

Gerhard ULRICH

*former political prisoner
founder and former President of the association
of judiciary victims APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges
021 801 22 88 catharsisgu@gmail.com*

May 22nd 2017



Franz MOOS

Christophe MAILLARD

*Chamber of penal recourses
Instance of the cantonal court
Route du Signal 8*

1014 Lausanne

*cc: to the 731 other judiciary Magistrates reachable by e-mail
To whom it may concern*

Your irredeemably degenerated judiciary system condemned to disappear

To you, Christophe MAILLARD,

*3 non-entry orders (enclosures [1.1](#), [2.1](#) and [3.1](#)) have been posted on May 10 2017 by A mail (enclosures [1.2](#), [2.2](#) and [3.2](#)) by your crony **Franz MOOS**, This is infringing article 85 CPP, paragraph 2, and I received them on May 12 2017. The deadline for appeals is reached on May 22nd 2017. Posted today, my 3 appeals thereafter are thus admissible.*

*You make things intentionally complicated with your proceedings. Actually, I am denouncing a reality easy to understand: your judiciary apparatus is running like a well oiled diabolical machine at the service of the Oligarchy, at the costs of the numerous judiciary victims. In their cases, the truth has never been searched for by any judiciary instance, and you are betraying them by barricading yourself behind your forged procedural truths. However, **your present judiciary system is irredeemably degenerated and condemned to disappear.***

To you, Christophe Maillard!

*Gerhard ULRICH
former political prisoner*

PS : Appeal to the 731 Vaudois judiciary Magistrates, reading me in copy

For repressing the freedom of expression, the judiciary apparatus did hit very violently between 2006 and 2008, totally disproportionally by stigmatizing the leaders of the citizens association APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE with a total of 10 years imprisonment condemnations. I have been condemned myself for 4 years in prison, and I had to serve them up to the last day, inspite of good behaviour. This can only be explained by the fury and ressentments of those who could not cope to be critisized and who are not able to challenge themselves.

These 4 years in jail allowed me to complet my knowledg of the ill system. I experienced it as an opportunity. I was never subject to feelings of fear, revenge, incapacity or submission, being aware to defend our constitiutional values (the rules of good faith, prohibition of arbitrariness).

Do you want to jail again a man who will become 73 years old this year? I trust you would lose the rest of your credibility.

*Amont the 731 addressed Vaudois judiciary Magistrates, there must exist some courageous persons, being able to engage the dialogue with the consumers of justice, i.e. with us judiciary victims. **We are in a position to show you the royal road out of the impasse**, since the superior judiciary instances (cantonal courts, Federal Court, European Court of Human Rights) failed to implement a quality control, deserving such a designation. With the actors in place, the system is irreformable. By the way, a European Judge has the identical Lawyer education as a first instance Magistrate. The superior Magistates do not have any longer the legitimacy to exercise their functions. Their instances have lost their *raison d'être*. A counter-power to the judicial tyranny is necessary, surveying the Seraglio from the outside. For instance, local Jurys, composed of non-lawyers will conrol the courts. Such local Jurys will just have to answer the question: Did the Judge in the examined case perform his job correctly? Yes or no. If yes, the affair has to be submitted to another court for new appreciation. The judicial job will remain entrusted to professional Lawyers. Such a system will be more efficient, less expensive and more rapid.*

Vaudois Magistrates, contact me for looking for constructive solutions.

Awaiting your reaction, I remain sincerely yours

Gerhard ULRICH

- 1. Appeal against the non-entry order of MOOS Franz of May 10 2017
PE17.000228-FMO (denounced persons MAILLARD, KRIEGER,
PERROT, COLETTA, MOTTIER, PIDOUX)**

The facts

On [December 20.16](#) I filed a denunciation against Christophe MAILLARD, cantonal «Judge» VD, [Joël KRIEGER](#), cantonal «Judge» VD, Guillaume PERROT, cantonal «Judge» VD, Stéphane COLETTA, «prosecutor» VD and Martine MOTTIER, «Judge» of peace VD for abuse of authority and membership to a criminal organization.

Jean-Yves PIDOUX, former Member of Parliament VD/responsible of CITYCABLE for illegal censorship and violation of article 49 of the Law of Telecommunications.

This denunciation ([annexe 1.3](#)) was addressed to the «Attorney General» [Eric COTTIER](#) in his quality as a depositary, with regard to my challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates of [October 10 2016](#) ([enclosure 1.4](#)), and this well justified, since I had demonstrated with 6 exemples documented on the Web that [COTTIER](#) covers systematically the corruption. Although MAILLARD, [KRIEGER](#) and PERROT were aimed by this challenge, they were playing the jokers, being in the comfortable position to be Judge and party, and delivered their decision 678 of [November 21 2016](#) ([enclosure 1.5](#)). This is without any doubt an abuse of authority, because with the suppression of the challenge, they had the intention to recuperate [COTTIER](#) as the boss of the diabolic machine at the service of the Oligarchy. This Zampano of the judiciary arena must be sent to court for being trialed for his misdeads. To cover such an outlaw, as MAILLARD, [KRIEGER](#) and PERROT have done it, is not just an abuse of authority, but to assimilate with membership to a criminal organization, since they made themselves partners in those crimes, by covering them.

The «prosecutor» Stéphane COLETTA and the «Judge» of peace Martine MOTTIER did as well abuse of their authority by writing the penal order/order of classification of [October 24 2016](#) ([enclosure 1.6](#)), respectively the pursue release order of [October 26 2016](#) ([annexe 1.7](#)) inspite of being challenged. Both Magistrates had been briefed about the crimes committed by certain representatives of the Vaudois judiciary apparatus, to be pursued ex officio, especially for what concerns the corruption. To disregard my challenge of [October 5 2016](#) the content of which was known to them, is the evidence of their membership to a criminal organization. According to the principles of the federal

Constitution, they were obliged to denounce such extremely serious dysfunctions to the competent authority. They did not do it and preferred to become as well partners in crime. .

The former Member of Parliament VD and responsible of the Internet access Provider CITYCABLE, Jean-Yves PIDOUX has cooperated with the Vaudois Prosecutors' Office by censoring the portal www.worldcorruption.info, where I am presently publishing, on the only basis of an order of COLETTA of October 5 2016 (PE13.012968-STL) which is not at all a definitive and enforceable judgment. This censorship is still going on. The violation of article 49 of the Law on telecommunications is obvious. This facette of the affair reveals as well the non-existence of the separation of powers. .

Appreciation of the attacked decision [PE17.000228-FMO](#)

*This order ([PE17.000228-FMO](#)) has been issued by **Franz MOOS**, the actual number 2 of the Vaudois Prosecutors' Office. See his evaluation ([enclosure 8](#)). This means that we are dealing with an repeat offender, indelicate Magistrate with a long History, and one will understand his mobile to have issued this complacency order in favour of his superior **COTTIER**, because the futur of the author of this indefensible order is at stake – as well as thatone of his boss. **MOOS** must be sent to court because of these maneuvers. His role played in the affair of Béchir SEBEI as a corrupt Magistrate is well enough charge:*

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/vaudoise.htm

*The Magistrates reading me in copy charge themselves with guilt for administering illegal favors, if they do not denounce **MOOS** to the competent authorities for passive corruption. One is instantly understanding that **MOOS** had no other option than to cheat.*

*Here the lies of **MOOS** produced in his attacked order [PE17.000228-FMO](#):*

- « (...) no mobile of challenge at all has been put in evidence.» - *In my chanllenge request of [October 5 2016](#) ([enclosure 1.4](#)) I had demonstrated with documents at hand the implication of **COTTIER** and several cantonal «Judges» to have cooperated actively in the secrete censorship of my Websites, and the use the Prosecutors' Office had made of the extremely poisonous practice of the double file – one accessible to the accused, and another, more voluminous one exploited exclusively by the cheating investigators. And in my denunciation of [December 3 2016](#) ([enclosure 1.3](#)),*

I had indicated 7 Links leading to documented cases of corruption covered by the Vaudois judiciary apparatus.

- «By decision of October 12 2016, the Chamber of penal appeals, composed of the above mentioned three Judges, against which the complaint is filed, has rejected the new challenge request submitted on October 5 2016 by Gerhard ULRICH who has appealed without success to the Federal Court which did confirm the cantonal decision by ATF of January 11 2017.» - *This is a lie by omission, since I have introduced on February 3rd 2017 a request of reconsideration against this unlawful ATF. This request is still outstanding.*
- «There is remaining nothing else. The elements described by Gerhard ULRICH do not provide a single evidence which would permit to consider the slightest penal infringement committed by the various denounced Magistrates. Based on this fact, the conditions for opening a penal action are obviously not fulfilled. The Prosecutors' Office does not enter into the matter.» - *Without saying it, **MOOS** is relying on the procedural truths, whereas **the applicant is invoking the reality of existing victims of corruption covered by the Vaudois judiciary apparatus.** Evidence by documents and the witnessing of some of those victims:*

François LÉGERET wrongly jailed since 11 ½ years at Bochuz; although this concerns another procedure, you can verify by consulting the revision request of François LÉGERET of March 14 2017 in your possession.

*Béchir SEBEI, phone 077 927 49 78 appolitique@gmail.com
www.worldcorruption.info/eng/vaudoise.htm*

*Erhard KELLER, architect ETHZ, phone 078 819 99 38
dka399@gmail.com www.worldcorruption.info/schneider.htm
www.worldcorruption.info/index_html_files/gu_schneider-e.pdf*

*Jakob GUTKNECHT, phone. 079 859 70 68
www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm*

*Gerhard ULRICH + other Members of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE
www.worldcorruption.info/david_against_goliath.htm*

Gerhard Ulrich 021 801 22 88 et Marc-Etienne BURDET 024 445 0501
www.worldcorruption.info_htm_files/gu_sauterel-e.pdf

Werner RATHGEB, phone 079-241 03 13 wraithgeb@bluewin.ch
www.worldcorruption.info_htm_files/gu_krieger-e.pdf

Michèle HERZOG, phone 079 655 21 86 mh@herzoginfo.ch
www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_colelough-e.pdf

MOOS is lying as a Federal Judge and is never searching the truth, although this is the first duty of a Judge since the nights of time.

*From what is preceding, one will conclude that **MOOS** does not have any credibility, and the challenge concerning him and those who are supporting him must be maintained.*

In conclusion I request

that the non-entry order [PE17.000228-FMO](#) shall be declared inadmissible;
that the challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates shall be maintained ;
that my denunciation of [December 3 2016 \(enclosure 1.3\)](#) shall be transmitted to an authority having the moral and judicial authority to investigate it ;
that the Magistrates who did abuse of their authority in this procedure shall be pursued ex officio.

Morges, May 22nd 2017

Gerhard ULRICH
former political prisoner

List of enclosures

- 1.1. [Order of May 10 2017 PE17.000228-FMO](#)
- 1.2. [Envelop of the mail](#)
- 1.3. [Denunciation of December 3 2016](#)
- 1.4. [Challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates of October 5 2016](#)
- 1.5. [Decision 678 of 21.11.16 of the cantonal court VD](#)
- 1.6. [Penal Order/order of classification of October 24 2016](#)
- 1.7. [Pursue release of October 26 2016](#)
- 1.8. [Evaluation of Lawyers - Franz MOOS](#)
2. [Appeal against the non-entry order of **MOOS Franz** of May 10 2017 \[PE16.020851-FMO\]\(#\) \(denounced person Stéphane COLETTA\)](#)

The factss

On [Oct. 11 2016](#) I had denounced the «Prosecutor» Stéphane COLETTA and Mario ROSSI of Swisscom for illegal censorship of the Internet and violation of the article 49 of the Law on Telecommunications, by sabotaging the access of their clients of buewin/Swisscom to the site www.worldcorruption.info

where I am presently publishing ([encl. 2.3](#)). Quotation from that denunciation: «Any restriction of a fundamental right as the freedom of expression must be founded on a legal basis. Serious restrictions have to be foreseen by a Law. However, no court has ever issued a regular judgement ordering to censor the ‘confiscated’ Internet site».

Swisscom/bluwin and CITYCABLE are anyway the only operators having followed the Order PE13.012968-STL of October 5 2016 of COLETTA. This is clearly demonstrating that ROSSI became a partner in crime, violating article 49 of the Law on telecommunications, by falsifying the DNS.

By censoring www.worldcorruption.info COLETTA and ROSSI made them-selves partners in crime of the denounced cases of corruption on this portal.

Incidentally I demonstrated that the predecessor of COLETTA, the «Prosecutor» Yves NICOLET had censored my former Web portals by a closed office of Justice, i.e. by applying the practice of the double file for hiding incriminatory documents, and a procedure without parties. In that context, I had requested among others the right to consult the file PE03.0183380-YNT as a whole and the unsealing of the e-mail exchange between [Michel TINGUELY](#) with c9c in the framework of the procedure PE11.0116717. I did reiterate the same requests in the framework of the denunciation of the freemasonic plot at the expenses of Jakob GUTKNECHT of [November 4 2016](#) ([enclosure 2.4](#))

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

Appreciation of the attacked decision [PE16.020851-FMO](#)

Let’s start to state that there is a denial of justice for what concerns my reiterated requests to be authorized to consult the file PE03.0183380-YNT as a whole and the unsealing of the e-mail exchange of [Michel TINGUELY](#) with c9c in the framework of the procedure PE11.0116717. However, I have already claimed this defect in my appeal of May 5, 2017 against the non-entry order of the «Prosecutor» Roxane MAGNENAT of April 20 2017.

I request consequently this denial of justice to be corrected, be it in the framework of this appeal of May 5 2017, be it in the context of this appeal.

For what concerns the absence of moral and judicial authority of the author of this order PE16.020651-FMO, reference is made to what has been exposed above on that topic.

MOOS is quoting the challenged cantonal Judges on page 2 in medio:

«... the applicant charges the Prosecutors' Office and the court Cean by challenge requests which are obviously unfounded and abusive. By doing it, **he is uselessly complicating the ordinary cours of actions of the penal procedure**, and that is evidently not the aim of the instructions for challenge. Evidently, he does not have any legitimate interest to repeat procedures being in advance doomed to fail.»

*Evidently, I am not the one complicating uselessly the cours of action of the penal procedure. **These are the Vaudois Magistrates who are spitting on the victims of their corruption, barricading themselves behind their forged procedural truths, having never searched the truth in their cases.** Anyway, the search of the truth is the first duty of the Judge since the time of King Salomon. Since you are betraying your fellow citizens, you did maneuver yourselves into an impasse: You do not any longer have the moral authority to be our Judges. If you and **MOOS** are refusing to acknowledge the reality of your judiciary victims mentioned above, it is precisely your stubbornness to perseverate in the judiciary crime. Obviously, my struggle to overcome your irredeemably degenerated judiciary system is of public interest, and the public wealth is to be debated publically.*

*The ATF of January 11 2017 quoted at the end of page 2 is not at all enforceable, since my request for reconsideration of February 3 2017 is still outstanding. In addition, it is a fact that none out of the 152 Federal Judges who have been informed about the corruption and the frauds of their former colleague **Roland Max SCHNEIDER** did denounce the offender to the competent authority (*enclosure 2.5*). The Federal Judges did thus maneuver themselves as well into an impasse. The Federal Courts have lost any legitimacy because of their ongoing and systematical violation of our constitutional values (prohibition of arbitrariness, rules of good faith). Their failures have not been caused by the applicant. I just have unveiled them, as many other of their victims do it as well. By not mentioning in his motivation my exposed claims in my denunciation of *October 11 2016* that the «Prosecutor» COLETTA and Mario ROSSI of the company Swisscom did censor illegally the Internet, violating article 49 of the Law on Telecommunications, **MOOS** deared to formulate the lie on page 3 in medio that there was «no sufficient evidence, suggesting the realization of any penal infringement whatsoever by the different persons quoted in his writings».*

We are faced with a classical lie which ought to be sanctioned by the instance which will ultimately deal with this appeal, since the abuse of authority, respectively the granting of illegal favor to COLETTA/ROSSI must be pursued ex officio.

The conditions of the challenging of all Vaudois Magistrates stays unchanged and are the same as demonstrated above. .

In conclusion I request

that the non-entry order [PE16.020851-FMO](#) shall be declared inadmissible;

that the challenge of all Vaudois magistrates shall be maintained;

that my denunciation of [October 11 2016](#), ([enclosure 2.3](#)) shall be transmitted to an authority having the moral and judicial authority to investigate it;

that the Magistrates who dis abuse of their authority in this procedure shall be pursued ex officio;

that the denial of Justice concerning my requests to consult the file [PE03.0183380-YNT](#) as a whole and for the unsealing of the e-mail exchange between [Michel TINGUELY](#) and [c9c](#) in the framework of the procedure [PE11.0116717](#) shall be corrected, allocating to the applicant his rights to do so.

Morges, May 22nd 2017

*Gerhard ULRICH
former political prisoner*

List of enclosures

2.1. [Order of May 10 2017 PE16.020851-FMO](#)

2.2. [Envelop of the mail](#)

2.3. [Denunciation of October 11 2016](#)

2.4. [Denunciation of the masonic plot of November 4 2016](#)

2.5. [Appeal to the Federal Court of December 21 2016](#)

3. [Appeal against the non-entry order of MOOS Franz of May 10 2017 PE16.021737-FMO](#) (denounced [Daniel KOLLY](#) and accomplices)

The facts

On August 31st 2002 the Farm of Jakob GUTKNECHT burned down. After a botched up investigation, among others cheatings committed by the inspector of the criminal police VD Daniel KOLLY, GUTKNECHT was accused to have

*provoked by negligence the auto-ignition of his hay, since he was blamed to have stored badly dried hay. He was condemned on March 5 2004 by the «Judge» **Eric ECKERT** for alleged fire by negligence, causing his material ruine. .*

This fire had a criminal cause. The neighbour of Jakob GUTKNECHT, the Freemason Claude BUDRY is the author of this criminal fire. BUDRY left his finger prints as the author of the crime ([enclosure 3.3](#)).

With this judgment, which has become a forged procedural truth, the judiciary apparatus wants make us believe that the hay pressed 3 months eralier to round hay bales would have caused the fire. No Farmer will believe it, and the scientist are unanimously of the opinion that this is absolutely impossible. On November 4 2016 I have published my book Bitter Pill for the Freemasons on Internet, where I denounced in Chapter III the cheatings of KOLLY ([enclosure 3.4](#)). In parallel, I denounced on November 2nd 2016 the inspector of the criminal police VD Daniel KOLLY and his partners in crime ([enclosure 3.5](#)).

*By non-entry order of 10.05.17, the negatively famous number 2 of the Prosecutors' office VD, **Franz MOOS** did stifle my denunciation, even without having interrogated me ([enclosure 3.1](#)). In parallel, he smashed the complaint/denunciations of the brother of Jakob, Johann GUTKNECHT by another non-entry order ([enclosure 3.6](#)).*

Appreciation of the attacked decision [PE16.021737-FMO](#)

*It is not a hazard that the «Attorney General» **Eric COTTIER** did entrust his closest collabo **Franz MOOS** with this affair, because **COTTIER** has been the overall boss of this freemasonic plot. In this case, the masonic plot could be evidenced ([enclosure 3.4](#)). [The enclosure 1.8 Evaluation of Lawyers - Franz MOOS](#) is briefing the readers about the badly famed personality of **MOOS**. He is the loyal henchman of the boss of the diabolic machine of the Vaudois judiciary apparatus at the service of the Oligarchy.*

*One will notice that **MOOS** did close this file, before having opened it by calling me to be interrogated. I had thus no chance to hand over to him personally the [enclosure 3.3](#) and [enclosure 3.4](#). It has been his criminal intention not to search the truth, for being in a position to maneuver as usually with the forged procedural truths. He had the same approach and proceeding for defeating Jean GUTKNECHT ([enclosure 3.6](#)).*

*It goes without saying that **MOOS** was not authorized to whipe out these complaints/denunciations, indicating the most serious dysfunctioning, without having called Jean GUTKNECHT and the applicant for an interrogation. Since he did that, **MOOS** has clearly demonstrated him to be partner in crime in the masonic plot against Jakob GUTKNECHT. His criminal involbment has to be pursued ex officio and is to be denounced by those who will ultimately deal with this appeal. The imperative aim is to stop the abuse of power as an organized band.*

*Quotation: «What concerns the accusations directed against the inspector KOLLY, they are totally inconsistant. (...) Gerhard Ulrich did not take part in that procedure which he is querrying and the arguments invoked by him in his denunciation are totally unfounded. In reality, he is not indicating the slightest element which would permit to constitute a concrete evidence of the realization of an infringement.» **Enclosure 3.1**, page 2 in fine. This is **MOOS** pure. The readers of **enclosure 3.4**, Chapter III will appreciate what **MOOS** did not want to get to know.*

*In his order, rejecting the complaints/denunciaitons of Johann GUTKNECHT, **MOOS** did limit himself conceitedly to invoke «... these elements which have already been examined and checked in the procedure PE02.027421 (...) ». They have been the outcome of the freemasonic plot, committed by perjured Magistrates who did never search the truth. .*

The conditions of the challenging of all Vaudois Magistrates remain unchanged and are identical as those explained above.

In conclusion, I request

that the non-entry order **PE16.021737-FMO** shall be declared inadmissible;
that the challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates shall be maintained;
that my denuciation of November 11 2016 (**enclosure 3.5**) shall be transmitted to a morally and judicially competent authority for investigation;
that the Magistrates who did abuse of their aurtority in the freemasonic plot at the costs of Jakob GUTKNECHT, including the «Prosecutor» **MOOS** shall be pursued ex officio by the Magistrates who will be ultimately in charge of this appeal.

Morges, May 22nd 2017

*Gerhard ULRICH
former political prisoner*

List of enclosures

- 3.1. Order of May 10 2017 PE16.021737-FMO*
- 3.2. Envelop of the mail*
- 3.3. Open letter to the Petition commission of the Parliament of Vaud*
- 3.4. Book Bitter Pill for the Freemasons*
- 3.5. Denunciation of November 2 2016*
- 3.6. Non-entry order PE17.002246-FMO*