

Gerhard ULRICH
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges

November 22nd, 2018



Pierre-Yves
MAILLARD
State
Councillor



Eric **KALTEN-**
RIEDER, Presi-
dent of the
cantonal Court



Eric **COTTIER**
Attorney
General

Mr Régis COURDESSE
*President of the so-called Survey
Commission of the cantonal
Parliament*
Place du Château 6

1014 CH-Lausanne

cc: To the trio **MAILLARD**, **KALTENRIEDER** and **COTTIER**
To whom it may concern

Public and contradictory hearing concerning **Rita ROSENSTIEL (94)**

Mister **COURDESSE**,
Ladies and Gentlemen of the cantonal Parliament,

Referemce is made to your invitation to come together with Mrs. **ROSENSTIEL** on January 23rd, 2019 at 2 :30 p.m. before of your Commission, 3rd floor, place du Château 6 in Lausanne. The request that this hearing shall be contradictory and public is reiterated.

Such parliamentarian commissions are hunting down the petitions of citizens almost everywhere in Europe, always according to the same scheme: after the written or oral hearing of the petitioner, one does invite separatly an Officer of the State who will then present his lies, which cannot be contested. Their allegations become the procedural truth, retained by the politicians. **The publicity and contradictory are a must.**

It is known that Mrs. **ROSENSTIEL** is enduring since almost five years the traumatic effects of her forced placement in a nursing home, as well as the theft / distruction of her belongings. **The State has never appologized, has never made a serious attempt to repair.** In the contrary, **MAILLARD** (State Councillor),

***KALTENRIEDER** («President» of the cantonal court) and **COTTIER** («Attorney General») continue to abuse of this respectable 94 years old Lady, for protecting obstinately the guilty cronies. See her letters in French of [November 16, 2018](#) to **KALTENRIEDER** with *enclosures*, as well as her mail to her present female curator of [November 17, 2018](#), where she is denouncing the brutality of **COTTIER**.*

For preparing this hearing, the trio receives small individual questionnaires. They are invited to reply to the presented questions in writing to you and a copy to the undersigned until latest January 15, 2019. Without motivated reply from their side, the allegations contained in these questionnaires will have to be considered uncontested. It goes without saying that the petitioners are having the right to ask them more questions orally during the future hearing, exposing them to the obligation to react spontaneously.

The persons who are supporting Rita ROSENSTIEL are mobilized herewith to unite from 2 p.m. onwards at the entrance to the hearing room. Subsequently, we shall decide ad hoc, whether we need to react as a Jury of citizens to assume the job which you are probably refusing to do: the efficient survey of the Seraglio from the outside, and to condemn, if appropriate, the corrupt Magistrates. Such a hearing will obviously be contradictory and public. Contradictory, as far as the protagonists will have the courage to show up. In their absence, the uncontested allegations of the parties will have to be respected by such a Jury.

*If you should object that the hearing facility is not layed out to admit the public, you may alternatively allow to have the debates filmed. A copy of the video will be handed out to Mrs. **ROSENSTIEL**.*

Please acknowledge that public welfare ought to be debated in public.

Sincerely yours

Gerhard ULRICH

Enclosures:

- 1. Letter of **Rita ROSENSTIEL** to **KALTENRIEDER** of [November 16, 2018](#)*
- 2. [Enclosures to this letter](#)*
- 3. Letter of **Rita ROSENSTIEL** of [November 17, 2018](#) to her present curator*

Gerhard ULRICH

November 22nd, 2018

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges

Pierre-Yves MAILLARD

State Councillor VD, chief of the

Departement of public health and

the social action

Rue du Lac 34

CH-1020 Renens

Summon to appear

To you, Pierre-Yves MAILLARD,

You are summoned to appear on January 23rd, 2019 at 2 :30 p.m. before the cantonal Parliaments' survey commission of the cantonal court, Place du Château 6 3rd floor.

Please remain available thereafter to respond to the accusation of corruption / membership to a criminal association before of a Jury of citizens. Location and hour of that meeting shall be communicated on the spot.

You are summoned to reply in writing to the enclosed questionnaire to said commission with copy to the undersigned.

Should you fail to appear, the Jury shall proceed nonetheless.

To you, Pierre-Yves MAILLARD

Gerhard ULRICH

Questionnaire for the State Councillor MAILLARD

1.

Allegation: The Canton of Vaud is realizing more forced placements in nursing homes than in the Swiss average, for feeding the Industry of homes, psychiatrists, experts and social assistants etc.

Question : How do you explain that the country of Vaud is excelling in forced placements in nursing homes of old people?

2.

*Allegation : You are informed since five years of the crime committed on the back of the ninety years old Lady, **Rita ROSENSTIEL**, but you did not feel it to be appropriate to present the excuses in the name of the State of Vaud, nor to take care to grant a reparation to be done since you had other priorities.*

Question : How do you explain your inertia ?

3.

*Allegation: It is well known that between your clients, plenty of them are taking profit of the PLAFIA industry (forced placement of elder people in nursing homes). For not deceiving these profiteers, you preferred to keep silent with regard to the scandal **ROSENSTIEL**, and as well, because you are courting the corrupt right parties.*

Question : How do you explain your lack of sensitivity?

Gerhard ULRICH

November 22nd, 2018

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges

Eric KALTENRIEDER

President of the cantonal Court

Chemin de la Barque 6a

CH-1027 Lonay

Summon to appear

To you, Eric KALTENRIEDER,

You are summoned to appear on January 23rd, 2019 at 2 :30 p.m. before the cantonal Parliaments' survey commission of the cantonal court, Place du Château 6 3rd floor.

Please remain available thereafter to respond to the accusation of corruption / membership to a criminal association before a Jury of citizens. Location and hour of that meeting shall be communicated on the spot.

You are summoned to reply in writing to the enclosed questionnaire to said commission with copy to the undersigned.

Should you fail to appear, the Jury shall proceed nonetheless.

To you, Eric KALTENRIEDER

Gerhard ULRICH

Questionnaire for the «President» of the cantonal Court [KALTENRIEDER](#)

1.

Allegation : On April 11, 2017 you discussed with the unloyal Lawyer Anne-Catherine BULA the transaction to be prepared to be signed on May 24, 2018. You exploited the State of weakness of the victim [Rita ROSENSTIEL](#), resulting from four years of sterile procedures, for finding a solution, costing little or almost nothing to the State. You did foresee an indemnity for moral wrongs of CHF 20'000 for final settlement, disregarding the material damage caused to the victim. Your only concern was to settle the case at little costs for the State. However, you are not saving money to have payed out your marvellous salaries, financed by the tax payers.

Question : What do you say?

2.

Allegation: By message distributed via Internet and as flyers into the mailboxes of your living place, you got to know about the disastrous consequences of your transaction. Among others, the amount for moral wrongs of CHF 20'000 has been blocked by the curator, and that [Rita ROSENSTIEL](#) is complaining that somebody has stolen the donation of a friend of the same amount. You waited to have the storm passed by, as you are practicing it for ever.

Question: According to you, what are the reasons that you kept silence?

3.

Allegation: By decision of November 13, 2018 you imposed to [Rita ROSENSTIEL](#) to have to continue to deal with the Judge of peace Véronique LOICHAT MIRA, the one who did destroy her life. You did insinuate that the heavy and repeated mistakes of these Magistrates were not really serious. You did it for protecting the guilty individuals, because your well known conspiracy of the Vaudois Magistrates is obliging you to do that.

Question: How do you justify such wacky judiciary arguments ?

Gerhard ULRICH

November 21st, 2018

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges

Eric COTTIER

Attorney General

Avenue de Jaman 11

CH-1005 Lausanne

Summon to appear

To you, Eric COTTIER,

You are summoned to appear on January 23rd, 2019 at 2 :30 p.m. before the cantonal Parliaments' survey commission of the cantonal court, Place du Château 6 3rd floor.

Please remain available thereafter to respond to the accusation of corruption / membership to a criminal association before a Jury of citizens. Location and hour of that meeting shall be communicated on the spot.

You are summoned to reply in writing to the enclosed questionnaire to said commission with copy to the undersigned.

Should you fail to appear, the Jury shall proceed nonetheless.

To you, Eric COTTIER

Gerhard ULRICH

Questionnaire for the «Attorney General» [COTTIER](#)

1.

Allegation: Your «prosecutors» Hélène SMITH and Hélène RAPPAZ were carrying out a no-investigation against the curator GOETSCHMANN during four years, although his crimes were obvious. He has not been punished, because he has committed these horrors, ordered by the «Judge» of Peace Véronique LOICHAT MIRA, i.e. a clerk enjoying the total impunity of your corporation.

Question: What other explanation can you put forward?

2.

Allegation: For hunting down the denunciation of the curator GOETSCHMANN and the «Judge» of Peace Véronique LOICHA MIRA because of them having forged a wrong inventory (= forgery), you quoted the dogma «ne bis in idem». Actually, this offence has never been subject to any judgment, but you misused cunningly that principle for issueing a complacency decision in favour of these two offenders.

Question : For which other reason do you pretend to have quoted maliciously that wrong argument?

3.

Allegation: You had knowldege that the actual «President» of the cantonal Court, [KALTENRIEDER](#) did abuse of his authority, by exploiting the state of weakness of a ninety year old Lady, manipulating her to sign a shameful transaction, against her interests. Abuse of authority has to be pursued ex officio. You closed both your eyes, because the plot of your interconnected corporation is evident. Your actions are betraying you to be a close and reliable crony of [KALTENRIEDER](#).

Question: How do you want to deny the evidence of your plot of corrupt Magistrates?