

Evaluation of the cantonal Judge VD

Fabienne BYRDE

Swiss (Vaudois) senior officer. She calls herself a cantonal «Judge». «Works» in the palace of the Hermitage, route du Signal 8, 1014 Lausanne.

Marital status: unknown



Fabienne BYRDE

Unfortunately, we could not yet get hold of her photography.

Profile

Former Lawyer, elected Vaudois cantonal «Judge» on June 30, 2009 for the socialist Party.

Writer of the Journal des tribunaux, and Member of the association des juristes progressistes vaudois JPV.

By decision KC15.055559-160896 216 of August 25 2016, the Vaudois cantonal «Judges» **ROULEAU, COLOMBINI** and BYRDE did cover by their refusal of a pousuite release the corruption and frauds of the former federal «Judge» **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-09-13_sommaruga_mise-en-demeure-e.pdf

BYRDE did as well support the freemasonic plot against the Vaudois farmer **Jakob GUTKNECHT**.

The author of these lines was dealing twice with BYRDE:

My divorce procedure

The radical «Judge» Jean-Daniel HOFMANN had issued the divorce judgment on September 15 2004, containing 26 falsifications, of which 12 falsifications which can be proven mathematically. His greatest cheating was to condemn me to let the family villa at St-Prex with a surface of 2'500 m² with view on Lake Geneva at the friendly price of CHF 751'200 to my ex-wife. Since I did Justice to oneself by setting fire to my own house, the bank revoked the mortgage and the real estate was sold on auction, as I had claimed it from the beginning at the price of CHF 1'220'000. On that point, the Vaudois Magistrates failed in their intention to damage my interests. Fabienne BYRDE, at that time socialist first instance «Judge» in Lausanne finalized the cheating at my costs with her decision of March 25 2008.

My appeal of April 6 2008 against the judgment of BYRDE was contesting the violations of the human rights committed by BYRDE. She had just copied the infringements of her radical colleague HOFMANN, without any examination, and added another 8 falsifications at my costs, among others:

- BYRDE stated wrongly that the furniture had been the exclusive property of my ex-wife. Therefore, she had rightfully benefitted exclusively of the

CHF 70'000 paid out by the fire insurance. And still according to BYRDE, my ex-wife had reinvested the so collected money for replacing the destroyed furniture. This constituted a double falsification in one single paragraph: evidently, I was owner for half of the furniture, and I had produced the evidence that the Lady had alienated most of that sum.

- Madame had not paid the mortgage interests from the time the bank had revoked the mortgage since January 1st 2005 until the auction sale in February 2007. BYRDE charged half of these unpaid interests of 2 years to me, to be deducted from the final count of the remaining fortune. BYRDE pretended wrongly that I had missed to submit a request to change the concerned provisional order. However, that has never been necessary, since the relevant order of GANI dating from March 22nd 2001 had granted to Madame the usufruct of the family villa with the obligation to pay the relative charges. BYRDE had defrauded the facts.

Although the real estate at St-Prex had been sold due to my insistence at the interesting price of CHF 1'220'000, and there had remained after deduction of the remaining mortgage of CHF 450'000, the mentioned interests and the costs of the auction the remarkable benefit of CHF 731'392.- to be shared, my last hopes faded away with the judgment of BYRDE.

On November 26 2008 the cantonal «Judges» J.-J. ROGNON, **Jean-Luc COLOMBINI** and **Christian DENYS** rejected my opposition against the perjured judgement of BYRDE. My detailed appeal of December 19 2008 against this arbitrary decision was declared inadmissible by the federal «Judges» Fabienne HOHL, Luca MARAZZI and **Laura JACQUEMOUD-ROSSARI** by ATF 5A_850/2008 of May 5 2009 without any motivation. I had arrived at the end of my divorce procedure, having lasted 9 years, and the judgment of BYRDE came into force.

The active participation of BYRDE for the repression of the freedom of expression, and violation of human rights at my costs

See: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_abrecht-e.pdf

Reference List (observations collected since the year 2000):

Number of negative references: 4

Number of positive references: 0

In conclusion, the socialist Fabienne BYRDE is implementing the instructions of her Vaudois socialist Party, where the socialist Pierre-Yves MAILLARD is messing around in the name of the political concordance with his radical colleague Pascal BROULIS at the State Council. For this reason, this Magistrate of the left covers the corruption in this canton, and is participating actively for repressing the freedom of expression by violating the fundamental human rights, for serving the interests of her political Party. By cohabitation in that way with the right wing Parties, the Vaudois left politicians are forcing the opposite Parties for compromises in favour of their clients, on the back of the judiciary victims.

Evaluation of Lawyers

29.05.17/GU