

Gerhard ULRICH
Avenue de Lonay17
1110 Morges

August 23 2017



Yvonne GENDRE



Déphine QUELOZ

Mrs **Béatrice MÉTRAUX**
State Councillor
Department of institutions and of the
security
Place du Château 1
1014 Lausanne

cc:

To whom it may concern

Strictly confidential version, indicating the names of the concerned citizens:

Simonetta SOMMARUGA, Chief of the Federal Department of Justice and Police

Maurice ROPRAZ, président du Conseil d'Etat Fribourg

Ulrich MEYER, President of the Federal Court

Daniel KIPFER FASCIATI, President of the Penal Federal Court

Jacques ANTENEN, Commander of the Vaudois cantonal Police

Pierre SCHUWEY, Commander of the cantonal Police Fribourg

Johannes FRÖLICHER, cantonal court FR/ Jean-Daniel ROULET, cantonal court NE

Illegal intervention of the Police of Fribourg on Vaudois territory, concerning a mother and her baby under rule of the authorities of Neuchâtel / discrimination and baby in danger

Mrs. State Councillor,

On April 7 2017, Frédéric BERTSCHY, commander OPJ of the Police of FR ordered R. KNECHTLE inspector, matriculation no 1237, H. GOLTAS, lady inspector, matriculation no 2138, M. BIELMANN, inspector and C. KOLLY, policemen of the criminal Police FR to carry out a house search at the residence of C. + J. U.,,
VD. This was an illegal intervention of the Police FR on Vaudois territory.

The case: The Swiss Yenish family of C.U. did suffer of racial measures from generation to generation – abusive psychiatric internment, forced sterilization, separation of the children from their parents etc. Her daughter H.U., born in 1982, university formation, teacher of the secondary level I and II, as well as medical secretary, is facing since 2 years a judiciary harassment, after abusive use of the same racial discriminations by her ex-partner. Immediately after their separation in summer 2015, H.U. did lodge a penal denunciation against the father of their newly born child, in particular for threat and attempts of child abduction (the father is foreigner and has left Switzerland, living on various continents). And reacting to it, the father did misuse the argument of the past

*psychiatric internment of the mother of H.U. for alleged «psychiatric antecedents in the family», necessitating according to him to have the custody of the baby removed from his ex-partner. The Lady Prosecutor Yvonne **GENDRE** les Planchettes 1644 Avrydevant-Pont was dealing with the affair (4 negative references in our data base collected since 2002). Without inquiry, and declaring openly the racial measures anti-Yenish to have been legitimated, **GENDRE** ordered that H.U. shall undergo a psychiatric expertise, declaring H.U. to be guilty of slanderous complaint. **GENDRE** did suggest to have the power to grab the baby from the mother. She threatened her with forced psychiatric internment if she would maintain her penal complaint against the father of the child (evidence: recording). In addition, on the basis of a no entry order issued by **GENDRE**, **QUELOZ** ordered the visit rights to be enforced by the Police and dropped simultaneously the survey of the visits by the father living since April 2017 abroad). The mother objected, and refused to hand out the baby under those conditions, by appealing up to the Federal Court. Four days after the refusal of the mother to have her baby to leave Switzerland, **QUELOZ** ordered the immediate separation of the baby from the mother on April 6 2017 and to have the baby placed in a home, by the only argument that the mother was in opposition to a judiciary decision. However, all interveners are attesting to the mother of the baby to be mentally fit, and that the baby was in security with the mother (in particular the family physician, a psychiatric expert, and the trustee representing the child). **GENDRE**, who's partial position did permit these deviations was eventually challenged on June 13 2017 by the Federal Court which retained that the allegations of the Prosecutor are to be considered objectively «to have a doubt about the partial activity » of **GENDRE** with regard to Mrs. U. But the Judges in charge of the civil procedure and who have to deal with the penal appeals of Mrs. U., for cancelling the formal decisions which were issued by the challenged Prosecutor and who will appoint another Prosecutor to deal with the case, are the same Judges who did state that it was not offending penal law nor ethics to have declared said racial persecution to have been legal. (decision of February 7 2017 of the cantonal court Fribourg). **QUELOZ** had ordered the Police FR to have the baby removed from the mother, without any pre-advise on Vaudois territory. This mother is however under the rule of NE (domicile on NE since 2015): the transfer of the jurisdiction became effective on March 22 2017. The authorities of Vaud, Fribourg, Neuchâtel and of the Confederation are herewith exhorted to sanction the Judge **QUELOZ** who had ordered that illegal intervention of the Police, and first of all, to cancel the abusive measures which have been imposed against H.U. and her baby, in order to stop finally this vil halali against a Yenish mother and her family, and to permit to the mother and the baby to live together a normal life.*

To you, Mrs. State Councillor

Gerhard ULRICH, dissident