

**Gerhard ULRICH**  
im Tröttli 20  
CH-8468 Guntalingen

January 16, 2020



ksen

**Karin KELLER-SUTTER**  
**Federal Councillor**  
Swiss Minister of Justice  
Federal Palace  
CH-3003 Bern

cc : *Oliver LÜCKE, lawyer*  
*Ulrich MEYER, President of the Swiss Federal Court*  
*Guido RAYMONDI, President of the ECHR, Strasbourg*  
*Michelle BACHELET JERIA, High-Commissionar of the OHCHR, Geneva*  
*To whom it may concern*

***The Mechanism of the judicial disaster,***

<https://parseundparse.wordpress.com/2019/11/24/der-fall-daniel-rietiker-und-die-irrwege-der-schweizer-justiz/>

*Hello, Mrs. Federal Councillor,*

*According to my estimates, every 10<sup>th</sup> Swiss or European will, in the course of his or her lifetime, be smashed by today's incurably degenerated judicial system. It happened to me 20 years ago. This was the trigger for me to embark on a voyage of discovery to investigate the causes of the judicial disaster*

*Recently I came across the link above. It concerns the findings of the lawyer Oliver LÜCKE, a professional, on how the moles of the Swiss judicial system in Strasbourg Daniel RIETIKER and Alexander MISIC tricked the Swiss plaintiffs at the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR). I learned a great lesson:*

*Our Federal Supreme Court systematically does not deal with complaints of violations of the European Convention on Human Rights. Psychopathic legal minds obviously interpret this as meaning that in such cases the concerned applicants would not have exhausted the domestic means of appeal. A normally constituted person cannot follow such a « logic ». So far, I have presumed, as probably all other plaintiffs, that a federal court decision is proof that all national remedies have been exhausted. Unfortunately, the statistics of the Federal Supreme Court do not report how many percents of the complaints are stifled unmotivated that way.*

*The judges of the European Court of Human Rights dismiss 95% of the appeals with an unmotivated text module, where it is claimed that the conditions of Articles 34 and 35 of the Convention were not met, that the requests are thus inadmissible: In 2018, only 2,738 out of the 40,023 complaints were settled with a judgment in Strasbourg:*

[www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Stats\\_annual\\_2018\\_FRA.pdf](http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Stats_annual_2018_FRA.pdf)

*I have just taken notice of the experience of the German citizen Detlev BAUM with the United Nations High-Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). His complaint of December 9, 2019 against the Federal Republic of Germany was shot down by High-Commissioner Michelle BACHELET JERIA on December 17, 2019 with the identical twist of law that he had not exhausted national legal remedies. In fact, he too had received an unmotivated decision from the Federal Constitutional Court of the Federal Republic of Germany on November 19, 2019 in Karlsruhe that his constitutional complaint was inadmissible. That way it was ensured that Geneva could knock down this plaintiff.*

***Apparently, these maneuvers are not only applied in the case of Swiss complaints in Strasbourg, but also in the handling of complaints from all over the world before the European Court of Human Rights and the United Nations High-Commissioner for Human Rights.***

*Unmotivated judgements are per se arbitrary and anticonstitutional. The national supreme courts and the international bodies that are supposed to enforce human rights (ECHR and OHCHR) are therefore not controlling bodies, but accomplices of national judicial tyrannies, which in 95% of cases cover up their misdeeds with "legitimated" denials of justice.*

***The current judicial system is incurably degenerated and unreformable.***

***Quod erat demonstrandum.***

***Let's replace this four-level maze of instances with cheaper, more efficient and faster citizen juries, to be established as civil services.***

*Sincerely yours*

*Gerhard ULRICH*