

Gerhard ULRICH

May 2nd 2017

Former political prisoner

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges

004121 801 22 88 catharsisgu@gmail.com



Mister

Dick MARTY

former Prosecutor of Ticino

former Councillor of States

former Member of the Council of Europe

dick.marty@bluewin.ch

cc:

Carla DEL PONTE, former Prosecutor of the International Penal Court

Suzette SANDOZ, former Deanery of the faculty of Law, UNIL and National Councillor

To whom it may concern

The irredeemably degenerated judiciary system

Mister MARTY,

By mail of July 3rd 2016 I sent you the books

- L'affaire Légeret – Un assassin imaginaire. Jacques SECRETAN, Editor Mon Village, 2016*
- The Unmasked «Constitutional State». Gerhard ULRICH, editor Samizdat, 2016*

You did not even acknowledge receipt. In your preface to the book of Pierre VASSALLI Viol, hypnose, justice, editor Slatkine, 2017, the following sentence is appealing to me: «Obviously it is not the objective of this book to throw discredit on the Judiciary, nor to join the attacks to which the judiciary institutions seem to be increasingly subject last time, aiming to delegitimize them.» I understand that you refuse the confrontation of ideas. In your opinion, I am not an interlocutor at your level. You stay convinced that the judiciary apparatus must control itself. Quoting Jacques-André WIDMER On m'a volé mon ego, editor Publibook, 2014: «I know that the powers who pretend to control themselves are leading straight away to the Gulag.»

In his book, VASSALLI is relating the story of 2 men condemned in 1999 for rape in Geneva, 4 years after the crime, identified by the victim after reconstitution of

*her memory by hypnosis. One of the 2 men, BELMADANI was acquitted by the federal «Judges» **Heinz AEMISEGGER**, **Bertrand REEB** and **Jean FONJALLAZ** by decision of September 29 2000, with the justification of «the ambiguities inherent to the role of hypnosis». Alas, you and VASSALLI did fail to report that the same insane Judges confirmed by decision of October 28 2002 the condemnation of BANNA, having been charged by exactly the same evidences as BELMADANI. This is one out of so many examples that our judiciary apparatus is unable to challenge itself, and to control itself efficiently.*

I believe that it would be in the public interest to accept the confrontation of ideas and to start to dialogue with the consumers of the Judiciary, and a fortiori with the judiciary victims. The public good is debated publicly.

*Put aside the incompetence, the judiciary system is struck by corruption. I think it to be useful to you to get acquainted with the Vita of the former Number 2 of the Prosecutors' Office VD, **Jean TRECCANI**, who has served under the 2 corrupt Attorneys Generals VD Jean-Marc SCHWENTER and **Eric COTTIER**. See evaluation of **TRECCANI**:*

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_treccani-e.pdf

I advise you and the other judiciary professionals particularly to notice the lines printed in red in this evaluation: «Usually, the person transmitting the cash (the bribe) is signing the accounting evidence in place of the benefitting person (the bribed person). In such cases, it is common practice to imitate the signature of the receiver, because our Swiss companies are used to deduct the bribery money form their tax declaration, declaring them as 'commissions' or 'consulting fees'. »

Having received this lesson, our Prosecutors know henceforward where to search for evidences in case of suspect companies: in the accountings of the company or in their tax declaration submitted to the tax authorities. However, I do not have any illusion to have triggered off the slightest action, since our judiciary system is not able to be reformed with todays' actors. We, the judiciary victims know how to show you the best way of how to replace the irredeemably degenerated judiciary system by a new one.

To you, Mister MARTY

*Gerhard ULRICH
Former political prisoner*