

**Gerhard ULRICH**  
Avenue de Lonay 17  
CH-1110 Morges

May, 26, 2019



**Professor Nathalie DONGOIS**  
Ecole de droit pénal  
UNIL Chamberonne Bâtiment  
Internet CH  
CH-1015 Lausanne

*cc: To whom it may concern*

*«Victims of judiciary errors?» - Conference of May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019, organized by the students of the UNIL at the Caffè Bellini, Lausanne*

*Dear Professor Dongois,*

*We were 70 – 80 participants, mostly students. No Judge nor Lawyer. Judiciary victims are not existing in their mind. Your eloquent plea was professional. According to my taste, the atmosphere was somewhat too hilar, considering the seriousness of the topic. Seen from the perspective of the judiciary victims, I have just 3 observations to add:*

- 1. You underline the importance of the quality of the interrogations. The audio-visual registering would grant it. There exists no reasonable argument for the obstinated refusal of the system to have interrogations and trials filmed.*
- 2. You denounced the fact that France did not have for a long time appeals in penal matters. The Canton of Vaud, where you are teaching, did not have it neither, until the unification of the Swiss penal procedure in 2011.*
- 3. As an example of judiciary error, you mentioned the case of the teenager Patrick DILS, condemned twice for double murder on the bases of wrong avovals, but finally acquittet by a court in Reims. In that case, the apparatus had corrected somewhat late his own mistakes. – Still from the perspective of victims, it would be more usefull for your students to analyse local cases of dysfunction which the system is not mending.*

*At the end of your presentation, I asked the young organizers of the evening, to be allowed to make a short intervention, which was granted. However, after having asked for my name, they withdrew this permission. You know what followed. I had the guts to overrule that censorship. See <https://youtu.be/9R95-m6QECE> (1 h 08min - 1 h 12 min.).*

*Subsequently, one of the organizers apologized for my intervention perceived as being beyond the framework. Was it really inappropriate to transmit a message on behalf of the judiciary victims in the context, where they were the subject? You added: «We are not here to judge anyone.» Would criticizing the actors of the judiciary authority not be politically correct? So what !*

*At the end, we distributed 34 copies of my intervention in printing (see below) and 39 autobiographies of Rita ROSENSTIEL (95 years old). About half of the audience seems to have been interested in my intervention.*

*Remarks of an observer: «They are naive, these youngsters. Just one student approached ULRICH directly, with courage and without being afraid. Bravo ! He held his head firmly on his shoulders. Many others left heads down, fainting not to see the pile with the booklet of Rita.» ¼ of these students, probably daughters/sons of the elite of Lawyers or futur careerists, determined to join the cartel of dominant supermen, went by, declaring with disdain: «I am not interested.» Poor golden, psychopath youth, incapable of empathy.*

*Dear Madame DONGOIS, I remind you that Michèle HERZOG [mh@herzoginfo.ch](mailto:mh@herzoginfo.ch) is waiting for your answers to her submitted questions:*

[Conférence le 21 mai 2019 à Lausanne sur les erreurs judiciaires.](#)

*I terminate this letter by offering me as a volunteer presenter for your students. I would like to teach about the judiciary disaster. I spent 20'000 hours to analyze doubtful files, and I suppose to have acquired the necessary expertise.*

*Remaining at your disposal, dear Professor DONGOIS, I remain truly yours*

*Gerhard ULRICH*

*Enclosure: Intervention in printing of May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019*

***Victims of judiciary errors?***

*Conference organized by the students of the UNIL in Lausanne, May 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019*

*Intervention of Gerhard ULRICH*

**1. Presentation**

*My name is Gerhard ULRICH, Swiss dissident since almost 20 years in the business, i.e. actively searching for the judiciary dysfunction. It is like the discovering a new continent.*

*I own a data base containing the names of 2'000 Lawyers and I built up a large European network of judiciary victims.*

**2. Let's talk about calculable facts**

**2.1. The cantonal courts (2<sup>nd</sup> instance)**

*According to my observations 10 - 20 % of the appeals are admitted.*

**2.2. Swiss Federal Court (FC)**

*According to its annual reports, 13 % out of about 8'000 appeals / year are admitted. Nowadays, these reports do not differentiate any more between admitted and partially admitted appeals. I heard the declaration of the former President of the FC, Gilbert KOLLY saying proudly to have managed during his last presidential year (2016) to compress the rate of admitted appeals down to 2,3 %. He was talking obviously about the fully admitted appeals. The remaining partially admitted appeals are just good for decorating the statistics.*

*97,7 % = about 7'800 rejected applicants are earmarked as querulous. This is disconnected from reality. Possibly, 10 – 20 % of these persons are unable to differentiate between good and evil. This means, that the FC is producing according to my understanding annually between 6 and 7'000 judiciary victims. Taking into account those abused citizens who did not go through the long procedure up to the FC, one can estimate by extrapolation that Switzerland is producing at least 10'000 judiciary victims / year.*

**2.3. European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)**

*Its annual reports show growing numbers of complaints. Actually, the Court is receiving between 50 and 60'000 appeals / year. When I consulted the report of 2016, I found under the chapter «Statistics» that 517 out of 53'500 complaints had been admitted, < 1 %! The report of 2018 is hiding this figure. I deduct that the ECHR is causing yearly at least 50'000 judiciary victims, It is the biggest factory of injustice worldwide.*

*If Switzerland (8,3 mio inhabitants) is producing > 10'000 victims / year, the area of the Council of Europe (830 mio inhabitants) has an output of more or less 1 mio.*

**2.4. The High-Commission of the human rights of the United Nations (OHCHR)**

*My experience is limited to a dozen of cases (CH, F, B, D, A), including my complaint against Switzerland for illegal censorship of the Internet. As a rule, the plaintiffs to not even obtain an acknowledgement of receipt.*

**3. Conclusions**

*The European judiciars system is irredeemably degenerated and irreformable. The quality control carried out by the superior instances is a failure, inviting the local tyrants to abuse of their power, i.e. to pronounce deliberately arbitrary judgments. Not the judiciary error is the problem. An intentional error can be corrected. The premeditated manipulations have to be in the focus, because no international instance (nor the ECHR nor the OHCHR) are enforcing the application of the human*

*rights. We need a completely new judiciary system, where the survey of quality control is exercised locally from the outside of the seraglio, e.g. by citizens' juries.*

#### **4. Recommendations to the future Lawyers**

*First of all, do not imitate the GRECO (Groupe d'Etats contre la corruption du Conseil de l'Europe) and Transparency International (TI) who pretend to fight corruption. TI is establishing yearly a ranking of corruption by country, on the bases of pronounced condemnations of corruption by Courts. TI is categorically refusing to examine concrete cases of reported corruption. For TI the Million of judiciary victims produced annually does not exist. The GRECO and the UN are basing themselves on these statistics of that alibi NGO. A real joke.*

#### **5. Two concrete Vaudois exemples**

##### **5.1. Rita ROSENSTIEL (95)**

*She was put under guardianship and forced to enter into a home. Her former trustee destroyed and has stolen all her belongings, approved by the Vaudois Magistrates. 2 broadcasts of the RTS TV and reports in the printed media did denounce the case. ½ mio French speaking Swiss did realize the ill treatment. But up to date the President of the cantonal court has the guts to deny that the responsible Judge of Peace has committed any fault. This Judge is still continuing to harass Mrs. ROSENSTIEL. Her autobiography which she wrote at the age of 93 years is available free of charge, and I am at your disposal to inform you in detail about the present situation of Rita.*

##### **5.2. François LÉGERET**

*He has been condemned without proofs nor avowal to a lifelong prison sentence for a presumed triple assassination, based on a fancy scenario, designated by the Attorney General Eric COTTIER as an «intellectual reconstitution», inspired by «flashes» he has had.*

*3 witnesses did invalidate this imagined scenario. Several journalists demonstrated his innocence. The publications of Jacques SECRETAN are most convincing. However, even SECRETAN is chatting of «judiciary error», avoiding carefully for not crossing the red line and to talk about a plot and corruption, because otherwise, this would be the end of his job career, to be labeled as a conspiracy theorist. – I confirm: the affair LÉGERET is a case of corruption. François is a victim of the Vaudois politico-judiciary plot.*

*I would be happy to hand over my analysis of the case, contained on a USB stick to students. I had the honor to get acknowledged with this man of great human value who is now jailed since 13 years and 4 months – in spite of being innocent !*

#### **6. Last advises to the students reading Law**

*You did just hear items about which your teachers are not informing you. As TI they never take care of concrete cases of judiciary dysfunction. As a consequence, your Professors who are chatting about «judiciary errors» do not know about what they are talking. Otherwise, they would speak about plots, corruption, deliberate manipulation of the truth and lies.*

*If you are not careerists and opportunists, then you will start to search, to study and analyze concrete judiciary crimes.*

***The debate about «judiciary errors» is just a smoke screen for hiding the judiciary crime.***