

Gerhard ULRICH

December 13, 2019

*Dissident, former/futur political prisoner
Founder + former President of the citizens'
Initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE
Network SALVE EUROPA !
im Tröttli 30
CH-1110 Guntalingen*



Dick F. MARTY

*Former President of the Commission
of human rights
Council of Europe
PO 5445
CH-6901 Lugano*

cc : To whom it may concern

Dick F. MARTY – a do-gooder ?

To you, Dick MARTY,

On November 2nd, 2016, I attended a public conference of the "Fraternity" Lodge in the Aula magna of the Château d'Yverdon-les-Bains. And for a good reason: after 16 years of observation and analysis of the dysfunction of the judiciary, I had just proved for the first time the existence of the Masonic plot:
www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

At the exit, I distributed my denunciation in the form of a leaflet. 6 brothers jumped on me to tear them off.

Monday, December 2nd, 2019 I was returning to this place, and I found between the visible organizers of your conference "A certain idea of justice" heads that I had already seen 3 years ago.

Seizing the opportunity, I intervened at the end of your presentation:

"Mr. MARTY, thank you for your informative lesson. I share with you the compassion for the ideal of Justice. By letter dated July 3rd, 2016, I sent you my book concerning the SÉGALAT case, and a book by Jacques SECRETAN concerning the François LÉGERET case, imprisoned - innocent - since almost 14 years. I asked you for an appointment to discuss the justice system. I didn't even receive an acknowledgement of receipt. I take this opportunity to ask you to have

time after this conference to talk about this system. My name is Gerhard ULRICH, Swiss dissident. Your cursus honorum contrasts with mine, which was crowned by 4 years in prison for criticizing the judges. »

I was struck and dismayed by the inertia of the some 500 listeners present, to hear about the tragedy of François LÉGERET, undoubtedly the greatest contemporary victim of our Swiss and European judicial tyranny.

Your reactions were evasive. I interjected: "It seems that Freemasons are very attached to freedom of expression and the confrontation of ideas. " But I had to wait until the end of the evening, so I could repeat my proposal to debate. Your attitude made me feel your disdain for me. I was facing the human rights champion for the second time in my life, and this time I thought you were freezing. You rejected me boldly.

Nevertheless, I have promised to send you a questionnaire, which you will find attached. Each question is preceded by an allegation. I presume you won't deign to follow up. You will give hardly motivated answers. In this case, I can legitimately claim that my allegations will be deemed uncontested, and therefore tacitly admitted.

I leave it to the addressees of the copy of this letter, distributed by circular e-mail (12,000 email seized addresses), to answer the question themselves:

Dick F. MARTY – a do-gooder ?

Do you accept a public contradictory debate with me?

To you, Dick MARTY

Gerhard ULRICH

Enclosure :

List of allegations / questions concerning the state and non-functioning of the judicial system

List of allegations / questions concerning the state and non-functioning of the judicial system

1.

Allegation :

According to its statistics, the Swiss Federal Court admits an average of 13% of appeals per year. Today, these statistics no longer distinguish between admitted and partially admitted complaints. In fact, the former President of the Federal Court, Gilbert KOLLY, bragged on June 15, 2017 at the Swiss Attorney Congress in Lucerne that the admitted appeal rate in 2016 had been successfully reduced to 2.3%. The Federal Court admits 10% partially on secondary points, to look nice. It must be assumed that the situation has remained fairly stable. Our judges are therefore disconnected from reality, as it can reasonably be argued that at least 80% of plaintiffs know to differentiate good from evil.

Question :

How do you justify your objection that this logic, i.e., that our federal judges are disconnected from reality, would not be consistent?

2.

Allegation :

According to statistics from the European Court of Human Rights in 2016, 517 complaints were admitted, out of 53'500 complaints, i.e. less than 1%. The 2018 statistics no longer specify the rate of admitted appeals:

www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Stats_annual_2018_ENG.pdf

However, it must be presumed that complaints are still admitted in drips and draps, on the pretext that the court's legal standards would be severely high - an affront to applicants from all over Europe.

Question :

How do you explain that the 99% of the complaints are rejected, implying that they are all querulous?

3.

Allegation :

Until 2015, the number of appeals to the Swiss Federal Court has constantly increased, the number of complaints sent to the European Court of Human Rights has exploded. This has to be interpreted as a failure. If quality control were to play a role downstream, the number of complaints would logically decrease. Instead, the system produces more and more scrap.

Nevertheless, it can be observed that the statistics of the Federal Court and the European Court and the Swiss Federal Court claim that the number of appeals was decreasing since 2016. It is smoke and mirrors. Who will monitor these statistics? We can legitimately doubt their veracity. It is only by deceiving the truth, to counter our critics, that the explosion of complaints is proof of the dysfunction.

Question :

Do you agree with the dumbfounded statement of former Federal Councillor Micheline CALMY-REY that the European Court of Human Rights has become a "victim of its own success", and that this court has improved the European judicial system?

4.

Allegation :

The Swiss Federal Court returns the submitted evidences to the unsuccessful appellants. The European Court of Human Rights simply destroys all the files of the deceived plaintiffs. In both cases, the archives remain empty with premeditation. This is a falsification of history, because no historian will ever be able to undertake research in these meaningless archives. Our judges learned the lesson: at the Nuremberg Trial, the victors could easily confuse Nazi criminals, because they had committed the foolishness of keeping detailed accounts of their atrocities committed.

Moreover, the instructions for completing the Strasbourg forms prohibit applicants from using paper clips or staples. This makes it easier to shred unread files.

Question :

What explanation do you have, Mr. MARTY, for the reasons of this falsification of history?

5.

Allegation :

Our cantonal and federal judges have the bad habit of declaring many appeals "inadmissible". A scholarly designation of a legitimate denial of justice, because it relieves judges of the burden of brainstorming to justify their abusive decisions. Judges of the European Court of Human Rights probably handle 95% of complaints by direct mail. In fact, according to their statistics for 2018, they delivered only 2'738 judgments against 40,023 complaints declared "inadmissible". The direct mail consists of a half-page letter, in all European languages, claiming that conditions of articles 34 and 35 of the Convention have not been met. This is a violation of this honourable Convention, which stipulates that decisions must be justified.

Question :

How do you explain that judges turn appeals / appeals into a mess?

6.

Allegation :

The search for the truth is the first duty of judges since the times of Salamon. But our judicial magistrates are sabotaging this search for the truth, by prohibiting interrogations and hearings from being filmed and recorded. It's to get maneuvering space to cheat.

No higher authority carries out what our accountants refer to as "plausibility tests" (e. g. on-site inventory verification, not just in the books). In court, such a test would consist in verifying the existence of the facts, by evaluating the testimony and original information gathered, instead of repeating and copying what the first judges considered to be procedural truths. Historians try to rely on the originals. Our higher judicial authorities almost never do so, very often repeating manifestly false allegations from the first judges.

Question :

How do you comment this allegation ?

7.

Allegation :

The judicial system comprises 4 levels: Courts of first and second instance, Supreme Courts of the countries, and finally the European Court of Human Rights. In addition, the Council of Europe has created the Group of States Against Corruption (GRECO) as an additional control body, which is referring to Transparency International statistics.

At the level of some Swiss cantons, you yourself, Mr MARTY, advocate the creation of Judicial Councils as an additional supervisory shock body. Unfortunately, our federal and European judges claim that it is not their duty to check appellatory complaints. The staff of GRECO and Transparency International refuse to carry out plausibility tests, claiming that it is not their task to deal with specific cases. Judicial Councils are composed of members of the judiciary corporation, thus worthless survey institutions. As a result, they are all alibi surveillance authorities - superfluous.

Question :

How do you want to fight injustice and corruption as a supervisory body, without ever carrying out a plausibility test?

8.

Allegation :

*After having exhausted national remedies, individuals have the option of submitting their complaints to the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, rather than to the European Court of Human Rights. It's less common. From my own observations and experience, this UN agency does not even acknowledge receipt of complaints.**

It is concluded that no international body imposes the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights. This cannot be a coincidence. This is deliberately intended by the occult powers of our planet earth that enslaved the nations.

Question :

How do you explain this obvious dysfunction of the United Nations?

You certainly know that their foundation was financed by ROCKEFELLER, calculating with a return on investment.

9.

Allegation :

Transparency International produces an annual ranking of the degree of corruption of the countries. To do so, these people collect judicial judgments related to corruption.

If an whistleblower denounces a Swiss bank, our Attorney of Confederation Michael LAUBER will not attack the bank, but will mercilessly pursue the whistleblower, thus acting as chief corruption engineer. This explains why Transparency International Switzerland, which always has a representative of the Public Prosecutor's Office on its board, can rank our country as the 3rd least corrupt country. It's virtual. The corruption protected by the system does not appear. Reality is thus quite different: like all countries, we have internal corruption. But corruption is also our leading export product.

In fact, Switzerland is probably the most corrupt country in the world, but our leaders are misleading the world with excellent image marketing. On December 2nd, 2019, you claimed that the Swiss judiciary would have succeeded in controlling the laundering of dirty money, also thanks to the cooperation of our banks.

Question :

For what reason do you not want to check, for example, the concrete corruption scandal of which François LÉGERET is a victim?

10.

Allegation :

As President of the Commission of human rights of the Council of Europe, you were well placed to realize that the European Court of Human Rights is actually the biggest factory of injustice worldwide..

Question ;

How many letters from deceived and desperate European citizens addressed to the Presidency of the Council of Europe have passed through your hands ? How many of these cases of dysfunction have you submitted to a plausibility test ??

11.

Allegation :

*The corrupt politician is the rule, not the exception. This is the root of the evil, because corrupt politicians elect corrupt judicial magistrates to cover each other. At the federal level, we observe that our Swiss federal parliamentarians often elect corrupt federal councillors or presidents of parliament. Recent examples: the President of the National Council 2018, **Dominique DE BUMAN**, Federal Councillor **Viola AMHERD** and the President of the National Council **Isabelle MORET**, elected almost unanimously on December 2nd 2019 (click on the links to see the evidence). According to the principle "I hold you, you hold me by the balls, the corrupt parliamentarian elects a colleague known for his corruption.*

Of our political parties, the Christian Democratic Party (PDC) is certainly the most corrupt, followed by your Radical Liberal Party (PLR) and the Democratic Union of the Centre (UDC). Between the parties of the left (socialists and the Greens), there is rather a collusion with the corrupt right parties: we close our eyes to obtain concessions for our electorate in return. But the corrupt socialist and the corrupt green obviously also exist.

Question :

In your speech, you mentioned that you had to deal with a case of corruption in the context of awarding public contracts. As a prosecutor in Ticino at the time, you would have heard that it was a matter of tradition. You were satisfied with a simple warning, and you were convinced that the evil would be banished. Are you so naive, or did you not want to offend those who elected you?

12.

Allegation :

Apart from Freemasons, there are many other secret societies, such as service clubs (Lions, Ambassador, Rotary, Kiwanis etc.), Scientologists and Opus Dei. The secret services of the great powers are also occult actors: CIA, mi6, Mossad etc. Internationally, the Illuminati and Bilderberg are leading the way. Of course, it's a basket of crabs, but they try to tolerate each other.

One must understand, how this cooperation between the occult powers works: as a cartel with changing geometry. For example, the local mafia of the PDC of the Upper Valais is protected by the Swiss Federal Court. Evidence: in an email dated July 12. 2019, Federal Judge Thomas MERKLI wrote : «... have no competence to deal with (...) "cantonal machinations" ».

The world's oligarchs are at the top of the pyramid. They ensure the subjection of national and international authorities, tolerating corruption at all levels, even in the Upper Valais region. In turn, they get supported from the basis.

This is not a conspiracy theory. The facts prove the existence of the global conspiracy.

Question :

You will most certainly argue that the "conspiracy theory" would live from the silliness of their followers. Are you available for a televised debate with me on this issue?

Final allegation:

You are a very intelligent and educated man. So you cannot take advantage of passing for a fool who has not understood that our judicial system is irreparably degenerate and unreformable. You are a very brilliant servant of the occult powers. We'd give you the good Lord without a confession, really an ideal actor. With your zealous recommendations to strengthen the judicial system, always adding more alibi control bodies, you are misleading public opinion. You frequent the Freemasons, and you behave exactly like a high-ranking brother. Consumers of justice, and witnesses to the dysfunction in all parts of the world, are demanding that justice be controlled from the outside. In fact, citizens' juries can replace all existing faulty control bodies. It would be less expensive, more efficient and faster.

Final question:

Do you yourself think you are a charitable, independent and honest man who does not put his Freemasonic oath above the Federal Constitution?

**The French activist, Corinne MOREL (En quête de Justice), reported that on October 25, 2019 she had filed a collective complaint of 700 judicial victims with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, and that she had been well received. We have to be patient to see the results.*