

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

June 26, 2018

*Dissident, former political prisoner
Founder + former Président of the citizens'
Initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE
Network SALVE EUROPA !
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges*



**Mr. Per Anders Gunnar
KOMPASS**
*Field Operations Manager
OHCHR – Palais Wilson
53, rue des Pâquis
CH-1201 Geneva*

cc: To whom it may concern

***My [complaint of January 27, 2018](#) against the Swiss Confederation
for illegal censorship of the Internet***

Dear Sir,

By ordinary mail of June 11, 2018, received on June 20, 2018, you returned to me this complaint with all enclosures. In the accompanying letter one is informing me «that any communication presented to the Commission ought to be in connexion with one of the international tools concerning Human Rights».

Hence, on the pages 6/7 of my complaint I specified that there exists violation of the articles 17 (abus of right) and 10 (right for freedom of expression) of the European Convention of Human Rights. Would this international treaty not have any importance for you? The universal declaration of Human Rights of 1948 contains the matching articles:

- Article 8 (right for an efficient appeal)*
- Article 19 (right of the freedom of expression)*

It is well known that Justice has blindfolded eyes. The blindness of your clerks cannot be explained otherwise. The evidence is presented to them black on white, furnishing the corpus delicti, proving that the alleged Swiss Constitutional State is censoring the Internet, by falsifying illegally the DNS – and your clerks are finding a pretext for returning the file.

Meanwhile, the Swiss are continueing to censor the Web (see enclosure 2).

Observing the judiciary dysfunctioning since the year 2000, I know hundreds of abuses of authority committed by the European Court of Human Rights. My experience with the working methods of the High Commissioner of Human Rights at the UN is limited to 4 affairs:

- *Dr. Elke LAUTERBACH c/Germany of January 24, 2013 (child abduction from the mother)*
- *Joseph JUND c/France of April 27, 2017 (abuse of psychiatry, fraud committed by State Officials)*
- *Gerhard ULRICH c/Swiss Confederation of [June 21st, 2017](#) (Denunciation of 12 corruption affairs covered by the Vaudois / Swiss Magistrates)*
- *Gerhard ULRICH c/Swiss Confederation of [January 27, 2018](#) (illegal censorship of the Internet)*

Mrs. LAUTERBACH and Mr. JUND never got the right to obtain an assignement number. My complaint of [June 21st, 2017](#) has been returned to me by ordinary mail, without the slightest comment nor regards.

Your letter of June 11, 2018, using a cheap excuse does not have more weight. The High Commissioner of Human Rights of the UN, would ist be a paper tiger as well?

One is legitimated to suppose that the UN Diplomats do not want to challenge countries, which are heavily financing them – Germany, France and Switzerland. The meekness with regard to Switzerland is making the impression that Diplomates and Statesmen are eager for not upsetting the Swiss rulers, running a country, where banksters are smartly laundring and hiding their black money.

In conclusion, I return to you my complete complaint of [January 27, 2018](#), and I am requesting herewith to have the illegal censorship practiced by the Swiss Confederation (violation of article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights duely investigated and condemned by your agency.

Sincerely yours

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

Annexes: 1. My complete complaint of [January 27, 2018](#)

2. Appeal of June 26, 2018 against the illegal censorship of the Web

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

June 26, 2018

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges



Sophie KOEHLI,
the young and
almighty «Prosecutor»
nicknamed
«The censor»

Chambre de recours pénale
Tribunal cantonal VD
Palais de l'Hermitage
Route du Signal 8

1014 Lausanne

cc: High Commissioner of Human Rights at the UN, Geneva
Citycable, DFI Service SA, Fastnet SA, Google Switzerland GmbH,
Green CH AG, IP Worldcom SA, Netplus CH SA, Netplusfr SA,
Salt Mobile SA, Sunrise Communications AG, Swisscom (Schweiz) AG,
UPC Schweiz GmbH, Video 2000 SA
To whom it may concern

**Appeal against the decision of «confiscation» = *ensorship*
of the «Prosecutor» Sophie KOEHLI of June 21st, 2018 (copy enclosed)**

Ladies and Gentlemen,

For avoiding the ugly word of censorship, KOEHLI Sophie is talking euphemistically of the «confiscation» of our Web Sites. I received her decision by A mail on June 23, 2018. Consequently I am appealing within the deadlines.

On page 2, point 3, she refers to the article 263 al. 1 let. D CCP, which would allow her to confiscate «assets belonging to the accused». However, my assets are not at stake, but my fundamental right according article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948:

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers

A fundamental Right cannot be «confiscated». For this reason alone this heinous decision cannot be applied.

It is perfectly illegal to confiscate illegally an Internet portal, by falsifying the DNS (violating the Swiss Federal Constitution and the Law on telecommunications).

Mrs. KOEHLI did not even bother to respect my right to be heard, violating thus this fundamental principle. This is another good reason to evacuate the decision into the waste bin.

«The censor» did never verify, if my statements regarding the Lawyer [Michel TINGUELY](#) are true. Article 173.2 of the PC is granting impunity to whom has said the truth. She is admitting herself that it is not established that the complaints of [TINGUELY](#) were justified (point 4 in initio).

Still under point 3, KOEHLI is usurping the authority of a Judge. That is nonsense. She is just a Prosecutor today. But carrying out the orders of her superiors [Jean-Marie RUEDE](#) and [Eric COTTIER](#), she is insuring her promotion, because the Vaudois Magistrates are taking a direct advantage of the censoring of our Sites, denouncing their [wide spread corruption](#). A conflict of interest is prevailing, if the Vaudois Magistrates are claiming the right to decide about the censoring, which is benefitting to them.

The complicity of the eternal plaintiff [TINGUELY](#) with the Vaudois Judges /Prosecutors has not any more to be evidenced. He is their tool. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/savioz.htm

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/david_contre_goliath.htm

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2018-06-01_guignard-e.pdf

Consequently, the Vaudois Magistrates did totally discredit themselves. They make a fool of themselves, by wanting to appear as independant and neutral according the the requests of Article 6 ECHR. They are challenged.

The previous order to censor illegaly of [October 5, 2016](#) of the young «Prosecutor» [Stéphane COLETTA](#) has been ignored by the Internet access providers, except by Swisscom/bluewin and Citycable. The other operators just ignored it, preferring to respect the legality. They were not bothered by the Vaudois. There exists thus the hope that this public spirit will be preserved. I am thanking in advance the honest operators.

In conclusion, the Vaudois Magistrates are all challenged, and the attacked decision has to be cancelled by independant and neutral Magistrates.

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

«They are not winning, because they are stronger, better informed or more educated. They are winning, because they are cheating, applying laws wrongly and are disforming the facts. In short: they are behaving viciously.»

An expert in this matter, Brigitte BONELLO