

Rita Rosenstiel

EMS Nelty de Beausobre

Tél. 021 - 318 36 12

3, rue des Charpentiers, CH-1110 Morges

Cantonal court
Chambre des recours pénale
Route du Signal 8
1014 Lausanne

August 20, 2019

cc: to whom it may concern

Objection against the stopping decision of August 12, 2019 of Eric Cottier, Attorney General, received on August 13, 2019. Deadline: 23 August 2019 - PE18.016446-ECA - Copy attached)

Ladies and Gentlemen,

My discernment

At an unknown date, your accomplices placed me under general curatorship, on the grounds that I would no longer have discernment. The procedure was undertaken behind my back, initiated by the challenged 3-star lawyer Christine Raptis from the Vaudois marsh, imposed ex officio by the challenged Justice of Peace Véronique Loichat Mira. On August 13, 2019, Judge Erica Riva Anaheim acknowledged my capacity for discernment, disavowing Raptis, and the challenged curator Vanesa Casas (24 Heures of August 14, 2019) who had the audacity to accuse my friends Roxana Volanschi and Gerhard Ulrich, against my will and without my knowledge, but on my behalf of violence, threats or harassment. This is justifying to relieve them immediately from their mandates. Since I was not notified of the decision to place me under full guardianship, indeed a very humiliating measure, that decision cannot be enforceable. Consequently, I still enjoy my civil rights, including the right to go to court.

Les faits

On July 12, 2018, Gerhard Ulrich denounced my former curator Jean-Pierre Goetschmann for stealing and destroying my property. He remained unpunished because he was covered by his brothers and sisters in the judiciary. The material damage was never repaired. To hide his crime, Goetschmann made a false inventory. Proof: my detailed April 2014 inventory of my missing movable property, and the false inventory subsequently established by Goetschmann, concealing the existence of my household at the beginning of his mandate - a forgery (evidences in the file).

In fact, I requested by letter dated March 21st 2014, addressed to Goetschmann, to submit an inventory of the missing property. Since he ignored this request (denial of justice), I took the trouble to reconstitute a detailed inventory from memory.

In addition, the theft of donations received from a late friend was reported. Cottier closed my complaints.

I have announced my civil claims, which gives me the right to challenge the Cottier order.

Cottier's dumb arguments for closing my complaints (challenged decision)

1. It's not true to claim that my grievance is "about the sale of furniture." My complaint concerns the theft/destruction of my property, and Goetschmann's dishonest manoeuvre, denying their existence.
2. The Prosecutor wrongly claims, without substantiating his allegation that all "documents (submitted) would be irrelevant. "Any normally constituted person, except the Vaudois lawyers, will confirm that Goetschmann has hidden his theft by a false inventory, and that this forgery is to be prosecuted ex officio.
3. Of course, Cottier himself rejects his challenge as an absolute monarch, although he was convicted on 23 January 2019 in my presence, by a citizens' jury (17 members) guilty of corruption at my expense.
4. Cottier claims sufficiently that my volunteer secretary Gerhard Ulrich would try in vain "to give a new legal qualification to the events." It is an irrefutable fact that my former lawyer, Anne-Rebecca Bula, who betrayed me, never denounced the forgery. This is therefore the famous

new fact, unknown to the first judges, which normally opens the way for revision.

5. Cottier's strong argument is the "ne bis in idem" (no second judgment in the same case), contained in his previous decision of October 30, 2018, respectively his "ne ter in idem" (no third judgment), furnishing his challenged masterpiece. Its flaw is that such a judgment is non-existent or a document kept secret, and therefore invalid.

6. Cottier argues that forced placement in EMS would generally generate "very strong emotions" - which had to be accepted. He was aware that my forced placement was lifted on July 24, 2014 by the Cantonal Court, but that I could not return home because of the theft/destruction/dissolution of my household by Goetschmann.

7. The Prosecutor dismissed my complaint because of the theft of the donations of my late friend Tino Repetto into the "register of resentment". He too classifies me as insane. Out of laziness, he waives the hearing of witness Mario Matasci, Via Verbano 6, 6596 Tenero TI, who has just confirmed by email dated 18 August 2019, when what sum was sent to me by his grandson, Andrea Marani, Lausanne (CHF 29'000 in total). Copy attached. - Not only was this money stolen from me. To this day, my cash is being stolen from my room, as it is from the other residents here, without the informed management of this facility does react. This is the residents' nightmare.

Conclusions

I object to this scandalous classification, insisting that Jean-Pierre Goetschmann, Cottier's brother, be punished for his theft and false inventory.

I am filing a civil action. I request to be compensated for the material damage (CHF 85'000 according to the household insurance) + adequate compensation for the hundreds of hours spent fighting this disgusting system.

Mr. "ne bis in idem" alias "ne ter in idem" never obtained a lawyer's certificate. Maybe that explains his dismal performance.

Either you notify me of the first judgment to which Cottier refers to, in order to be able to interpret this approach as a request for revision, or you accept it as an appeal against the contested order, to set it aside.

Free legal assistance

It is well known that I live on AHV and supplementary benefits, justifying my request to benefit from the free procedure.

Challenge

On January 23, the citizens' jury also found the President of the Cantonal Court, Eric Kaltenrieder, guilty of corruption at my expense. It would be far from reality to suggest that Vaudois lawyers, all accomplices of the system, would not have any links with Kaltenrieder and Cottier. They depend on them. Consequently, I reject all the judges of the Canton of Vaud. The case must be dealt with by an Extra-Cantonal Court.

Regards

Rita Rosenstiel,

Born on February 19 1924

Enclosures

1. Challenged decision of August 12, 2019
2. E-mail of Mario Matasci August 18 2019, containing exact informations concerning the donations of late Tino Repetto