

Gerhard ULRICH

Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges
0041 21 801 22 88
catharsisgu@gmail.com

December 21st, 2016



Ulrich MEYER

President of the Swiss Federal Court
Last in this position

1000 Lausanne 14

cc: To whom it may concern

Ambushed by your Freemason Law

Recourse against the decision of the cantonal court VD of Oct. 26, 2016 / Constitutional Complaint

To you, MEYER,

Your Freemason Law did ambush you. According to your sectarian discipline, you ought to defend your brothers recklessly, fore your Codex is overruling the Federal Constitution. Therefore the corrupt and frauding former federal « Judge » **Roland Max SCHNEIDER** remained unpunished. Evidence : my letters of Nov. 3rd, 2016 to your worthy predecessor **KOLLY**, and of Dec. 18, 2016 to the leaving President of the Confederation **SCHNEIDER-AMMANN**. None of the 152 federal Judges, Federal Councillors, 200 national Councillors and 46 Senators did fulfill their constitutional duty. You did all betray our country, since the hidden Oligarchy is managing this country as it was its private property. This tyranny is condemned to vanish.

Consequently, I address this recourse to you as a deposit account. Needless to say that I challenge all of you. **From now onwards, any human being dealing with you is entitled to challenge the Federal Courts in corpore.** The Federal Councillors and the federal M.P. 's ought to abdicate. See : www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_file/fm_55_23-05-2015.pdf

The recourse against the attacked decision of the cantonal court VD of Oct. 26, 2016, notified on Nov. 22nd, 2016 with the deadline of Dec. 22, 2016 is inadmissible (copy including the postal envelop enclosed). As justified in my denunciation of Dec. 3rd, 2016, the Vaudois cantonal Judges, being both, challenged and charge with penal denunciations are not any longer legitimated to exercise their functions. After all, they may not decide the question of them being challenged.

Protecting illegally the Rotarian **SCHNEIDER**, you are systematically violating the articles of the Federal Constitution 2 (the rights of the people, equal chances), 5 (rules of good faith), 7 (human dignity, prohibition of discrimination) and 9 (protection against arbitrariness). The Vaudois cantonal court and the Federal Court are not any longer legally justified. At the contrary : these Judges have to be penally pursued ex officio for granting to their Rotarian Brother **SCHNEIDER** illegal favors Consequently, I submit thereafter my constitutional complaint, with concrete requests for establishing the Constitutional Order :

The strive for Justice as an ideal is a categoric imperative. Without fairness, there cannot be social peace.

The two pillars of strive to the ideal of Justice are :

a) The search of the truth, b) efficient ways of appeal, c) the application of the existing Laws.

Thes Swiss Federal Court and the European Court of Human Rights are systematically ignoring both principles. They are limiting themselves to check papers and are not any longer realizing the slightest plausibility test. When judiciary victims are challenging procedural truths, arguing that they do not match with reality, the Judges in Lausanne and Strasbourg are kicking such claims in the waste bin, using the elegant formula that they are « appellatory, thus unreceivable ». These pen pushers are refusing to perform an honest job and to deliver the expected quality products – except in very rare cases. What a Judge at a first instance has establishe is no longer queried. It is as if Historians would henceforward just copy each other papers, and renounce to investigate primary sources. Consequently, there does not exist a quality check deserving this designation.

Nobody needs a judiciary system with 3 hierarchically sequenced instances, cantonal courts, Federal Court, European Court of Human Rights – who do not carry out any quality control. This is an obsolete soviet model, irredeemably degenerated. The recourses / appeals can be handled without any problem by other first instance courts. Those Judges are equally qualified as their superiors.

Humanity is in need of Judges, unfortunately, that is to say courts. They constitute a necessary evil. We cannot abolish the 1st instance courts. But for what reason should we maintain the superior judiciary instances – useless, being part of a criminal organization and anti-constitutional ? Let's replace those paper tigers by local boards composed of non-Lawyers, carrying out a control of the courts from the outside. That is what we need: an efficient quality check. The members will be recruited as a compulsory remunerated civil service, chosen by drawing of lots, for granting a fair representation of all social layers. As a matter of fact, we are all real or potential consumers of Justice, thus habilitated to defend our interests as such. The clients of the judiciary apparatus who are not satisfyed will have henceforward the option to address their claims directly to such local boards. In a given case, these organs will just to have to answer the question, whether the judges did fail to perform an adequate job – yes or no. If affirmative, the affair shall be transmitted to another court for new appreciation. The concerned may address a given case at the maximum 3 times to the controlling boards, exect if he presents new elements unknown before. These boards may carry out their task in existent buildings becoming available, as for instance the cantonal courts. One will henceforward assure that all documents in a given file remain archived. Returning documents to the concerned, or even distruption of documents shall be clearly prohibited.

The Judges will learn quickly their lesson, that they cannot any longer cheat and botch up procedures, and will start to check themselves the quality of their products, as it happens in the industrial manufacture. The rate of contests will fall dramatically. Such a system is far more efficient, quicker and a lot cheaper.

Quod erat demonstrandum.

Gerhard Ulrich, Dissident