

Gerhard ULRICH
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges

June 21, 2017



Mr. Zeid Ra'ad AL HUSSEIN
High Commissioner for Human Rights
OHCHR – Palais Wilson
53, rue des Pâquis
CH-1201 Geneva

cc: To whom it may concern

***Complaint against Switzerland for violation of the articles
1, 7, 8, 10 et 17.2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of the UNO***

Excellency,

By copy of letter dated [06.01.17](#) and [08.03.17](#), I informed you about the irredeemable degradation of the European judiciary system, and in particular the dysfunctions in Switzerland (corruption, censorship, arbitrariness), announcing my imminent complaint against this country.

On March 13 2017, I requested by letter to provide me with your rules for filing a complaint against Switzerland. The reply, dated March 22 2017 advised me to consult your Web Site. By letter of April 5 2017 with an enclosed screen print, I informed your services that the indicated link for loading down the conditions of complaints is dead. Not having received a reply, I reiterated my desire to obtain your printed guidelines for filing complaints by registered mail of April 24 2017, trace and track of the Swiss Post number 98.00.111003.00112290. I did not get an answer. I suppose it to be useful for you to signal this double failure of your UN agency.

Nevertheless, not having at hand your conditions, I file my complaint, relying on common sense against Switzerland for violation of said articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of the UN. Should my present complaint not fulfil your standards, I beg you kindly to give me the opportunity to adapt it, by sending me your printed guidelines.

The facts

*Since April 2001, I denounce vigorously by all available means the corruption and frauds of the former Swiss Federal «Judge» **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**. See denunciation submitted to the Federal Councillor Simonetta SOMMARUGA of [20.08.16](#). I enclose a hard copy of it, containing 6 enclosures. I launched reminders on [13.09.16](#), [23.09.16](#), [20.10.16](#), [23.11.16](#), [18.12.16](#) and [21.12.16](#). Collaterally, I informed Kreti and Pleti of the Judiciary, the Politics and the Mass Media. One must know that **SCHNEIDER** presided during years the Chamber of penal Law at the Swiss Federal Court. Domiciliated in the canton of Vaud, his illegal self-enrichment was covered by the Vaudois judiciary apparatus. In turn **SCHNEIDER** backed plenty of corruption affairs (see enclosed report «How to diagnose the Freemasonic plot»).*

Concerning the Law

*The silence of the judiciary, political and Media powers is to be assimilated with an unacceptable denial of Justice. The God of the judiciary Olympus **SCHNEIDER** remained untouchable, notwithstanding his documented abuses, to be pursued ex officio. This is violating the following articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of the UN:*

*1 (equality of the human beings in front of the Law), 7 (All are equal before the Law (...)) All are entitled to equal protection of the Law against any discrimination...), 8 (Everyone has the right to an effective remedy...), 10 (Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal (...)), 17.2 (No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property). This latter article is applicable for the victim of **SCHNEIDER**, i.e. the architect ETHZ Erhard KELLER.*

The criminality of this retired Magistrate has never been denounced so far to an international Organization. This complaint is thus receivable.

The Swiss Corruption Incorporation does not any longer have a legitimation. All acting Politicians and Magistrates have to resign, and the claims presented by Marc-Etienne BURDET on May 23 2015 are to be implemented:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/fm_55_23-05-2015.pdf

Switzerland is a failed State, which should be assigned under guardianship of the UN. However, it would be more realistic if you would give to the judiciary victims of this country a voice, by condemning Switzerland in the European public interest. I suggest you to have interrogated the victims of corruption mentioned below.

Faithfully yours

Gerhard ULRICH, Dissident

Enclosures: Report «Res iudicata», denunciation of August 20 2016 + 6 enclosures, USB stick containing the digital version of the present complaint

L'affaire Légeret – Un assassin imaginaire. Jacques SECRETAN, éditions Mon Village 2016

How to diagnose the Freemasonic plot - Résumé

The dogma of the res iudicata (the thing judged), comfortable for the Magistrates, is serving to cement judiciary dysfunctions in Europe. The actual European judiciary system is irredeemably degraded – an infernal machine at the service of the Oligarchs on the back of the judiciary victims.

This report is analyzing 12 Vaudois corruption affairs, known to the Magistrates, the Vaudois and Swiss Politicians, to the Members of the Council of Europe, as well as to the Mass Media, charging themselves with guilt by complicity of complacency censorship.

*12 victims of this corruption are presented below (chapter 2); they are the witnesses of this dysfunction. The most serious judiciary crime of this century has been committed at the costs of François LÉGERET, sacrificed by the cheatings of the «prosecutor» **CHATTON** and the ill imagination of the «Attorney General» **COTTIER**, and betrayed by his Lawyers.*

*The affair of **Laurent SÉGALAT**, condemned without evidences to 14 years in prison is the result of the alliance between the presumed freemason **COTTIER** and the Lawyer of Scientology **BARILLON**. The case of corruption and frauds of the former Federal «Judge» **SCHNEIDER** is the concern of this complaint addressed to the High Commissioner for Human Rights of the UN. For being in a position to draw a representative analysis, 9 other cases of corruption are presented, with a chart, summarizing the reiterated participation of certain Magistrates for stifling corruption (Chapter 2). 17 elements are enumerated for attempting to demonstrate the existence of the plot of the secret societies, explaining these injustices (Chapter 3). Due to the secrecy of these societies, it is difficult to demonstrate the freemasonic plot. Anyway, in 5 out of the 12 presented corruption affairs, there was betrayal committed by the Lawyers of defense, which are only explainable by masonic plots/corruption, a theory which is strongly supported by many other elements (Chapter 3).*

In Chapter 4 are presented the impact of Freemasonry on the Society, and in the a Subchapter the functioning of the Bilderbergers, called «the secret government of the planet». This Chapter is ending with the demonstration of the powerful influence of the secret societies over the Politicians, who are selecting the Magistrates at their service, and the Swiss Mass Media who are granting the total censorship for negating the judiciary disaster. Chapter 5 is reproducing a description of the author by a third party, corroborating that said author does

have the discernment, and an intelligence comparable to those who he is criticizing. Finally a guideline is outlined, how to diagnose the evil.

Content

Chapter	Page
<i>Res iudicata (The thing judged)</i>	5
<i>Explanation of the dogma</i>	
1. 12 affairs of corruption in the Canton of Vaud	7
1.1. The affair of François LÉGERET – Résumé	8
The cheatings of the investigating «Judge» CHATTON for producing a	9
convict	11
The betrayal of the Lawyers and abuses of Notaries	12
The author of this report got to know François LÉGERET	13
1.2. The affair of Laurent SÉGALAT	14
1.3. The affair of the architect EPFZ Erhard KELLER	15
1.4. The affair of Johann GUTKNECHT	16
1.5. The affair of Béchir SEBEI	17
1.6. The affair of Dr. Denis ERNI	17
1.7. The affair of Brigitte SAVIOZ	18
1.8. The affair of Danielle RUSSELL	19
1.9. The affair of Werner RATHGEB	20
1.10. The affair of Michèle HERZOG	21
1.11. The affair of Michèle BURDET	21
1.12. The affair Jean-Pierre STEULET	
2. The reiterated participation of judiciary Magistrates in 12 affairs of corruption VD	22
3. The elements, demonstrating the plot of secret societies	25
4. The Freemasons and the Society	30
The Bilderbergers	33
The Oligarchs Christoph BLOCHER and Johann SCHNEIDER-AMMANN	40
The impact of the secret societies in Switzerland	41
5. The author of this report	45

6. *How to diagnose the Freemasonic plot*

Res iudicata

(The thing judged)



Explanation of the Dogma

The Dogma of the res iudicata is granting the stability of the judiciary system, since it is providing to the thing judged the value of enforceable Law. This Dogma is very comfortable for the judiciary Magistrates and is beneficial for the Oligarchy. One has to query always Dogmas, useful for enslaving the populations.

The author is exploring the continent of the judiciary dysfunction since 17 years. Domiciliated in the Canton of Vaud/Switzerland, it is quite understandable that his knowledge of the judiciary disaster is especially rich in Vaudois affairs.

However, we are under the regime of the Council of Europe, covering 47 countries, with the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg as the umbrella institution of the European judiciary system. Consequently, it is useful to enlarge the horizon and to analyze these cases of dysfunction in the continental perspective.

This report is relating 12 Vaudois corruption affairs where the magistrates are barricading themselves behind their forged procedural truths, rejecting any request of revision with the excuse of the thing already judged. All Vaudois and Swiss Federal Politicians (Members of Parliament and State Councillors VD), National Councillors, Councillors of States and Federal Councillors, as well as

all 732 Vaudois judiciary Magistrates, the 152 Federal Judges and last but not least le Prosecutor of the Confédération have been advised that the Vaudois judiciary apparatus is covering the corruption. The Mass Media (> than 300 journalists on our mailing lists), at the service of the secrete powers are persisting in the total censorship.

Nothing has changed yet.

The actual judiciary system is an infernal Machine, well oiled at the service of the Oligarchs which is serving the secret societies, at the costs of the judiciary victims.

In these 12 affairs «already judged» the facts are demonstrating clearly the duplicity of these judgements. The existence of 12 victims is an unavoidable reality. However, the class of the Lawyers is behaving as the old criminal priests of ancient Egypt. Their dogma of the res iudicata is a Fata Morgana. Politicians and journalists are playing the deaf, mute and blind monkeys.



1. 12 corruption affairs in the canton of Vaud

The reiterated participation of Magistrates in 12 Vaudois corruption affairs is summarized in a chart below. Here their victims = witnesses of the dysfunction and corruption:

- 1.1. The affaire of **François LÉGERET**
EPO, chemin des Pâquerets 9, 1350 Orbe
- 1.2. The affair of **Laurent SÉGALAT**
laurent.segalat@wanadoo.fr
- 1.3. The affair of the architect **Erhard KELLER**
079 874 35 50
- 1.4. The affair of **Jakob GUTKNECHT**
024 435 16 80
- 1.5. The affair of **Béchir SEBEI**
077 927 49 78 appolitique@gmail.com
- 1.6. The affair of **Dr. Denis ERNI**
079-688 34 30 swissaudit.one@gmail.com
- 1.7. The affair of **Birgit SAVIOZ**
- 1.8. The affaire of **Danielle RUSSELL**
022-320 79 38 danielle@oswaldrussell.ch
- 1.9. The affair of **Werner RATHGEB**
079-241 03 13 w Rathgeb@bluewin.ch
- 1.10. The affair of **Michèle HERZOG**
079 655 21 86 mh@herzoginfo.ch
- 1.11. The affair of **Michel BURDET**
via M.-E. BURDET 024 445 05 01 Marcchvd@gmail.com
- 1.12. The affair of deJean-Pierre STEULET

1.1. The affair of *François LÉGERET*

Résumé: The worst Swiss judiciary crime of this century

*The dead of the eighty years old Ladies Ruth LÉGERET and her friend Marina STUDER, and the mysterious disappearance of the oldest daughter of the first one, Marie-José LÉGERET in Vevey at the turn of 2005/2006, be it caused by accident or crime, has been exploited by the oldest son of that family, Jean-Marc LÉGERET for disinheriting his adoptive brother **François LÉGERET** from a fortune of 30 - 40 millions CHF, by having him wrongly condemned for an imagined triple murder.*

*The investigators lead by the investigating «Judge» **CHATTON**, the accusator in the person of the Attorney General VD **COTTIER** and his crony, the forensic physician MANGIN, as well as the Vaudois «Judges» **PELLET** and **COLELOUGH**, in complicity with the superior instances up to the European Court of Human Rights did forge this miscarriage of condemnation, acting as a criminal organization. Just 2 blunt ADN traces could be put at charge of the condemned, and they were obtained by cheating (evidenced by documents in the revision request of March 14, 2017, known to the author).*

***François LÉGERET** has been wrongly condemned to lifelong imprisonment **without any evidence nor avowal**, and he is jailed since February 2006 (11 ½ years). On March 14 2017 he did launch his 6th revision request which has been rejected on May 11 2017 (24 Heures of 07.06.17). On May 19 2017, he filed already his 7th revision request, exploiting a blunder of the cantonal Judges.*

*Jean-Marc LÉGERET is a judiciary expert since the time when **COTTIER** has been Judge in Vevey. According to the investigations of the journalist Patrick NORDMANN, the two men had been partners playing tennis.*

*Less than 24 hours after the start of the inquiry, **CHATTON** ordered to the investigators of the criminal Police VD to pursue just one single track, that one of the triple murder committed by **François LÉGERET**.*

Four books have been published concerning this affair, of which:

L'affaire Légeret – Un assassin imaginaire. Jacques SECRETAN, editions Mon Village, 2016.

The judiciary crime at the costs of François LÉGERET or The Album of Dishonour. Gerhard ULRICH, editions Samizdat, 2016. Available by the author on USB stick.

This is without any doubt a case of corruption.

The cheatings of the investigating «Judge» **CHATTON** for producing a convict

Synthesis drawn from the revision request of **François LÉGERET** of March 14 2017

The numbers in brackets are referring to the pages of that request.

*Following the insinuations provided by Jean-Marc LÉGERET, **CHATTON** ordered less than 24 hours after the opening of the inquiry that on would pursue on a one way track at charge of François (8). Picking up the false accusation of Jean-Marc LÉGERET, **CHATTON** had François arrested a second time on February 2nd 2006 and accused him of double murder, having not the slightest evidence at charge at hand (8).*

***CHATTON** has been put under pressure by the Lawyer of his victim, who was intending to file a liberation request and claimed the right to consult the file. After 4 days of incarceration **CHATTON** exploited a nervous breakdown provoked intentionally by him of his victim and destabilized François during an interrogation by facing him with 2 wrong facts:*

- 1. A nightdress showing black and bright red spots (8). This document has disappeared from the file and has been replaced by a picture dated of the following month (76). Furthermore, this nightdress of the deceased Ruth LÉGERET figures in the file in 2 versions – white and sky blue color (8, 9).*
- 2. Statement to have found his ADN on this nightdress. That is just impossible, since the clothings of the 2 deceased were dispatched for analysis in the labs of the forensic physicians only one day after that interrogation (79). For justifying this falsification, **CHATTON** had subsequently the report of the forensic physicians of January 31st 2006 mended, confirming the discovery of this ADN (77). After the interrogation of February 6 2006, **CHATTON** had had the means and the necessary time to contaminate the collar of that nightdress with a smear taken from François on January 5 2006, in order to forge a falsified ADN evidence. The analysts specified even that this ADN was originating from saliva or transpirations (78).*

*By these extremely malicious tricks **CHATTON** succeeded to wrest out a «change of version» from his victim (52), which was subseuently of course revoked.*

*Repetition with the scissors, imagined by the stage managers as the «neuralgic center of the investigations from the beginning», having been used by Ruth LÉGERET for defending herself (10), according to the imagined scenario, relying on nothing in the file. These scissors existed or did not exist in the very first documents of the file, until August 23 2006 – the reconstitution (10). A report of May 26 2006 specified that no finger prints nor ADN of François had been detected on that item (72). After August 23 2006, **CHATTON** had in any case taken another smear from François (10). In October 2006, he seems to have got the idea to use these scissors for furnishing his scenario, since he ordered on that date from the forensic physicians the confirmation to have detected the ADN of François on these scissors. The cheater convicted himself, because he had the sampled buccal swab collected from François a few weeks earlier, labeled as «swab of the scissors» (75). A sampling from a suspected person is called by the scientists as a swab, whereas those taken from items are designated to be samples. The Freudian slip with the «swab of the scissors» is revealing.*

*For justifying this late discovery, the Lady inspector ZINGG-DARRER and **COTTIER** delivered contradictory explanations:*

*ZINGG-DARRER said that the scissors had been subject to Luminol tests (a product for discovering traces of blood), and subsequently they had had to dry during 10 months (72)! **COTTIER** did pretend that the first analysis did not provide «relevant» results but one had obtained them in the second run (71). Of course we are faced with two lies.*

*Sure to have managed the cheating, **CHATTON** stated prematurely to his victim on December 18 2006, to have discovered his ADN on these scissors (10), but the report of that analysis is dating only from January 31st 2007 (10).*

It has been completely unrealistic to have accused François on the only basis of barely 2 forged ADN traces of a triple murder, with the invoked scenario. In that case, one would have found a great number of ADN presence on the various items, and last but not least on the clothings of the deceased Marina STUDER, since the accused was supposed to have dislocated her dead body.

*For succeeding, **CHATTON** used the highly poisonous practice of the «double file», one accessible to the accused and another, more voluminous one being exploited exclusively by the cheaters (67).*

*It goes without saying that **CHATTON** has never bothered Jean-Marc LÉGERET, since this man is member of the Vaudois judiciary Mafia, in his quality as a judiciary expert for architecture, for questioning him about the occupation of his time during the critical period, and he has never pushed the investigators to*

identify the author(s) of the 2 traces, a bloody hand and shoe sole left by third parties on the site of the crime.

The betrayal of the Lawyers and the abuse of Noraries

*One is reading on page 57 of the revision request of **François LÉGERET** of March 14 2017: «To be jailed is not an ordinary fact, contrary to the impression which Mr. **COLELOUGH** is wanting to provide in the challenged judgement. An imposed reality made to the applicant, composed of lies and permanent manipulations, in a painful context where his daily life was poisoned by moral exhaustion and anxiety, bound to the unknown environment and future. **Certain Lawyers pretend to save you from this merciless machine, but in fact they betray you and abuse of your disarray for draining exorbitant Lawyer fees, almost one million, as in the case of the applicant.»***

(...)

«Because of the situation of the condemned applicant, M. José BIRBAUM, representative of UBS S.A., did claim definitively the reimbursement of the mortgage credit. In 2014, in complicity with Thierry MONITION (administrator of the inheritance of Marie-José LÉGERET) and the Notary Christophe FISCHER, UBS S.A. did cash in 3 millions too much. The question is what for the stolen amounts were used? Cheatings, by abusing of the situation of the jailed applicant.

*One has to know that M. BIRBAUM has been called to court to witness against the applicant by the Attorney General; today, he has become assessor at the cantonal court in the heritage chamber, side by side with the Judge Philippe **COLELOUGH**! Without any doubt a promotion!» (page 90).*

Comment:

***François LÉGERET** was not just betrayed by a single Lawyer, but by four, among others by the star Lawyers Pierre MOREILLON (professor of Law) and his colleague from Geneva Robert ASSAËL. In addition, he has been abused by a gang of Notaries.*

The author of this report got acknowledged with **François LÉGERET**

*For having criticized Lawyers, the author has been condemned to a total of 4 years in prison, essentially for alleged infringements of honour. He passed from November 2009 til July 2010 in the high security prison of Bochuz/Orbe. By a coincidence of fate, he was put in a twin team with **François LÉGERET** in the carpentry of the institution.*

François is a «spécialist». One is realizing it, when observing him on the job. He was very much appreciated by the Master Guardian of the carpentry, for his care for details.

François is a discrete, gentle and modest man, very sensitive and vulnerable. He is absolutely non violent. During the outdoor walkings, one could see François collecting small snails for saving them from being smashed by the other walkers.



François LÉGERET (to the right) and Gerhard ULRICH (in the center), handcuffed and accompanied by «Monsieur Michel» of the Vaudois Police, leaving a hearing by the Petition commission of the Vaudois Parliament on November 10 2010

Photography published in the *24 Heures* of November 11 2010

1.2. The affair of *Laurent SÉGALAT*

*In the evening of January 9 2010 this French researcher/genetisist (45) of excellent reputation found his stepmother (66) in her house, the Moulin at Vaux-sur-Morges VD agonizing at the foot of interior concrete stairs, in a pool of blood. He supposed that she had fallen down from the top of the stairs. According to his statements, he had discovered her between 7.30 p.m. and 8.15/8.30 p.m. He decided to attempt resuscitation by mouth to mouth and heart massage. Having realized that he had not managed to save her, he called at 9.15 p.m. the Emergency Center. Ordered by the investigating «Judge» **Nicolas KOSCHEVNIKOV**, he was put in pre-trial custody until his trial before the court of **Jean-Pierre LADOR** in May 2012. After a botched up investigation on a one way road, he obtained at the general surprise an acquittal at the first instance. After an appeal of the Attorney General VD, **COTTIER**, he was in turn condemned after a summary audience on November 29 2012 by the cantonal «Judges» **PELLET, COLELOUGH** and **BATTISTOLO** for murder **without evidence nor avowal** to 16 years in prison. On September 26 2013 the Federal «Judges» **MATHYS, SCHNEIDER, Laura JACQUEMOUD-ROSSARI, DENYS** and **OBERHOLZER** confirmed this condemnation based on indications, overshadowing intentionally any disculpiatory element.*

*Later, before the same cantonal «Judges» this sentence was reduced to 14 years in prison. The Newspaper 24 Heures reported on February 16 2015 that the European Court of Human Rights had declared the complaint of SÉGALAT inadmissible (the European «Judges» András SAJÓ, President, **Helen KELLER** and Robert SPANO in their quality as assessors).*

See two out of 5 books published on this case:

Une condamnation bâtie sur du sable – L'affaire Ségalat. Jacques SECRETAN, editions Mon Village, 2015

The Unmasked «Constitutional State» – The scandalous condemnation of Laurent Ségalat. Gerhard Ulrich, editions Samizdat, 2016

*The fury to condemn an innocent is letting to presume that corruption is the cause. The complicity of the presumed Freemason **COTTIER** and the Scientology Lawyer **BARILLON** indicates that there prevailed trade of influence, characterizing this condemnation without evidence.*

1.3. The affair of the architect EPFZ Erhard KELLER

*In 1988 the Federal «Judge» **SCHNEIDER** mandated the architect **KELLER** to build a luxury villa. He broke the agreement, claiming the fanciful compensation of CHF 530'000. He addressed himself directly to his former fellow student, Werner SCHWANDER (†), responsible for damage compensation at the Zürich insurance. On Sept. 15 1992 he cashed in **CHF 390'000**.*

*The gentleman Federal Judge, used to impose always his views, continued to claim the rest. The struggle ended with the **ATF 4C.118/1998** of June 27 2000. Notwithstanding complacency expertises, this decision allocated to **SCHNEIDER** only a compensation of CHF 93'694. Consequently, **SCHNEIDER** had obtained at least CHF 300'000 more than he had been able to impose by legal means. We are faced without any doubt with the acceptance of an illegal favour – in other words **passive corruption** of **SCHNEIDER**.*

***SCHNEIDER** addressed to the architect on August 9 2000 a payment order for CHF 5'500.- + 26'753.30 + 71'934.60, charged in addition with interest rates. Since the pursued resisted, the cause went back to court. **SCHNEIDER** passed under silence to have received already in 1992 more than 5 times the amount recognized by his colleagues. He won, since the blind Federal Judges confirmed by ATF 5P.137/2001 of May 30 2001 the release. Quotation from page 3: «...the alleaged compensation credit being based only on the calculations established by the applicant himself.».*

Fraud is characterized by dirty tricks. In the present case, the bad faith of **SCHNEIDER** cannot be queried, hiding to have been spoiled with the sum of CHF 390'000 in 1992.

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-08-20_sommaruga_schneider.pdf

The architect **KELLER** has never appealed to the European Court of Human Rights, but is keeping to interrupt the prescription by renewing the prosecutions against **SCHNEIDER**, just aiming to obtain what the **ATF 4C.118/1998** of June 27 2000 did grant him.

1.4. The affaire of Johann GUTKNECHT

The Vaudois/Swiss/European judiciary apparatus is persisting to preserve the judiciary crime committed by the condemnation of **Jakob GUTKNECHT**, born in 1946, actually domiciliated at CH-1417 Essertines-sur-Yverdon, on March 5 2004 by the «Judge» **Eric ECKERT**, having allegedly caused by negligence the fire of his farm and the neighbouring building owned by Claude BUDRY, born in 1936, engineer in civil engineering, actually living at 1470 Estavayer-le-Lac.

The Chapter III of the book *Bitter Pill for the Freemasons* is demonstrating that **GUTKNECHT** is without any doubt innocent and that he has been condemned to the profit of his Freemason neighbour Claude BUDRY cashing in 2 millions Swiss Francs insurance compensations (insurance fraud).

The omissions and other cheatings of the Inspector Daniel KOLLY, assisted by the IPA FIAUX, and protected by the Commander Eric LEHMANN and his successor, the actual Commander of the cantonal Police VD **Jacques ANTENEN**, being at the basis of this maneuvering are commented in Subchapter III.1 (5 pages). The omission to specify the date of the entering of the hay harvest, 5 weeks prior to the outbreak of fire lets an agronomist instantly understand that the theory of the self-ignition of the hay is nonsense.

KOLLY did ignore intentionally the elements which pointed right from the beginning of his «investigation» in direction of a criminal fire: the fire originated in the aeration chanel of the cowhouse, and not in the feed reserves. Furthermore, he forgot that a witness had reported to have heard an explosion. The «Judge» **Eric ECKERT** transformed subsequently the bullshit of KOLLY into procedural truths, lasting up to date with the complicity of 2 Freemason Lawyers, 2 Prosecutors, including the Attorney General VD **COTTIER**, 15 cantonal «Juges» including the President of the cantonal court **Jean-François MEYLAN**, 3 Federal «Judges», of which the Vaudois **Christian DENYS** and the «Judge» **Nebojša VUČINIĆ** of the European Court of Human Rights.

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

By this decision, being the forged procedural truth, the judiciary apparatus wants us to believe that the hay, bailed 3 months before in round bales would have

caused the fire by self-ignition. No farmer can be convinced of it, and the scientists are unanomously of the opinion: absolutly impossible. But the Vaudois judiciary apparatus is barricading itself behind the res iudicata. They have already burried 2 revision requests with this dirty trick, for stifling this obvious Freemasonic plot which is without any doubt a case of corruption.

1.5. The affair of [Béchir SEBEI](#)

An accident having occurred on a construction site of the company AAX on January 22nd 2008 in Lausanne has caused the disability of Béchir SEBEI, family father financially ruined by the issue of a judicial farce of the Vaudois stereotype. The office of architects AAX has had a liability insurance with the Vaudoise ASSURANCES, who benefited largely from this judiciary corruption (1.5 million Swiss Francs of civil liability claims saved). If SEBEI would have been insured by the federal accident insurance SUVA, the Vaudois judiciary Mafia would have had to face this powerful institution during the trial. It is difficult to imagine that the fraud could have been achieved as easily as that.

The Commander in chief of this fraud is the «Judge» [COLELOUGH](#). He is [Freemason](#). Consequently, one has logically to conclude that this judiciary crime has been committed by the organized band of the hidden network of freemason brothers.

Here the rope party of the Vaudois Lawyers, having acted as partners in crime at the costs of Béchir SEBEI (marked in blue colour for the multirecidivists in the matter of corruption = activated links):

- the «Judge» VD [Philippe COLELOUGH](#)
- the Prosecutors Dominique [JAUNIN LUCIANI](#) and [Franz MOOS](#)
- the cantonal «Judges» VD Aleksandra FAVROD, [Bertrand SAUTEREL](#) and [Marc PELLET](#)
- the Vaudois federal «Judge» [Christian DENYS](#)
- the Lawyers [Frank TIÈCHE](#), [Daniel PACHE](#) and [Mathias KELLER](#)

[MOOS](#), [COLELOUGH](#), [SAUTEREL](#), [PELLET](#) and [DENYS](#) do have a heavy register of judiciary crimes. They are especially covering the corruption in the Canton of Vaud. These criminals in black Judges robes have to be transferred to a court for trial. Their material assets have to be confiscated for compensating Béchir SEBEI and their other victims.

Details see:

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/vaudoise.htm

This affair has not been presented to the European Court of Human Rights.

1.6. The affair of Dr. Denis ERNI

Dr. Denis ERNI has been embezzled by the Lausanne Lawyer Patrick FOETISCH, favoured by the protection of his cronies in the judiciary apparatus. This fraud has caused the bankruptcy of the company of the victim, who has documented the case on his own Web Site:

www.viplift.org

ERNI has never abandoned his struggle against the judiciary arbitrariness and is continueing to fight up to date.

1.7. The affair of Birgit SAVIOZ

It concerns a fraud at the costs of **Birgit SAVIOZ** orchestrated by the Lawyer of Gruyère Michel TINGUELA which is documented on

www.worldcorruption.info/savioz.htm

She had been deceived by her former partner, and had acquired in common a house. After the end of their relationship, the assets were embezzled for paying back uncovered credits allocated by the UBS Bulle to her former cohabitant, credits which would have been non recoverable, having no securities. Originally, it was thus an affair in the Canton of Fribourg.

We did denounce this fraud from December 2001 onwards, and TINGUELY filed a complaint in January 2002. Since this date, he does not stop to file new complaints which have been handled in my case by the Vaudois. A first show trial did take place in October/November 2006, and on June 28 2017 the Vaudois will celebrate the 6th trial in honour of this querulous. The affaire did thus have a Vaudois panel. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/david_against_goliath.htm

Although we had administered the evidence to have said the truth, the judiciary apparatus helped itself with a forged procedural truth produced by their colleagues in the Canton of Fribourg, for pronouncing longstanding condemnations of imprisonment for an alleged infringement of the non existing honour of TINGUELY, while the Swiss Penal Codex is granting impunity for those who have said the truth. This was pure repression of the freedom of expression.

*The author of these lines contested this sentence up to the European Court of Human Rights. The «Judge» **Nebojša VUČINIĆ** declared this complaint number 40795/08 with a half a page text module on December 13 2012.*

1.8. The affair of *Danielle RUSSELL*

*For reconstructing a building offending the dispositions of a definitive and enforceable decision of the Vaudois administrative court, the protagonists produced several forgeries: submission of plans with a declared scale 1 : 125 in stead of 1 : 100, than exchange of the plans after the public inquiry: heightening of the neighbouring buildings and of the natural surface on the submitted plans for minimizing fraudulently the heighening of the project etc. These infringements have been covered by the mayor Michel ROULET-CHAUVY and others, the assessor Arnold CHAUVY of the administrative court (geomèter, former President of the Vaudois Parliament and son in law of the former President of the cantonal court SCHNETZLER VD). In 1997, the affair could not be presented to the Federal Court, because of the categorical refusal of the injured party, the late Lawyer **Paul MARVILLE** – a former trainee of the President of the administrative court (the late Lawyer Jean-Albert WYSS).*

*On December 21st 2002 the victim of that fraud filed a penal complaint within the deadlinese for forgeries etc. The investigating «Judge» **Nicolas CRUCHET** played at the beginning to investigate seriously, for rejecting later on the complaint on September 2nd 2004, with a fallacious pretext, covered subsequently by the cantonal court on October 5 2004, presided by the cantonal «Judge» **François DE MONTMOLLIN** and finally by the ATF 6S.12/2005/rod of February 3rd 2005 under the Presidency of the Federal «Judge» **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**.*

*We denounced this case of corruption from August 2001 onwards, blaiming the betrayal of the Lawyer **MARVILLE**. As his Freemason brother TINGUELY (see 1.7), this Lawyer filed as well a complaint for infringement of his honour, having an identical issue as related above. See:*

www.worldcorruption.info/david_against_goliath.htm

*As TINGUELY, **MARVILLE** continued to harass us with complaints for alleged infringements of his honour. However, in 2014 he had to take the Pill of the Freemasons, and his dead put an end to his reiterated complaints.*

1.9. The affaire of [Werner RATHGEB](#)

In 1987 [Werner RATHGEB](#) did acquire a farm of fourty hectares at Rennaz, in the fertile Chablais vaudois. He invested eight millions Swiss Francs for the acquisition of the land and the infrastructure for a modern exploitation of Bio vegetables. He administered his family business (annual turnover 6 million Swiss Francs, 40 employees) subdivided in 3 limited companies (owner shares).

In 1992, [RATHGEB](#) got remarried with a young woman from southern Italy. It was never a happy union, and finally it broke apart.

Coming home from a business trip in an evening in August 2003, [RATHGEB](#) was expected by the Police. On complaint of his wife, he was ordered to leave his farm on the spot. He was expelled out into the street with a little suitcase prepared beforehand by his wife. (Subsequently, he has never been condemned for domestic violence, because of lack of evidence.) In spite of his insistence, he has never seen his personal belongings any more. The «Judge» Saverio WERMELINGER entrusted to impose the protecting measures permitted Madame to run the business – flourishing at the time of this wild ejection – although she had no agricultural education, nor knowledge of Management. Two years later, the business went bankrupt. .

In a first phase, the same Judge prohibited to the wife to sell anything, for lifting subsequently that ban temporarily early 2005: The State of Vaud was then in need to acquire seventeen hectares of land reserves for the future construction of the highway connection Evionnaz - Montreux. Madame sold the land to the State of Vaud for a friendship price, after having robbed her husband. As a matter of fact, she had taken over the owner shares after the ejection of her husband. The «investigating Judge» Hervé NICOD, dealing with the penal complaint filed by [RATHGEB](#) could not see any penal infringement.

This woman is a thief, and the State of Vaud was behaving as a receiver of stolen good. Once the business was bankrupt, and the State had served itself with that land, the owner shares were given back to their legal owner. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_krieger-e.pdf

*The denounced Judges for this corruption affair have never filed a complaint for infringement of their honour, and did always managed to keep the procedure on a cantonal level. **RATHGEB** has never filed a complaint in Strasbourg.*

1.10. The affair *Michèle HERZOG*

*Her mother has had 2 daughters of a first marriage. Michèle was one of them. The mother remarried a real estate developer, Philippe GALLAND, and gave birth to another daughter. At the age of 42 years, she gave birth finally to the Heir Prince Patrice GALLAND. At the retirement of the father in 1994, he took over the Management of the societies of his father, who died on June 5, 2003. His written will provided that the three daughters (his own daughter Anne and the step daughters as **Michèle HERZOG**) should inherit each one 10 % of the company FONTATREZ Holding SA. The Dauphin should have gotten the rest. **Michèle HERZOG** realized rapidly that her step brother had hidden a great deal of the assets in his opaque empire of companies, by draining FONTATREZ Holding SA to the benefit of other societies under his control, prior to the death of the father on June 5 2003, founding new entities, changing their names in short intervals before and after that date. She mandated the Lawyer Philippe REYMOND to defend her interests. She discovered that the inventory of the security values held by the Limited FONTATREZ Holding SA, having been named GALLAND & Cie SA before 2002, had never been presented. **Michèle HERZOG** suspected that the shares of the real estate company figured on that inventory.*

*REYMOND declared in writing since May 2007 that he had checked that inventory and that its content had been estimated, whereas Patrice GALLAND – the appraise person – did specify later, on August 18 2009 via his Lawyer Rémy WYLER that he had never submitted this inventory (the document number 203) to that Mafia Lawyer – «... n’a jamais été remise à Me Philippe REYMOND ou à **Michèle HERZOG**». Consequently, The inventory of the fortune to be shared could never have been correctly established. Anyway, in case of inheritance, this is the unavoidable base.*

Voir: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_colelough-e.pdf

*Denouncing this corruption, Mrs. **HERZOG** got condemned by the «Judge» **Philippe COLELOUGH** for infringement of the non existing honour of the Lawyer Philippe REYMOND. These forged procedural truths were corroborated*

by the Federal Court. No complaint, aiming to oblige the Vaudois judiciary Mafia to research the truth did succeed up to date.

Michèle HERZOG has never introduced a complaint in Strasbourg.

1.11. The affair of Michèle BURDET

In the framework of a bankruptcy on the edge of the 20th/21st century, implying 2 farms, Magistrates, Notaries and an attendant of an enforcement Office did enrich themselves illegally respectively did let enrich their Freemason brothers. See: www.worldcorruption.info/burdet.htm

This affair has never been brought to the European Court of Human Rights.

1.12. The affair of Jean-Pierre STEULET

When Mr. STEULET had been elected Mayor of Pompaples VD (2002), he discovered an overinvoicing in the context of allocations of civil engineering constructions. When he denounced this fact, he was condemned for infringement of honour.

Subsequently, the injured documented the affair on Internet. In December 2004, he engaged in a hunger strike at St-François/Lausanne for alerting the public opinion about this serious dysfunction of our institutions.

Finally, Mr. STEULET realized that he was risking his material ruin and to be destroyed as a contractor, if he continued his struggle. He shut down his Web Site.

.

See: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_lador-e.pdf

2. The reiterated participation of judiciary Magistrates in 12 corruption affairs VD

Name of the Magistrates/ Lawyers	Affairs											
	LÉGERET	SÉGALAT	KELLER	GUTKNECHT	SEBEI	ERNI	SAVIOZ	RUSSELL	RATHGEB	HERZOG	BURDET	STEULET
ABRECHT Bernard				x						x		
AEMISEGGER Heinz						x	x				x	x
ANTENEN Jacques				x							x	
BATTISTOLO Blaise	x	x	x	x						x	x	
BENDANI Yasmina	x			x								
BYRDE Fabienne			x	x								
CHATTON Jean-Pierre	x											
COLELOUGH Philippe	x	x		x	x					x	x	
COLOMBINI Jean-Luc			x	x				x		x		
COTTIER Eric	x	x		x				x	x	x	x	
CREUX Dominique	x										x	
CRUCHET Nicolas								x	x	x	x	x
DE MONTMOLLIN F.	x			x				x			x	
DENYS Christian		x	x		x					x	x	
DESSAUX Françoise						x		x			x	
ECKERT Eric				x							x	
EPARD Muriel	x							x		x	x	
FONJALLAZ Jean						x	x				x	x
JACQUEMOUD-R. Laura	x	x		x						x		
JOMINI François				x		x					x	
KELLER Helen		x										
KOLLY Gilbert	x											
KOSCHEVNIKOV N.		x										
KRIEGER Joël				x					x	x		
KÜHNLEIN-H. Caroline	x									x		
LADOR Jean-Pierre		x	x								x	x
MARVILLE Paul				x				x				
MATHYS Hans	x	x		x						x		
MERMOUD Eric							x	x			x	
MEYLAN Jean-François	x			x					x	x	x	x
MOOS Franz				x	x							
NICOLET Yves							x	x			x	
OBERHOLZER Niklaus	x	x								x		
PELLET Marc	x	x			x					x	x	
ROULEAU Sandra	x		x							x	x	
RÜEDI Yves	x									x		
SAUTEREL Bertrand				x	x	x					x	
SCHNEIDER R.M.	x	x	x					x		x	x	

SCHWENTER Jean-Marc								x				X
TRECCANI Jean						x						
VUČINIĆ Nebojša	x			x								
WIPRÄCHTIGER Hans	x						x	x			x	
WINZAP Pierre-Henri	x			x			x	x		x	x	

It is not surprising to find the Attorney General VD **Eric COTTIER** on top with 7 participations in corruption affairs, out of which the 2 condemnations without evidences to long imprisonment sentences (François LÉGERET, Laurent SÉGALAT), deserving according to Jacques SECRETAN the inscription in the Guinness Book of records.

6 cases of corruption were covered by the Federal «Judge» **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**, being himself corrupt and a embezzler, and the 3 cantonal «Judges» **Jean-François MEYLAN** (President), **Blaise BATTISTOLO**, and **Pierre-Henri WINZAP**. The only first instance Judge, having achieved such a record is **Philippe COLELOUGH**.

In the category of 4 - 5 supported corruption affairs one is finding 6 Federal «Judges» (**Heinz AEMISEGGER**, **Christian DENYS**, **Jean FONJALLAZ**, **Laura JACQUEMOUD-ROSSARI**, **Hans MATHYS**, **Hans WIPRÄCHTIGER**), 6 Vaudois cantonal «Judges» (**Jean-Luc COLOMBINI**, **François DE MONTMOLLIN**, **Muriel EPARD**, **Marc PELLET**, **Bertrand SAUTEREL** and **Sandra ROULEAU**), 1 «Judge» of 1st instance (**Jean-Pierre LADOR**) and a Federal Prosecutor, formerly Vaudois Prosecutor – **Nicolas CRUCHET**.

Repeat offenders with 2 - 3 covered corruption affairs are the «Judge» of the European Court of Human Rights **Nebojša VUČINIĆ**, the 2 Federal «Judges» **Niklaus OBERHOLZER** and **Yves RÜEDI**, the 10 Vaudois cantonal «Judges» **Bernard ABRECHT**, **Yasmina BENDANI**, **Fabienne BYRDE**, **Dominique CREUX**, **Françoise DESSAUX**, **Muriel EPARD**, **Pierre HACK**, **François JOMINI**, **Joël KRIEGER**, **Caroline KÜHNLEIN-HOFMANN**, furthermore the 3 Prosecutors Jean-Marc SCHWENTER (Attorney General VD in 1983 – 2005), **Eric MERMOUD**, **Franz MOOS** and **Yves NICOLET**, the Commander of the Police VD **Jacques ANTENEN**, and finally the Lawyer **Paul MARVILLE** (†).

On above chart, one is finding 5 names figuring with juste one participation for stifling corruption. These are the European «Judge» **Helen KELLER**, the former President of the Federal Court **Gilbert KOLLY**, the «Prosecutors» **Jean-Pierre CHATTON**, **Nicolas KOSCHEVNIKOV** and **Jean TRECCANI**. However, these Magistrates are as well repeat offenders concerning other affairs, as you can

verify by clicking on their names in the digitalized version, for accessing to their evaluations:

www.worldcorruption.info/evaluations/htm

In conclusion, the corruption has been stifled in these 12 analyzed cases by:

- 2 European «Judges» (on a total of 47 Judges at the ECHR; in our data base, which is 17 years old, 70 European Judges are registered negatively.)
- 10 Federal «Judges» (on a total of 38 Federal Judges in Lausanne)
- 19 Vaudois cantonal «Judges» (on a total of 46 cantonal Judges)
- 9 Vaudois «Prosecutors» (on a total of 55 Prosecutors of the Canton)

This flash is dealing with just 12 analyzed corruption affairs. For establishing the above table, 44 Lawyers were retained – those who had participated at least twice in these botched up procedures, and certain other ones because of their important position in the system, as e.g. Gilbert KOLLY, the former President of the Federal Court.

The table does thus not enumerate the full range of conspirators. Only in the affair of Michèle HERZOG one is counting up to date 48 «participating», Lawyers (not even half of them are figuring in the table), to whom one has to add their accomplices in the administration (Taxation Office, Enforcement Office), the Parliament (Members of the Commission of the High Survey of the cantonal court, having the duty to control the system, but not doing it), and finally certain Councillors of state (the Treasurer Pascal BROULIS granting taxation indulgencies to the Oligarch Patrice GALLAND, and Béatrice METRAUX, having betrayed her duty as President of the Chamber of the Noraries).

Furthermore, the conspirators having participated in these 12 corruption affairs are representing just a fraction of Lawyers negatively earmarked in our Data Base. In fact, one can extrapolate that practically the whole judiciary system VD is gangrened from A to Z. It seems, that one single cell of the Vaudois judiciary apparatus stayed healthy today. This concerns the college of the actual Judges of the Vaudois administrative court.



Court of Lausanne



Cantonal court VD



Federal Court



European Court of Human Rights

1st instance

2nd instance

Supreme court

3. *The elements evidencing the presumed plot of the secret societies*

It took to the author of this report 16 years for picking up a court case concerning an obvious Freemasonic plot. This is concerning the affair GUTKNECHT, published in November 2016: www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

«The unbeatable means of defense of the Freemason is the following: as long as you do not administer the evidence that I am a Freemason, you are slandering me, saying that I am a brother. This is an extremely easy game, because the Freemasonry is the only association (...) where it is impossible to make the demonstration of somebody's membership, because of their secrecy.»

<https://blogs.mediapart.fr/jean63/blog/060714/selon-maitre-bernard-mery-la-justice-francaise-est-gangrenee-par-la-franc-maconnerie>

By denouncing the judiciary dysfunction, from the year 2000 onwards, the author has attacked the system of the secret societies, without being aware of it at that time. It was thus a must to demolish the Citizens' initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE founded by him. This took its time, since the 2 two spectacles of show trials were set on stage years later – in 2006 and 2007. These 2 trials ended with the stigmatisation of the leaders of the association:

www.worldcorruption.info/david_against_goliath.htm

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_sauterel-e.pdf

All trials triggered off by Lawyers for alleged infringement of their honour resulted in judiciary frauds with forged procedural truths.

«One has to know that the one who is talking negatively about the Freemasonry will be discredited by all means.» (*the same source as quoted above*).

In the 12 affairs of corruption commented above, we denounced vigourously the condemnations without evidences and without avowals. For keeping consistent, we have to avoid to expose ourselves to the reproach to base our blaming of the Freemasonic plot just on «presumptions and suspicions».

Confronted with the wall of the occultism of the secret societies, we shall consequently point out the irrefutable facts, letting the reader make up his own opinion after the lecture of this report.

Here the statements:

- A) *In 5 out of the 12 analyzed affairs the Lawyers had betrayed their own clients. This is the case in the affaires of **François LÉGERET**, **Jakob GUTKNECHT**, **Béchir SEBEI**, **Danielle RUSSELL** and **Michèle HERZOG**. In these affaires the betrayels are the formal evidences for the existence of a plot or of corruption are both together. The plot is particularly obvious in the case of **François LÉGERET**, having been abused by several Lawyers and a gang of Notaries.*
- B) *The corruption in the Canton of Vaud is not just limited to these 12 reported affairs. Our data base is containing other ones. We have to recognize in the first place, that we know only the peak of the Iceberg. The corruption affairs have been reported repeatedly by open letters to the judiciary authorities, as well as to the politicians, and first of all to the Attorney General of the Confederation: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-06-03_Lauber_e.pdf Nobody reacted. The Mass Media remained censored and the Prosecutors ' Office VD ordered early October 2016 the illegal censorship of this portal: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-10-11_cottier_censure-e.pdf These maneuvers let us understand that there is a plot.*
- C) *3 out of 12 beneficiaries of the corruption are part of the judiciary seraglio. The architect Jean-Marc LÉGERET is a judiciary expert in matters of architecture, and he has issued an expertise of complacency in favour of the Freemason Claude BUDRY (affair of **Jakob GUTKNECHT**). One is entitled to suppose that the Freemasonic links did boost him to be appointed judiciary expert. – The former Federal Judge **Roland Max SCHNEIDER** (in the affair of the architect **Erhard KELLER**) is Rotarian. – Patrice GALLAND (affair of **Michèle HERZOG**) is assessor representing the owners at the Real Estate Lease court VD.*
- D) *All 12 victims of corruption are powerless to obtain the revision of their cases, with the pretext of the res iudicata. The case of **François LÉGERET** is emblematic. His 6th revision request has just been rejected by the Vaudois federal court, without entering into the matter.*
- E) *Many Magistrates who did stifle corruption as 1st instance Judges have been promoted: **Jacques ANTENEN**, **Fabienne BYRDE**, **Philippe COLELOUGH**, **Nicolas CRUCHET**, **Christian DENYS**, **Joël KRIEGER**, **Caroline KÜHNLEIN-HOFMANN**, **Yves NICOLET**, **Marc PELLET**, **Sandra ROULEAU**, **Bertrand SAUTEREL**, **Pierre-Henri WINZAP**.*

- F) Affair **LÉGERET**: It is striking that the simple cop **Jean-Pierre CHATTON** has been promoted Prosecutor. For sure he has been boosted. In that case, he did let the presumed Freemason Jean-Marc **LÉGERET** practically manage the investigation for knocking down his step brother (see point 1.1. above), by cheating heavily.
- G) Affair **SÉGALAT**: a great number of Magistrates having the odor of beeing Freemasons did cooperate: **Eric COTTIER**, **Blaise BATTISTOLO**, **Philippe COLELOUGH**, **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**. Particular fact in this affair: The alliance between the presumed Freemason **Eric COTTIER** and the Scientologist **Jacques BARILLON**.
- H) Affair of E. **KELLER**: The corruption and the frauds of the Federal Judge **SCHNEIDER** have been denounced to the federal Ministers of Justice **Christophe BLOCHER**, Eveline **WIDMER-SCHLUMPF**, and Simonetta **SOMMARUGA** and as well to their colleague, Ueli **MAURER**:
www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-08-20_sommaruga_schneider-e.pdf
and all 152 Federal Judges:
www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-11-23_kolly-e.pdf
Without result. This affair does have the particularity that the victim of corruption, the architect E. **KELLER** did not contest the **l'ATF 4C.118/1998** of June 27 2000. He did not appeal to the European Court of Human Rights.
- I) The affair of **Jakob GUTKNECHT**: His fraudulent condemnation did benefit to the well known Freemason Claude **BUDRY**. The cheatings are described in the publication, Chapter III of www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm
- K) Affair **Béchir SEBEL**: The fact that the ex-wife of the Judge **COLELOUGH**, Patricia **COLELOUGH** is reported in the Register of Trade as Member of the Board of directors of a Company belonging to the Vaudoise assurances group, which had benefited from the corruption in that case, is embarrassing.
Voir www.worldcorruption.info/eng/vaudoise.htm
- L) The affair of **Dr. Denis ERNI**: The swindler was the Lawyer Patrick **FOETISCH**, one of the stars of the Lausanne Lawyers at the time. In the meantime **FOETISCH** has been condemned in another affair for fraud. Since most of the Lawyers are Members of Freemasonry by professional interest, since the great majority of the Lawyers are Members of the Freemasonry by professional interest, and one is finding in the collection of **Dr. ERNI** among

others the Venerable former cantonal Judge **François JOMINI**, one understands that there existed an ambiguous traffic of influence. See www.viplift.org

- M) The affair of **Brigitte SAVIOZ**: The leading swindler in this affair is the presumed Freemason **Michel TINGUELY**. His grip on the judiciary apparatus is obvious, since he is harrassing us successfully since 15 years with penal procedures.
- N) The affair of **Danièle RUSSELL**: She has been betrayed by her Lawyer, **Paul MARVILLE** in complicity with the President of the administrative court VD at that time, late Jean-Albert WYSS (**MARVILLE** has been his junior trainee at the start of his career). We know from reliable source that he had to terminate his life by the «Pill of the Freemasons». **MARVILLE** has been involved as well in the affair **GUTKNECHT**. See: www.worldcorruption.info/david_against_goliath.htm
- O) The affair of **Werner RATHGEB**: In this affair, the Canton of de Vaud did Behave as a receiver of stolen goods, where the Department of Finance, i.e. the State Councillor Pascal BROULIS had collaborated. See: www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_2016-12-10_broulis-e.pdf
Voir aussi www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_krieger-e.pdf
- P) The affair of **Michèle HERZOG**: She has been betrayed by her Lawyer Philippe REYMOND, an intimate friend of the presumed Freemason «Judge» **Philippe COLELOUGH**, who had been as well a very close friend with the late Lawyer **Paul MARVILLE**.
These friendships between Judge and Lawyer are emblematic and simultaneously a problem. The step brother of **Michèle HERZOG**, Patrice GALLAND, the beneficiary of this corruption is Assessor at the Real Estate and Lease Court VD, and he is as well favored by taxation indulgences tolerated by the PLR State Councillor Broulis. See: www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_colelough-e.pdf
www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_2016-12-10_broulis-e.pdf
- Q) The affair of **Michel BURDET**: 15 years after what had happened, his brother, Marc-Etienne BURDET was lately informed for which reason the Attendant of the prosecution office in Yverdon-les-Bains, Gilbert LAURENT, had sold one of the farms of the BURDET family at the estimation price of the other, smaller

farm by mutual agreement to a brother of the Lady Prefect Pierrette ROULET-GRIN: LAURENT and GRIN are Freemasons and Members of the same Lodge, "Fraternité" in Yverdon-les Bains! See www.worldcorruption.info/burdet.htm

*R) The affair STEULET: The overinvoicing in the framework of attributions of civil engineering works by the commune of Pompaples to a contractor is established. Where there is overinvoicing, there is corruption. The Municipality of Pompaples has been covered by the Judge **Jean-Pierre LADOR**, later on by the cantonal Judge VD **Jean-François MEYLAN** (actually President of the cantonal court) and the Federal «Judge» **Roland Max SCHNEIDER**. It is an ancient tradition, not just Vaudoise, that the courts are illegally protecting local petty kings.*

In conclusion, we did summarize 17 evidences at charge, supporting the masonic plot. Honni soit qui mal y pense.

4. *The Freemasons and the society*



Maurice ZAHND, Grand Master, Swiss Lodge Alpina

Since decades we assist to the globalisation of the financial crime. See video filmed with the late Professor François-Xavier VERSCHAVE (†), presenting this evolution from the perspective of France:

www.youtube.com/watch?v=hGyeo8dihq8

«The Systems of the Oligarchs want to make work the politics and the economy in the secret. (...) A network of initiated are recruiting in the Freemasonry, the Scientology, the Templars etc. »

*Since 2004, Marc-Etienne BURDET has analyzed the affaire **FERRAYÉ** and discovered the mechanisms of these worldwide systems, shearing the booty of the first Gulf war, with the participation of the Swiss Oligarchs:*

www.worldcorruption.info/historique.htm

Already at that time, he discovered the role of MOSSACK-FONSECA in money laundry and taxation fraude, anticipating the findings of the «Panama Papers» by the German journalists Frederik OBERMAIER and Bastian OBERMAYER in 2015/2016.

It is clear that the Swiss Oligarchs could not take advantage of the taxation fraude and the money laundry at big scale, exploiting the famous Bank secrecy, without finding accommodations with the worldwide Oligarch players, from time to time slowed down by democratic movements.

*The Swiss people has been thoroughly brainwashed. Ten years ago, our fellow citizens would have certainly confirmed in a referendum the justification and morality of the bank secret. Today, our President of the Swiss Confederation 2017 **Doris LEUTHARD** is indoctrinating us with the wrong dogma of Big Money «Too big to fail», spread in the context of her New Year address 2017. This is allowing to the «banksters» to speculate excessively. If they succeed, the gain flows in their pockets. If they miss, the tax payers have to finance the losses. The brave Swiss people is again deceived. **LEUTHARD** is even on the top of popularity.*

These preliminaries are demonstrating the global framework of what we are living in Switzerland.

*The Scientology, supported by the secret services of the USA, i.e. the CIA (Dr. Wilfried MEISSNER, Deutsches Institut für Totalitarismusabwehr www.wilfriedmeissner.de/) is probably not very powerful in Switzerland. However, their potential should not been underestimated. The «ethics of Hubbard», the founder of the sect is extremely dangerous: for achieving the objective, these ethics justify the means (including corruption, injuries, damages to objects, repression of the opponants as well as the use of violence). The Swiss Scientology is using the services of star Lawyers for defending their interest: the Lawyers **Jacques BARILLON** in French speaking Switzerland and Lukas METZLER in the German speaking part of the country. METZLER is the ex-husband of the former Federal Councillor Ruth METZLER (1999 – 2003). At that time, the Scientology had thus an antenna within the Swiss government. Up to which level is their infiltration acting today?*

According to our observations, the hidden power is today dominated in Switzerland by the Freemasonry. The British Isles were their craddle. In fact, this sect is still very strongly established in the Anglo-Saxon countries, and particulailly in the United States of America. On the European continent, the Freemasons are the essential secret power in Switzerland, Italy, Belgium and in France, where they pretend to have launched the French revolution, having as a motto Liberté, Fraternité, Egalité. They are selling themselves as the inventors of democracy and the defense of human rights.

Hitler had them persecuted and martyred, because he did not tolerate a parallel authority. Anyway, this vacuum has been filled up quickly after war. The Scientology took foothold, starting in the 1970ies, allied with the secret services,

the CIA. The Clubs service did make the same. Today, the Rotary Club on its own is counting 55'000 members in Germany (1.2 million worldwide). Source :

www.ndr.de/nachrichten/hamburg/Vorbereitungen-fuer-Rotarier-Treffen-laufen,rotarier100.htm

In Switzerland, the Freemasonry did take strong foothold since the foundation of the modern Confederation in 1848. Many Federal Councillors have been Members. According to an understatement of the Grand Master of the Lodge Alpina in Lausanne, Jean-Michel MASCHERPA, there were just 3 Freemason Federal Councillors since 1848. In reality, just the Vaudois Federal Councillors (13) had been without exception Freemasons up to late Jean-Pascal DELAMURAZ, who was PLR and Freemason. But in a documentary film, emitted on May 24 2017 by the governmental RTS, his membership to the sect has been passed under silence. It is the strategy of the smoke screen:

www.rts.ch/info/culture/cinema/8318646-jean-pascal-delamuraz-le-ministre-qui-aimait-la-vie-les-femmes-et-les-gens-.html

Before the second World War, the Vaudois fasciste Arthur FONJALLAZ did launch a referendum, requesting to have the Freemasonry prohibited by an article of the Federal Constitution. Fortunatly, the Swiss people rejected that plan in a popular vote in 1937. It would have been in contradiction to the fundamental righth of the liberty of faith..

Today, the Freemasons with the affiliated Service Clubs (Rotary, Lions, Kiwanis Club, Zofingers etc.) are without any doubt the most powerful hidden force in the politics and economy of our country. They may share locally their power with other sects as the Darbystes in the Canton of Vaud, and on the national level probably with the Scientologists, etc. The Freemasonry is particularly powerful in French speaking Switzerland. The Lodge Alpina – the most important one – counted 47 French-speaking loges (the French speaking population is representing 23 % of the country), and only 26 German speaking Lodges, whereas the German speakers represent 63 % of the population
www.freimaurei.ch/d/index-d.php

Consequently, the density of the lodges Alpina is 5 times superior in French speaking Switzerland, compared to German speaking Switzerland..

According to a connoisseur of this surrounding, the Members of the service clubs are delivering as well an oath of loyalty on their lifes, as the Freemasons are doing.

*It is established that 3 out of 7 actual Federal Councillors Conseillers did mix up at least the environment of the secret societies. **Johann SCHNEIDER AMMANN** is Rotarian and Bilderberger.*

www.nouvelordremondial.cc/2017/05/31/la-liste-officielle-des-participants-et-lagenda-de-la-conference-bilderberg-2017-a-chantilly-aux-usa/

***Doris LEUTHARD** is as well Bilderberger or close to them. Ueli MAURER is associated with Opus Dei, and there exist rumors that Simonetta SOMMARUGA occupies a high degree in the Freemasonry. Remain the 3 other Members of our actual government, Didier BURKHALTER, Alain BERSET and Guy PARMELIN, of which no association to a sect is known to the author. This is excluding nothing.*

The BILDERBERGERS

The BILDERBERGERS are currently designated as «the hidden government of the planet». They do have this designations which is the name of the hotel, where their first meeting has taken place in 1954 in the region of Arnhem NL. Extract of the «Conclusions ...written by Frédéric BERGER, editor in chief of L'Echo des Montagnes

<http://echosdesmontagnes.blogspot.ch/2011/03/echo-des-montagnes-christine-lagarde.html> :

For preparing the annual meeting of the BILDERBERG necessitates a certain organization:

The Members of the national comitee of the hosting country are in charge of it. If the meeting is held in France, the French from the comitee of direction will organize it. If the meeting is happening in the United States, the Americans take care etc. What do they have to do concretely? They are dispatching the invitations; they collect the necessary funds and they «book» the five stars hotel which will host the participants during three days.

They pay the airplane tickets of the participants, are booking the rooms etc. But first of all, after having contacted the public powers of the hosting country, they have to grant and to watch the «security» of the meeting. This security, of what is it consisting?

Firstly , **to proceed in order to preserve the secrecy of the meeting.** In order to preserve the discretion and to avoid infiltrations, they communicate to the invited

persons the date only one month in advance, and the name of the hotel only one week prior to the start of the meeting. The concerned hotel is completely emptied and its guests are invited to go to see elsewhere. It is not a booking, but a rental, certainly temporary, but nevertheless complete of the premises!

During these three days, only the BILDERBERGERS can walk in the corridors of the hotel and its immediate surrounding, the official Police forces are maintaining a discrete barrier but nevertheless impenetrable from the outside.

To the national Police forces are added those of the local Police, a certain number of agents of the secret services, body guards for the best known personalities etc.

It is thus of paramount importance for the organizers that the meeting remains as long as possible secret and that the few informed persons are not in a position to get close to the site. The zone is locked up. Anyway, this is not the only service which the national Police corps are offering to the BILDERBERGERS. When they disembark for the meeting, the Members of this elite, not nationals of the hosting country are exempted from certain formalities as for example to present themselves to the customs, to have a regular passport and this kind of little things. If the managing committee has done a good job, the national authorities will have been briefed. And the orders are simple: laissez-passer.

The official showcase: debates of great quality

The first interest of a meeting as that one of the BILDERBERG is to permit to the leaders of this world to get together, to exchange, to confront their point of views and to say in a private framework what they could not tell during an official speech or before cameras. In short: to **express oneself without inhibitions and in the usual waffle**. In fact, the meetings of the BILDERBERG are subject to the rules of Chatham House, equally valid at RIIA and in most meetings organized by these globalist think tanks. These rules of Chatham House, of what are they consisting? In two essential points: firstly, they allow to the individuals to express a personal point of view, and not inevitably that one of the organism, institution or ministry they are working for. Furthermore, they grant that the statements remain «*off the record*», that is to say that they cannot be taped nor utilized, nor picked up officially. It is therefore assured for the speaker that the point of views expressed within the walls of the BILDERBERG will not be leaking.

More precisely, the statements can be reused subsequently, but without specifying the source.

In that way, Bernard KOUCHNER – BILDERBERG edition 2009 – could well have said in front of the plenary meeting of the BILDERBERGERS as a personal opinion, to be frankly favouring an «atlantisation» of the French Politics, what he could hardly have said in the French Mass Media, considering the gaulliste tradition gaulliste of the French Foreign Policy.

Once the meetin terminated, all participant will know, and can say: «I know from reliable source that France has joined/will join the atlantist party». At the condition that nobody among them shall name Bernard KOUCHNER. **The rules of Chatham House are consequently liberating the verb. And the powerful are relaxing:** expressing a personal point of view and not thatone of the organisation or institution to which they are affiliated, these latter ones are grasping the opportunity offered by the BILDERBERG for discussing frankly and sincerely, what would otherwise be impossible (at press conferences, by official declarations etc.).

That has been confirmed. Jean-Louis GERGORIN for instance, at the time President of the EADS and familiar with the meetings of the BILDERBERG: *«The BILDERBERG is an extremly well organized group where one can debate, or one can express dissident point of views without difficulties, it happened to me. (...) That was an excellent debate. I consider that the BILDERBERG is a very positive thing, and the fact, that it does not have too many participants, that is to say 120, permits to touch the ground of things».*

But this franchise and spontaneity do have their limits. Still GERGORIN: *«Everybody knows very well that in the intervention of the people, there is existing a mix of convictions, roles of the play with regard to their side and the rules of the game. But it is nevertheless better than to say nothing».* The meetings of the BILDERBERG are the opportunity for the mighty of this world to say the things, to confront each other be it at the risk to create sometimes profound disagreement. These meetings are for the most powerful personalities of the Western world the opportunity to discuss openly and without inhibition what would be impossible in a more «public» context. Thats anyway what the participants are saying, the scarce times when they deign to talk about the subject. In the details, the whole thing is much more sophisticated and complex than that.

The other side of the decor: the symbolic violence exercised by the mighties

Officially, at the BILDERBERG all participants are equal. There is no visible hierarchy, since the sitting order of the individuals is made in relation to the

alphabetic order. That sound logical, insofar that the participants are present as private persons and not for their official titles as representatives of their institutions or organizations. Be it as it is, at the BILDERBERG a minister of foreign relations of the United States is sitting side to side with a Spanish journalist and a German banker. And these persons are addressing themselves at a level of equality. It goes without saying that this is a monumental hypocrisy and equality is just the facade. The French political scientist Olivier ROY, specialist for Islam, professor of political sciences at the EHESS is explaining it, being a regular invited at the conferences of BILDERBERG: *«Between us, it is somehow a little bit wrong, one knows it very well ... but it is the idea to be all equal. That is wrong, of course. In Chantilly, we were ranged in alphabetic order. I had ROCKEFELLER to my left and RUMSFELD to my right side. Needless to say that RUMSFELD did not talk to me, of course.»*

At BILDERBERG, the hierarchy is certainly not apparent, but nonetheless quite obvious. There are those who invite, and those who are invited. Those who take the floor and those who are listening. Those who pay for the trip and the hotel room and those who are happy to find themselves in this nice surrounding of the worldwide elite. Those who are there every year, those who had assisted already once and those who are discovering it. And on the background, there is always **what can be said and what has to pass under silence.**

At BILDERBERG as anywhere else, **there is existing a form of subtle political correctness which ought to be respected.** When one is participating to a meeting as this one, one is not pushing forward in a diatribe against the capitalism, the stock exchange speculation and the banking system, whereas having been invited by David ROCKEFELLER.

One will neither spit on the foreign politics of the United States while being in presence of the ministers of defense, councillors of the President, chiefs of staff, members of the CFR and other influential personalities of the American politics. In any case, one does not do it, being a «petty professor», a «petty journalist» or furthermore a «petty provincial Member of Parliament». Or than, you do it, knowing exactly that you will never get invited again. Naturally, that is never spoken out straight away. It is up to each participant to understand and to act accordingly.

In spite of the absence of hierarchy and the apparent prevailing freedom of expression a debate at the BILDERBERG is following implicit rules which outth

to be quickly understood and respected. But the lag between the BILDERBERGER Lambda and the regulars who are the real bosses is felt in the antechamber of the meeting, once the conferences/debates are terminated.

That was what Amdrà FONTAINE, former publishing Director of the Newspaper *Le MONDE* did well understand for example: *«I think that the corridor conversations were much more important than the debates in this kind of things (as the meetings of the BILDERBERG). I think as well, that among the participants, there were nevertheless someones being more equal than otherones, and that there had been conciliabules to which the ordinary people were not admitted.»*.

The breaks between the conferences/debates

The conferences/debates constitute the high lights of the BILDERBERG meetings. They occupy the larges part oft he three days oft he event.

They fix the diary, show which are the concerns of the moment and the answers which could/should be issued. However, they remain «imprisoned» in a formal framework and of a kind of political correctness. To remedy to that, there exist the «corridor discussions», well more important than what their designation is letting appear.

These discussions in small comittee and as an aside, what are they good for?

Once the principal part has ended, the participants get together around a buffet or at the bar, sometimes until an early hour in the morning. In these moments the tongs are beeing loosened and a real discussion becomes possible. This is especially the opportunity for each one to obtain that what he came for to obtain, what he was genuinly searching at the BILDERBERG: when the particular interests of one another are unveiled behind the great speeches about the global issues. Finished the pomp and large pump of the conferences on a sententious tone; give way to serious things!

There are three advantages about these corridor discussions : Firstly, each one is choosing the persons with whom he will exchange, thus completely free in his speech. Let's take for example the meeting of 2003 in Versailles, at the time when the disagreement on the Irak was at the peak between the Members of the Western elite: During the official discussions on the conference floor, Henry KISSINGER did justify the invasion of the Irak.

However, behind the scene, he did not stop to criticize the politics of the BUSH administration, explaining to whom who wanted to hear it that they «*go straight to the wall*». Secondly, these meetings in restricted circles are the opportunity of the participants to meet, to exchange their business cards and to constitute a network of relations, «friendships» (as one calls it in this society) which are factually «relations». Highly placed, if possible! Thirdly, these discussions in restricted circles are the opportunity for the greats of the BILDERBERG to discuss about subjects which they cannot mention in the presence of the other ones, Members of «the basis» most of the time. A kind of meeting of the elite within the meeting of the elite! If the conferences/debates of the BILDERBERG permit to say what one cannot tell in the public space, the corridor discussions and as an aside are the opportunity to express the back of his mind. The conferences are setting the landmarks. And to make everything in order to convince the audience. These corridor discussions as an aside are instaurating a climate of confidence, generating the feeling to be close and to be part of a community, favouring the emergence of consensus. **The consensus is the final objective of all BILDERBERG conferences.**

The end of the meeting

The BILDERBERG meetings are lasting three days. Not one day more.

Once the meeting has ended, there does not exist a monthly bulletin, no Membership card nor a follow-up. Everybody is returning back home until the following year! (for the lucky ones, who will be reinvited). Outrightly saying, there does not exist an «after» to a BILDERBERG meeting.

This meeting allows to the Members of the elite to make an overview at the instant on concise subjects. Once terminated, what is remaining from this summit? Each participant is receiving a report, compiled by the reporters who synthesize everything of what has been said during these three days.

Loyal to the spirit of Chatham House, these reports do not mention the speakers, just specifying their nationality. You find thus sentences of the type: *An American participant is estimating that ... » ; «An Italian Member of Parliament is in the contrary judging that... »*. In the perception of those few persons getting hold of such a report, this is maintaining the mystery and is providing that impression of the kind «*Masters of the Universe who are meeting secretly*» insofar as the identity of one another is not unveiled.

It is thus a sort of memorandum, a simple reminder of the facts which could be summarized as follows: *«Here, what has been said during the three days»*.

Certainly, is conceding Etienne DAVIGNON, the President of the BILDERBERG, it occurs that on certain subjects the permanent Members of the BILDERBERG *«recommend to the participants to act in this way or another»*, even if *«the latter ones will conclude of what they want of what they may have heard when leaving from BILDERBERG»*. But finally, **nobody is deciding whatsoever at BILDERBERG and once the meeting is closed, everybody is doing what he wants to do.**

At BILDERBERG influential persons are accepting to voice loudly their advice and everybody is free to take it into consideration. Or not. That's it. **In any case officially. Because, having a closer look at, the points having made consensus during the meeting are promoted without restrictions in the various Press organs and, miraculously they become the common floor of the politics implemented by the Western states. The same consensus will quickly be translated into directives to apply by the European Commission which will be subsequently translated into the national legislations of the European countries. It is the «happy coincidence» which let voice that the BILDERBERG is in fact the hidden gouvernement of the planete.**

Let's remind us: Another BILDERBERGER meeting had taken place in **Baden Baden** from June 6 til 9 1990. One of the discussed items was a Marshall Plan, foreseeing to support the CIE with 100 billions as a compensation to adopt the free economy market. During that meeting, it was as well decided that Saddam HUSSEIN shall invade Koweit.

Here the kind of revelations which one has to muzzle. Whatever the costs. Never mind if the rules of Law and elementary procedures are violated.

End of quotation.

*One is asking the question, what our Federal Councillors **SCHNEIDER AMMANN** and **LEUTHARD** have to search in such a secret meeting, lacking any democratic legitimacy.*

*The Swiss Members or invited participants of the BILDERBERG of the past were beyond **Doris LEUTHARD**: Michael RINGIER, Christophe BLOCHER, Josef ACKERMANN, and André KUDELSKI.*

The BILDERBERG meeting from June 1st til 4 2017 at Chantilly in the United States were presided by Henri De CASTRIES. The following Swiss were invited:

André KUDELSKI (a regular participant)

Susanne RUOFF, Swiss Post

Johann SCHNEIDER-AMMANN, Federal Councillor.

www.nouvelordremondial.cc/2017/05/31/la-liste-officielle-des-participants-et-lagenda-de-la-conference-bilderberg-2017-a-chantilly-aux-usa/

*The Oligarchs Christoph BLOCHER and **Johann SCHNEIDER-AMMANN***

BLOCHER is originating from the Weinland, Canton of Zurich. In the late 1950-ies, he was attending the School of Agriculture at Wülflingen ZH as the oldest brother and his oldest sister of the author, as well as her husband who has been sitting with BLOCHER in the Parliament of Zurich in the years 1980 for the political party UDC. They are calling each other by their first names. The author has thus information sources close tot hat men for having an idea about his personality.

It was BLOCHER who did transform the smalles political party participating in the ever-lasting governmental coalition - the PAI into the UDC, the biggest political party of nowadays Switzerland. However, BLOCHER did not only finance the party, but he left his historical fingerprints. Because of him, the plans of the Freemason Jean-Pascal DELAMURAZ to have Switzerland joining the European Union did fail. Failure of the referendum of Switzerland to join the European Economic Space on December 6 1992. According to the journalist Jacques-André WIDMER, this has been the project cheered by the Freemasons. If one is following this logic, BLOCHER could hardly be a Freemason.

Johann SCHNEIDER-AMMANN did pay his stripes as a Captain of the Swiss Army in 1983 at Romont FR. Recruits under his command describe him to have been an absolutly unable officer. He is sharing this weakness with a great deal of our high ranking Army leaders. But this did not prevent him from climbing very high in the global hierarchy. He got married with the heiress of the industrial group AMMANN, Langenthal BE.

BLOCHER and SCHNEIDER-AMMANN are having in common to be both Colonels of the Swiss Army and each of them has acquired rather shitty Companies – Ems Chemie, respectively the group AMMANN, and after having passed in the 1990-ies on the Board of directors of the UBS they had become

suddenly multibillionaires. Both have succeeded to get elected Federal Councillors, although BLOCHER got pushed out of that position after only 3 years in the government. Both are self-declared smart Contractors, having developed with success their businesses. Marc-Etienne BURDET is reporting another explanation for their enormous wealth: They had been members of the board of directors of the UBS at the time when the royalties of the inventor Joseph FERRAYÉ have been embezzled (patents for the extinction and blockage of burning oil wells, used for getting control over the oil well set on fire by the troupes of Saddam Hussein at the end of the War in Kuwait in 1990). These billions of frauded US\$ were laundered among others by the UBS. BLOCHER and SCHNEIDER-AMMANN were sitting on the right place at the right time for participating in the sharing of the war booty of the first Gulf war. As the Notary Pierre MOTTU who did utilize the foundation Wilsdorf (owner of Rolex) as a receptacle, his fellow Oligarchs BLOCHER/AMMANN did utilise their than lame Companies as shell companies:

www.worldcorruption.info/corruption_ch.htm

The grip of the secret societies in Switzerland

One is associating the Service Clubs (Rotary, Lions, Kiwanis Club, Zofingers) commonly with the Universe of the Freemasonry. However, in the past, the Members of these clubs did proudly exhibit their membership. The Freemasons have never behaved that way. We observe since a few years a change of behaviour: Today the lists of these Members remain secret.

Out of 246 National Councillors and Councillors of States, 11 are Members of the Rotary Club and 24 Members of the Lions Club (names known to the author), i.e. 35 on a total of 246 are part of this network and we ignore, how many of them are francs-maçons or other sectarians. In any case, these figures demonstrate already clearly the power and overrepresentation of these hidden circles in the Swiss national politics. These people are trusting to belong to the elite, but are in fact the Scum of society.

The Grand Master of the Lodge Alpina in Lausanne, Jean-Michel MASCHERPA did let know in an interview given to the Tribune de Genève on April 13 2017 that his Swiss Lodge numbered 4'000 Members, and that the Swiss Freemasons, all obediences represented the modest figure of 6'000 souls. He is admitting modestly that Switzerland would have had just 3 Freemasonic Federal Councillors in her History (see above). In a broadcast of the TSR we were in

contrast informed that the Lodge Alpina Switzerland was composed already in 1965 of 45 Lodges with 5'000 Members:

<https://uhxnue.wordpress.com/2017/01/13/une-visite-chez-les-macons-du-grand-orient-de-suisse-gos/>

We see that MASCHERPA did make use of the classical strategy of the Freemasons of smoke screens for hiding the reality. In fact, the headcount of the Swiss Freemasons has exploded in the period between 1991 and 1995. Their numbers is without any doubt a multiple of that one conceded by MASCHERPA.

According to a connoisseur of the sect, the overwhelming majority of the sect and Service Clubs are sincerely convinced to act for the well being of Humanity, presuming to be good Swiss patriots, defending the traditional values of our country. They do not see the «dirt beneath the honey», because among them, there are «wolves in lamb skins».

They are swearing on their lives to assist each other between brothers and sisters, accepting a rigorous discipline. The Members at the basis of the Freemasonic pyramid are not realizing to be in a conflict of interest, because they have to respect their masonic Laws at the costs of the Federal Constitution. They become «prisoners of their masonic oath, owing absolute loyalty to their Masters».

Sooner or later, the Freemason Lawyer will betray one of his clients in favour of one of his brothers. Sooner or later, the Freemason politician or judiciary Magistrate will betray our constitutional values in favour of one of their sect, becoming in this way a traitor of his country.

The Freemasons are pretending to watch themselves in order to avoid abuses. The Freemasons condemned by their sect have to «take the Pill of the Freemasons», that is to say to commit suicide. One is forgiving them a first time. Latest at the 3rd slip, especially if a penal condemnation is threatening, the suicide is the only issue. However «the powers pretending to control themselves are leading straight into the Goulag».

*Their occultism is making their power. The affair of **François LÉGERET** is the evidence. Alone, facing a presumed plot of Freemasons, who he was unable to identify, his fate was sealed. François was ambushed. The obsession of the Freemasons to cultivate the secret is the basis of their hidden power. «The permanency and ubiquity of the hidden grip on society is an enormous danger for our society. For this virus of occultism, one has to claim the tolerance zero» and request the total transparency to be established instantly!*

Partial quotations of the book On m'a volé mon ego ! Jacques–André WIDMER, editions Publibook, 2014 – statements reformulated and completed.

Certainly, it is not easy to identify the Freemasons. Anyway, I trust to unearth them by observing their behaviour: The following personalities did not reply among others to my written solicitations, not even by respecting the administrative politeness to acknowledge receipt of my mails, even if containing files or books:

Frank MEYER, star journalist of RINGIER

Roger DE WECK, former boss of the governmental Swiss Radio/Télévision

Roger KÖPPEL, Chief Editor of the Weltwoche and National Councillor UDC/ZH

Roger SCHAWINSKI, independant journalist and associated with the governmental Swiss Television

Ariane DAYER, Chief Editor of the Le Matin Dimanche

Grégoire NAPPEY, Chief Editor of the Le Matin

Thierry MEYER, Chief Editor of the 24 Heures

Darius ROCHEBIN, journalist of the TSR

Philippe LANDMARK, Chief Editor of the St. Galler Tagblatt

Markus SOMM, Chief Editor of the Basler Zeitung

Arthur RUTISHAUSER, Chief Editor of the Tagesanzeiger

Dick MARTY, former Attorney General and Councillor of States of Ticino

Guido RAIMONDI, President of the European Court of Human Rights

Filippo LOMBARDI, Councillor of States PLR/TI

Martin BÄUMLE, National Councillor green-liberal/ZH

Raphaël COMTE, Councillor of States PRL/NE

Hans GRUNDER, National Councillor PBD/BE

Henceforward, I consider them to be Members of a secret society.

The public good is to be debated publically. For this reason, one has to identify the Members of Freemasonry in the judiciary apparatus, including the Lawyers,

in the administration of the State, including the Police, and in politics, because we ought to fight vigourously the systems of the Oligarchs who want to make work the economy, the politics and the judiciary system in the secret. By identifying their Members, their occult power is smashed. I join the position of Hubert VAN DEN TORREN who claims that the Freemasons are not legitimated to occupy Magistrate functions, State employments and positions in politics, because their oath in favour of their sect is in conflict with our traditional values granted by the Swiss Federal Constitution:

www.lelibrepenseur.org/conference-de-m-hubert-van-den-torren-concernant-la-mafia-maconnique

Contact the author for communicating any useful informaton on that topic!

The secret societies are in conclusion the most dangerous plague of our contemporanean society, here in Switzerland, and even in the whole Western World. François LÉGERET is their most tragical victim, but by far not the only one. They are enslaving the nations.

5. The author of this report

The Freemasons will slander me, claiming that this report just reflects the fantasmms of ULRICH. In the past, the Mass Media were dragging me through the mud. Today, they are practizing yet more efficient tactics: Order is spread to the journalists by the countless Freemasons who did infiltrate the Mass Media to report nothing concerning me.

Avoiding to present myself, I let Pierre MOTTU describe my person. MOTTU was the Notary in Geneva who has instrumented the planetary fraud of the century at

the costs of the inventor Joseph FERRAYÉ. See:
www.worldcorruption.info/historique

We did denounce him to be a crook. He dragged us to court and managed to have us heavily condemned for alleged infringement of his not existing honour. Today, MOTTU is living in Monaco and London. Translated quotation from his Web Site:

www.pierre-mottu.ch/fr/Les-Accuses/accuse-gerhard-ulrich/accuse-gerhard-ulrich.htm

In red letters are reproduced the procedural truths contested by the concerned:



Accused: Gerhard ULRICH

Extract from the complete judgment: p. 43 – 46

ULRICH Gerhard, son of ULRICH Johann and of BÜHLMANN Anna, (*born*) in Winterthur ZH, citizen of Waltalingen ZH, divorced from ZAJĄC Eulalia, domiciliated c/ , Avenue de Lonay 17, 1110 Morges

For calumny, alternatively defamation, offense and complicity of offense

Born on December 16 1944 in a family of farmers, brought up by his parents, the accused Gerhard ULRICH did visit a school of agriculture obtaining his certificate of agricultural studies on March 20 1964, with high school marks.



Subsequently, he accomplished studies as an engineer ETS in Fruit Growing, education which he terminated with a diploma in May 1970, obtaining again marks close to the maximum. From 1970 till 1999 he was employed by nine distinct employers, occupying often positions of responsibility in Switzerland and abroad – he is speaking 6 languages – in several industrial Companies. In his last employment, he declares to have realized an annual net income of about 150'000 francs. He experienced a year of unemployment from 1999 until spring 2000. He founded a limited Company for Marketing Consulting which did collapse insofar as he did disinvest himself for sacrificing his time for the exclusive objective to sanitize the judiciary system. Having exhausted his savings, he worked one year

for the distribution of leaflets. Since April 1st 2005, he is working as a postman/distributor at the Swiss Post, at the unit Post Logistics, at the Mail Center of Lausanne; on June 22 2007 his employer delivered to him an intermediate laudatory employment certificate, underlining his professional conscientiousness, his engagement, his autonomy, his stress resistance, qualifying him as an open minded collaborator, friendly and service-minded, being appreciated by his superiors and his colleagues, behaving with loyalty and seriousness. Gerhard ULRICH said to realize a monthly net income of about 3'300 francs. He is exercising his job activity at 90 %. Heavily indebted, he estimates that his debt of the financial support with regard to his second wife is approaching 200'000 Swiss Francs and that he had furthermore important debts outstanding owed to the State, specifically for justice fees, but does not care about these debts. He is not hiding to have the intention for never honouring those debts and to realize intentionally an income inferior to what he is qualified for by his professional experience. If acting in that way, he is watching that his income remains intangible. He is as well adjusting his time schedule on the job in order to prevent the slightest seizure (*The financial support allocated to my ex-wife were totally excessive, having the aim to rob me, and my appeal against that dictat has never been treated!*)

Divorced from his 2nd wife, the accused is living with a girlfriend in Morges. Two of his sisters, questioned as witnesses did declare that he had been affected by the death of his (*oldest*) son, occurred in 2001.

His judiciary register has the following inscriptions:

- February 14 2002, court of Police, arrondissement of la Côte, 45 days in prison for simple body injuries, defamation, offense, threatening, violation of an obligation of financial support, insubordination to a decision of the authorities (*Contested: that trial has been recorded, and the fraud can be evidenced up to date.*);
- February 25 2005, Criminal court of the East of Vaud, 20 days of prison for defamation. *Contested. See:*
www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_creux-e.pdf ;
- October 2005, Criminal court of the East of Vaud, 15 months in prison under deduction of 13 days, pre-trial custody, suspended conditionally during 5 years, for intentional arson, damage to the property and home invasion;

- November 24 2006, Criminal court of the arrondissement of Lausanne, 21 months in prison for defamation, qualified calumny, attempt of constraint, and home invasion. This last judgment has been confirmed by the Vaudois court of penal cassation on June 21 2007, enforceable, although the written motivations have not yet been notified and that the accused has voiced the intention to appeal to the Federal Court.
www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_winzap-e.pdf

He is the object of new penal inquiries instructed against him in the Canton of Fribourg for infringenemt of honour, where he as been temporarily arrested by the Police on June 24 2007, that is to say at the eve of his trial, at the occasion of a flyer distribution at the residence o the special investigating Judge in charge of his affair (*affair which has never resulted in a trial – pure illegal harassment*). He is the object of similar inquires in the Canton of Vaud. Finally, he has been accused of insult to Magistrates in France. (*They forgot my federal procedure: 8 Federal Judges had accused me of constraint, but the Penal Federal Court was obliged to acquit me on 14.04.10.*)

In his judgment of October 11 2005 the seized court did indicate that Gerhard ULRICH had left the image of an intelligent and worthy man, rigid and entagled in his impenetrable personal logic. (*The Newspaper 24 Heures, had headlined after that penal trial for intenrional arson, making justie to oneself on October 12 2005: "Gerhard ULRICH is not a blind egoist". The original text of the judgment of GOERMER of October 11 2005 said: "Alerting the fire brigade is completly atypical and is translating the care to avoid a collective danger. The accused is not a blind egoist. He is a unruly. Hence, unruly individuals are disturbing, but they are necessary".*)

The 2 sisters of the accused who were interrogated during the debats did describe him as an intelligent man, having always had a strong character and a go-ahead type, a sharp sense for justice and being social-minded, leading him to defend the weak and oppressed members the of society, struggling for them. The same character traits are appearing in a report of the criminal Police of January 14 2002. One can read that certain of the former colleagues of the accused did point out that his strong character and as well as his untypical manner to do business had certainly deserved him. Furthermore, it seems that he showed too much self-security and a tendency to exceed his competences, being choleric, sensitive and sometimes querulous. He had apparently not accepted to have been fired by Tetra Pak System SA, which had employed him

as responsible Manager for the sales in the USSR, and the termination of his employment agreement with CMSE where he had been active as the Sales Manager.

Unexpectedly, his defensor did plea to retain on discharge the application of article 11 o(ld) CP or article 19 n(ew)CP, be it a diminished penal responsibility (notes of the plea p. 29, handwritten addition). In fact, before the accused has never claimed that the court should have the slightest doubt about his penal responsibility. One is ignoring if this argument was made on his initiative or improvised in isolation by his defensor. (*That is the case.*) Whatever is applicable, the accused has always furiously negated to have his penal responsibility queried. He considers to doubt about that topic is equivalent to discredit him abusively for neutralizing his struggle against the failures of justice. One is reading in this regard on page 3 of a document, designated as plea which he has written on February 14 2002, be it the argumentation addressed to the court of Police of his trial in February 2002 : «for which reason did you want to send me to the psychiatrists of your choice... ?» One of the sisters, psychologist by education (*recte psychiatric nurse*) has said that to her knowledge her brother had never undergone such a treatment of that type. No medical document of the nature do doubt of the mental health of the accused has been presented. In addition, none of the 4 judgments concerning him, issued between 2002 and 2006 does mention the article 11 oCP. In turn, it is obvious that he is presenting unusual character traits in that sense that it is particularly stubborn and determined in his actions, going so far to consider his struggle as the fight of good against evil, what is **witnessing a certain absolutism and the refusal to take into consideration the view of other people, defending his excesses in advance by self-justification.** (*These are the words of the «Judge» SAUTEREL*).

The life of the accused has balanced in summer 2000, when his wife has obtained in the framework of a matrimonial dispute by pre-protecting measures of the marital union him to be ordered to leave the marital residence. (*In fact, I have been brutally expelled from my own house, and I was never heard in this matter, nor before, nor afterwards, violating thus the fundamental principle of the contradictory procedure.*). Starting from there, he rebelled and provoked in chain clashes with the judiciary system, accusing it of dysfunction, **each time when his wife won on a point.** He founded and managed the association «APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE» and is devoting since then his existence to it in a furious crusade against what he is calling judiciary

dysfunctions. He claims to have one thousand members, be it for 80 % «victims», **without making the difference between pathological querulous and frustrated individuals having the discernment** and 20 % of sympathizers. If he is admitting that it has been difficult in the very beginning to recruit the first 20 members, he is underlining the success of his enterprise, asserting the territorial extension from French speaking Switzerland to the whole territory of the country and even beyond the national borders. He is considering, **not without cockiness** that his association is playing henceforward the role of a counter power to the Judiciary, as well as legislative and executive powers, putting pressure on the candidates for promotion and the careers of certain government clerks, being taken for targets. In addition, he is not willing to disclose more information about his group. He says nonetheless to dispose of money and structures, and that his action will not be neutralized in case that he himself or his co-accused should be condemned to jail and deprivation of freedom. He did clearly let know to intend to continue his action, applying the same methods, until getting satisfaction, **be it as long as to submit the justice under his diktat for functioning according to his requirements.**

End of quotation.

*The judgment **WINZAP** of November 24 2006 had presented me as the most stupid idiot, and the judgment of **SAUTEREL** of July 6 2007 as an evil genius. The reporting of MOTTU does have the merit to neutralize these forged procedural truths, although he had picked up some insinuations of these Magistrates. Furthermore, MOTTU cannot be suspected to have delivered a complacency description in my favour. I can thus pretend not to be more stupid than those who I am criticizing, and to possess the discernment.*

6. How to diagnose the Freemasonic plot

When AIDS stroke humanity, there existed no tests for tracing the disease. The physicians diagnosed then the evil by retaining the symptoms.

Because of the occultism of the Freemasons, one did not discover yet a scientific test for identifying the Freemasons, which would stop them from robbing their fellow citizens.

Jean-François ROUSSEL has discovered that the Freemason French Lawyers are using a code in their writings (decisions, summonings, correspondance etc.) for labeling their victims: After a double point follows a ∴ (masonic symbol) – intentional misspellings as a capital L instead of a small letter l (set square L is

a masonic symbol) – intentional die-to-die-blow, where it should not exist – misspelled names etc.

I think that the discovery of ROUSSEL is relevant for France. France. But I did not yet observe similar things here in Switzerland. Let's open our eyes. Perhaps, we will find one day this codified language/writing.

In the absence of a litmus test, one must consequently describe the disease of the masonic plots by retaining the symptoms, as we just have done it.

Conclusive criterias to diagnose the Freemasonic Plot:

*If a presumed victim of a first Prosecutor or Judge did have the opportunity to explain the well-founded evidences of his case to 2 other Magistrates, and they confirm nevertheless the first decision, one may suppose the existence of a plot, since for a conspiracy, there must exist at least 3 conspirators. Each Magistrate joining is amplifying the plot. For the verification, one will forget the established procedural truths, and will restudy all documents of the file, interrogate the concerned person and if possible the witnesses. To make it short: one will proceed to realize **plausibility tests** and draw the conclusions accordingly on that basis.*

Once this first step is accomplished, and the appreciation is contrary to the procedural truths of the conspirators, one will look for secondary symptoms:

- 1. Betrayal by the Lawyer of the concerned person; this symptom has a yet greater weight, if several Lawyers/Notaries did betray/abuse the victim. Instead of betraying, a Lawyer may drop his client.*
- 2. A massive denunciation to hundreds/thousands of Magistrates, politicians and journalists is hitting the wall of silence – a mighty power must have given the order to implement censorship.*

If the examination is confirming the dysfunction of at least 3 Magistrates, and there is presence of one of these secondary symptoms, I do not hesitate to declare the Freemasonic plot. This is the case what is concerning the former Federal Judge Roland Max SCHNEIDER and all other 11 presented cases.

To these primary symptoms, others are adding:

- the Lawyer too close to the Prosecutor or the Judge, or even having an accessory function as a Judge/replacing Federal Judge*
- the promotion of a Magistrate, after having provided a major service for the brotherhood*
- beneficiaries of the cheating, being connected to the judiciary apparatus as Assessor, expert, politician (who is electing the Judges)*

- *Magistrates heavily earmarked in our database*
- *hidden economic links (e.g. the spouse of a Judge sitting at the same board of directors of a Company together with the illegal beneficiary of corruption)*
- *cheating Judges joining insurance companies after retirement*
- *relations of a key protagonist with a particular circle (e.g. Army Judges, links with political parties)*
- *victims seeking help from Lawyers or politicians get the reply: «I can't help you.»*
- *journalists renounce to publish the affaire of the victim with false pretexts, or not replying at all*

Almost all affairs are easy to understand at the start of a procedure. They are made intentionally complex by the conspirators, forcing the victims to struggle on secondary issues. Furthermore, they violate the fundamental rights, quoting profusely scatterbrained jurisprudence, refusing to hear witnesses, practicing the illegal double file (one accessible for the concerned person, and another, more voluminous one exploited exclusively by the conspirators) and having documents to disappear from the file etc. E.g., one will refuse to summon witnesses with the argument that an «anticipated appreciation» is making that superfluous (How can one appreciate things, you do not know?)

Other abuse: The Federal Court is refusing with ugly tricks the judiciary assistance to the victims of the system. See one of plenty concrete examples: www.worldcorruption.info/vaudoise.htm

Livres du même auteur, éditions Samizdat:

Erinnerungen eines 44ers

Kindheit und Jugend eines Rebellen

1944 - 1964

671 pages

Available only in German on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

Trahi par ses propres juges

Mémoires du « cauchemar des juges » Gerhard ULRICH 2000 – 2015

405 pages, translated in German

Available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

*The scandalous condemnation of Laurent SÉGALAT or
The Unmasked «Constitutional State»*

271 pages, translated in German and French

Printed book available in German and French at the price of CHF 30.-

English version available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

*The judiciary crime at the costs of François LÉGERET
The Album of Dishonour*

311 pages, translated in German and French

Available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

The revenge of David against Goliath

39 pages, translated in German and French

Available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

Bitter Pill for the Freemasons

41 pages, translated in German and French

Available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

The stifled Manslaughter

In memoriam Skander VOGT

37 pages, translated in German and English

Available on USB stick at the price of CHF 20.-

Editions Samizdat

c/o Gerhard ULRICH

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges 021 801 22 88 catharsisgu@gmail.com



The author Gerhard ULRICH, participant at the Congres of the Swiss Lawyers, Lucerne, June 15 2017

Record

The welcome address was presented by the President of the Swiss Lawyers Association, the Lawyer in Schwyz Sergio Giacomini. He was profoundly philosophing about the Contrat Social of Jean-Jacques Rousseau and Immanuel Kant.

Only about half of the registered 500 participants were sitting in the Auditorium. Among the Guests of Honour, I detected about 20 names negatively registered in my Data Base. However, I was not identified during the whole day.

*The Leitmotiv of this plenary session was: "Solidarity of the actors of the Judiciary", and the first honorable Guest who took the floor was the former President of the Federal Court, **Gilbert KOLLY**. **KOLLY** said proudly, that in his last presidential year 2016, one had succeeded to reduce the accepted appeals to 2.3 %. (in comparaison, the percentage of accepted appeals has varied 10 - 15 years ago between 5 - 7.5 %).*

***KOLLY** is interpreting this fact as the evidence that the Swiss Judiciary is working almost perfectly.*

*The Président had voiced his concern about the robotization of the Judiciary. **KOLLY** appeased: The Conference of the Directors of Justice of the Swiss Cantons had voted unanimously that this new development remained exclusively in the hands of the Judiciary. For this reason, nothing could go wrong.*

Then he came to speak about the Leitmotiv of the Conference, the solidarity of the actors of the Judiciary, for defending its independence. Quotation:

The Mass Media and our politicians know about the excellent performance of our Judiciary and respect it. Today, the biggest threat comes from certain journalists and the association, which is distributing flyers in the resident areas of the Magistrates in French speaking Switzerland. It is of paramount importance to preserve the trust of our fellow-citizens in our Judiciary. End of quotation.

APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE just got its credential of excellence.

My motivation to compile this report

I have had the intention to publish in April 2017 my 8th book with the title François Légeret – Victim of a Freemasonic Plot ?

Commenting my draft, my friend François did reply me early May 2017:

Dear Gérard,

I thank you indeed to defend my evidenced innocence, notably on the basis of my last revision request.

My request is aiming first of all to denounce the dysfunction of the judiciary system – at all levels -, concerning the fact that I have been deprived to have a fair trial since 2006 on the basis of the penal Law. Due to this fact, my request is not having the pretention to denounce a plot, and even less a Freemasonic plot, in the absence of verified evidences at this stage (witnesses or pictures of ceremonies). If you want to develop this theory of the plot, it is necessary that you can assemble at least ten judiciary cases for proving that there exists a plot among the judiciary circles, with irrefutable evidences, in particular in the canton of Vaud. In the absence of video pictures one is needing serious and powerful witnesses that certain Judges and Prosecutors who you are suspecting are members of the Freemasonry. My request on its own is not sufficient to prove it. At this stage, you cannot defend my request under the angle of a plot. Serious evidences are needed. Based on these facts, do not link my request to a Freemasonic plot. If you want to defend my request among the Members of the Vaudois Parliament, one has to blame the judiciary dysfunction provoked by certain Judges who are cheating.

(...)

My draft for an 8th book remained in the drawer. Evidently, François was right, and I had to listen to him. First, I felt impotent to satisfy his requirements. But little by little, my experience of 17 years of struggle against the decline of the judiciary system did mature in my head the present report.

Paying tribute to François LÉGERET, I hope that his suggestions will bear fruit for permitting to the society to find the remedy against the gangrene of the Freemasonic plot.

Morges, June 21 2017

Gerhard ULRICH