trial had to restart at the first instance in Geneva, i.e. the police court. But in the meantime the principal witness, Ferdinand DOEBELI had died in July 2008!

The new trial took place during my incarceration in the year 2010. I was carried three times as a prisoner to Geneva (see chapter 15), that is to say on March 9/10, June 22/23 and finally on October 20/21, 2010. These were memorable stays. Never I have been treated as badly during my 4 years of jail as during those days in Geneva.

But this time, my witnesses of defence were really called to be interrogated. A Première what is concerning my court experience. However, the opportunity to present the version of Mr. DOEBELI had ceased to exist.

It was amusing to listen to Dr. François HENTSCH. It became evident that he had lied, when he had indicated to have examined DOEBELI prior to his forced

internment. His interrogation illustrated undoubtedly that he had never seen DOEBELI. It had escaped to him that this German speaking Swiss spoke just French which he had acquired at school, and that he had been really hard of hearing. It was as well incredible that DOEBELI would not have reported about the heavy medical error which had occurred the day before during the alleged examination. Those who were familiar with DOEBELI, knew that such an incident had kept his mind extremely busy.

The other psychiatrist, Dr. Nathalie DESDIONS pretended not to have been involved in that forced internment of DOEBELI. This statement was untrustworthy for two reasons: she had never dared to make such a declaration during the past six years, when DOEBELI was still alive. And as the Manager of the psychiatric hospital, she was involved anyway. At least, she had had to indicate, which other psychiatrist had become co-responsible. The judge, a young lady, Catherine GAVIN did as she was genuinely searching the truth. On October 20, she compiled the judgement P/8972/2005, containing 13 pages. I had lost the trial – without additional penalty – with the un-defendable motivation that I had not checked thoroughly enough the realities of the involvement of those psychiatrists of the hospital of Belle-Idée! At the first lecture of this conviction, I was amazed. The accusation, not to have checked the reality could be turned around by 180°, since the Justice of Geneva never had investigated the complaints lodged by Mister DOEBELI in his case. I did not further object to this sentence. After having thought it over, I realized that that young woman judge GAVIN had had no other issue for opportunistic reasons, than to cover the whole judiciary rabble of Geneva. Apart from the Attorney General, Daniel ZAPPELLI, 17 «judges» of Geneva including **Laura JACQUEMOUD-ROSSARI** had to share the responsibility for the injustice which had hit DOEBELI.

Beyond the guilty officials of the judiciary apparatus of Geneva, another six judges of the Federal Insurance Court in Lucerne were involved. Late Ferdinand DOEBELI had formulated exactly the correct diagnosis:

«They are chronic liars and not judges. In my early days as a student, the authorities would have expelled students from university, who would have dared to lie in such an impudent manner. It should be impossible in a Constitutional State. They are a gang of rotten characters!»

List of references (observations collected since the year 2000):

Number of negative references: 7

Number of positive references positives: 0

MEYER is a Standard Tyrant as a Magistrate.

Evaluation of Lawyers

21.12.16/GU