

Evaluation of the Judge VD Pierre BRUTTIN

Vaudois Officer. First Judge of the arrondissement of Lausanne / Vaud.

«Works» at the court of the arrondissement, palace of Montbenon, Lausanne.

Private address:

chemin des Boutettes 23, 1095 Lutry

Address e-mail: bruttin.pierre@gmail.com

Marital status: probably divorced or separated from his wife; one of his daughters is Caroline BRUTTIN-NANTERMOD



Pierre BRUTTIN, named «the inquisitor»



Mailbox of BRUTTIN; he seems to live alone.

Shots of his housing



Residence of BRUTTIN on the heights of Lutry, overlooking Lake Geneva



View of the entrance



View in direction of Lausanne

Profile

Pierre BRUTTIN is originating from the Valais. His parents settled down at la Côte vaudoise. This family offered to the canton of Vaud a physician (probably the most gifted), and the «Judge» Pierre BRUTTIN.

This BRUTTIN has completed his complete career within the canton of Vaud. Already in 1993 he was «Judge» at the district court of Nyon, which became in 2000 the court of the arrondissement of la Côte. Political party: PLR.

Some years ago, BRUTTIN has moved to the court of the arrondissement of Lausanne, where he is today holding the position as the first President.

On July 11 2000, the «Judge» Pierre-Louis CORNU did expel the author of these lines savagely from his own house, in disregard of his rights, and without having given him the opportunity to be heard on the subject, neither before nor after that decision. Being on the road, the prejudiced has been informed by a phone call of a court usher, not to be allowed to return to his home. Obviously, I contested vigorously that abusive decision – too vigorously in the perception of the Vaudois judiciary scum. Subsequently, the «Judge» BRUTTIN of the court of the arrondissement of la Côte gave me a lesson that he could violate my constitutional rights with impunity. Although I had informed practically all Members of the Vaudois Parliament, including my neighbour Francis ROSSIER, President of the Vaudois Parliament in 2002, BRUTTIN resisted depriving me from my personal belongings. The politicians reacted by *laisser-faire*. My complaint for abuse of authority has been duly stifled by the first cantonal investigating «Judge» **Jacques ANTENEN**.

This experience motivated me to constitute a data base concerning Judges who are violating our constitutional values. With regard to BRUTTIN, I quickly discovered a great number of victims of his abuses. Thereafter, I am reproducing his worst judiciary crime:

The judiciary scandal of the brothers BOLLE

Press release of May 15, 2002:

Alain BOLLE has been condemned to 11 years in prison for missed crime of assassination (judgment of February 1993 by the district court of Nyon, «Judge» Pierre BRUTTIN). The investigation has dragged on during 8 years. There was no victim. Since Alain BOLLE possessed an irrefutable alibi, one did accuse his brother F.B. as a possible accomplice. Finally, the Judge condemned him to a suspended 18 months in prison sentence for less serious charges, but invented by all means: attempt to influence wrong witnessing, obstructing the investigation and violation of the secrecy of inquiry (pronounced in the same judgment mentioned above). The accusation of complicity has finally been dropped. **Seen the alibi of Alain BOLLE, the crime would thus have been committed with the help of another unknown accomplice. One is at ease to understand the absurdity of the construction!**

Alain BOLLE has served already 10 ½ years of his condemnation. In spite excellent conduct, he could not obtain the conditional release after having accomplished 2/3 of his condemnation, since he has never confessed. For the Judges having defaulted in this case, he is so to say a time bomb.

Flyer of the same date:



**APPELL AL PIEVEL
APPELLO AL POPOLO
APPEL AU PEUPLE
AUF RUF ANS VOL**

Gerhard Ulrich

May 15, 2002

The mythomaniac and venal woman and the judiciary crime

On January 5, 1985, Sophie MANDLE (domiciled at the residence Niaisois 12, F-85630 Barbatre – Vendée) denounced her former boyfriend Alain BOLLE to the French Gendarmerie for having dispatched to her address a parcel bomb. The bomb had not blown up, nobody was killed or injured. Alain BOLLE was ambushed to travel to France for being arrested. After several months of pre-trial custody, Alain BOLLE could return back home to Switzerland. Since he did not react to the order to compare for a trial, the French justice handed the case over to Switzerland.

Starting with 1990, the Vaudois investigating «Judge» Jean TRECCANI (ch. du Levant 2, Vevey) did take care of the affair. Alain BOLLE had an irrefutable alibi. It had been impossible for him to have delivered the parcel bomb at a Post office in Paris on December 27, 1984. So, TRECCANI accused his brother of complicity. During months, TRECCANI abstained himself in vain to wrest out confessions of the two brothers, being arrested in isolation. In the file, one does not find any effort made by TRECCANI to find formal evidences. All what he was after were circumstantial evidences of charge. It was the perfect one way investigation.

The trial took place in February 1993, 8 years after the denunciation, and was presided by the «Judge» Pierre BRUTTIN (av. Général-Guisan 29, Pully) in Nyon with the presence of the Substitute of the Attorney General, Franz MOOS (rte de St-Maurice 53, La Tour-de-Peilz): 5 days of trial, 15 pages of dummy minutes in the style: «The accused Alain BOLLE is interrogated. The plaintiff is heard. The audience is suspended at 12.05 p.m. and continued at 1.55 p.m. The accused Alain BOLLE is interrogated....».

Finally, BRUTTIN condemned Alain BOLLE for missed crime of assassination to 11 years of prison, and his brother for attempt to instigate false witnessing etc. to suspended 18 months in prison.

The press articles at that time show that there were no formal evidences. The whole thing was based on the inner conviction of BRUTTIN who wrote on page 51 of the judgment: «*All elements taken as a whole and not in isolation constitute a bundle of circumstantial evidences having the character to enforce the inner conviction.*» and he lies: «*..the hand grenade of the parcel bomb did originate from a lot which has been furnished to the company with which he had effectuated his repetition courses*». The study of the file does not permit to retrace this statement! In the contrary: the concerned grenade did not match with the norms of a Swiss

Army hand grenade! And the military Justice had pronounced in this case a dismissal on this topic!

BRUTTIN (20 negative references in our list) continued in the one way started by his colleague TRECCANI (p. 50 of the judgment): *«to admit his innocence (of Alain BOLLE) is supposing an accumulation of inconceivable intellectual moves and efforts of imagination... »*. Really? The judgment contains at least two lies of Sophie MANDLE, attempting to demonstrate the violent character of Alain BOLLE: she has alleged that he got furious because of an acquisition at an exaggerated price, and indicated a price which was higher than the one of the invoice. Furthermore, she had pretended that Alain BOLLE had flattened a tire of her car with an army knife. (pages 29/30 of the judgment). BRUTTIN had not even read the contradictory and vague minutes of the audience of Sophie MANDLE on July 10, 1990 concerning this topic, since he is formulating a new version. At most, there was word against word, but BRUTTIN elevated that one of Sophie MANDLE to the quality of a sworn in witness: *« ..the court will retain the version of Sophie MANDLE»* (p. 30).

Sophie MANDLE was not at all a neutral witness, but plaintiff. Finally, she has obtained CHF 20'000 plus a generous indamitable for expenses. A very pretty sum of money for a French student! Seen her Mythomania, Sophie MANDLE has to be presumed to be the author of this crime. Her probable partner in crime to construct the bomb parcel has been mentioned at the trial (a former mercenary). The mobile of Sophie MANDLE is far more obvious than the one which would stick to Alain BOLLE (remained misty, even in the eyes of BRUTTIN): **she is a venal and mythomaniac woman.**

The Vaudois cantonal Judges BERSIER (†), **François JOMINI** (rue du Châtelard 40, Lutry) and Pierre-Alain TÂCHE (r. du Midi 15, Lausanne) did confirm this sentence by adding a lie in their turn: *«There does not exist any violation of the principle of presumption of innocence»* (page 25 of the decision of the cantonal court of October 27, 1993). The 5 federal Judges P.A. MÜLLER, NAY, **WIPRÂCHTIGER**, CORBOZ, as well as the machine of injustices **Martin SCHUBARTH**, (ch. du Levant 44, Lausanne), mixed up in many other scandals, confirmed again, rejecting the recourse of Alain BOLLE, putting forward the following pearl of jurisprudence: *« ... as soon as they (the authorities = BRUTTIN) had reached such a conviction, there did not any longer exist a doubt.»* (Decision of the Federal Court of January 28, 1994, page 9).

The 10 mentioned criminal Magistrates are mentally parents with the venal and mythomaniac woman Sophie MANDLE. They have gotten accustomed not to search the truth and to violate the law. Their lies and their doubtful «inner conviction» are replacing formal evidences! They are wanted for judiciary crime. Pride comes before a fall.

Gerhard ULRICH – Morges, May 15, 2002

List of references (observations yielded since the year 2000):

Number of negative references: 28

Number of positive references: 2

Conclusions

The Vaudois Judiciary is a concentrate of crooks in black Judge's robes, serving the organized crime as an organized gang, at the courts, but as well on the level of the Prosecutors' office. It is their task to grant impunity to the oligarchs who are profiting of the crimes. The «Judge» BRUTTIN with his impressive records of dysfunctioning represents to this scum a safe value. For that reason, he was selected to be placed on the chair as a first President of the court of arrondissement of Lausanne.

Evaluation of Lawyers

GU/01.04.17