Gerhard ULRICH

March, 3rd, 2018

Avenue de Lonay 17 CH-1110 Morges



Marc PELLET. «juge» cantonal VD

Ulrich MEYER, President of the Institution of the Federal Court Avenue du Tribunal fédéral 29

CH-1000 Lausanne 14

Recours against the decision 59 / PE17.001616-VPT of the «Judge» of the Vaudois cantonal court, Marc PELLET, dispatched on February 5, 2018

The Freemason Claude BUDRY c/ Gerhard ULRICH

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2017-12-29_appel_motive-e.pdf www.youtube.com/watch?v=scnQKuZlfRQ&t=337s

To you, Ulrich MEYER,

These days, I did let you read in copy mail exchanges with a victim of the interconnected Vaudois rope party. I ignore if the sufferings of the victims of corruption in this canton are awakening a rest of humanity in you.

Here we deal with the ruine of the Vaudois Farmer Jakob GUTKNECHT, where the 2 State Councillors VD. Pierre-Yves MAILLARD and Béatrice MÉTRAUX were unmasked to be accomplices. Indeed, the documentation of 12 corruption affairs VD is unveiling that the government in toto was covering the plot: www.worldcorruption.info/index htm files/gu 2017-06-21 ohchr-e.pdf

Subsequently, only the downfall of this interconnected drope party will reestablish the Constitutional State.

Admissibility

This decision has been notified on February 6, 2018, and the deadline to appeal is thus March 8, 2018. Posted today, this recours is admissible.

The background of the cause

Documented by hard copies in the file and as well on Internet:

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm The phony first instance trial was filmed: www.youtube.com/watch?v=scnQKuZlfRQ&t=337s

By the challenged decision **PELLET** and consorts declared my appeal against it to be inadmissible, with the excuse that I had not withdrawn inconvenient words.

Judicial Appreciation

Inspite of my reiterated requests, no Vaudois Magistrate has reacted to my demand for transparency. Facing a Freemasonic Plot, it is the least to know their possible membership to the Freemasonery. It is shocking that they did not challenge themselves — raison to cancel imperatively this decision. The accomplices of the Freemason BUDRY are deadlocked: They can anihilate by no other means my appeal of December 29, 2017.

Actually, I have not been invited to withdraw in this cas «inconvenient allegations», as it has been the case for the preceding intention to appeal, submitted at a different date. It is their only chance to save the rope party. Furthermore, Switzerland does not know the «contempt of court». If the Vaudois are not accepting me to call a spade a spade, they do have the possibility to file a complaint for injury or insult. They do not belong to a superiior race.

The 3 pilars of justice are: the search of the truth, efficient appeals and the application of the laws, not the susceptibility of the State clerks.

The Vaudois are planning to jail me for a fifth year, using the salami tactics as in the past: in this case, they intend to gratify me with 100 days in prison by avoiding a public appeal trial (= secretive justice); their «Prosecutor» COLETTA just condemned me for another 60 days and 4 more trials are in the pipeline. At the rate of 60 days, one obtains a total of $100 + 4 \times 60 = 400$ days – for having critisized them – 5 years in jail! Goodby freedom of expression.

Conclusions

The challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates is a must. The challenged decision is inadmissible. Considering the really existing plot (I had to investigate 16 years do discover it), the affair GUTKNECHT, as well as the penal procedure BUDRY c/ULRICH have to been dealt with on federal level, by public and contradictory trials, by a neutral and independent court according to article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights. No Vaudois court is fulfilling those conditions.

I am enjoying de facto free of charge procedure.

To you, Ulrich MEYER

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

Enclosures: copy of the decision 69 PE17-001616-VPT of February 5, 2018 + envelop.